

62417

# THE TOWN;

ITS

MEMORABLE CHARACTERS AND EVENTS.

BY

LEIGH HUNT:

ST. PAUL'S TO ST. JAMES'S.



WITH FORTY-FIVE ILLUSTRATIONS.

VOLUME THE FIRST.

LONDON:  
SMITH, ELDER, AND CO., 65. CORNHILL.  
1848.

# VII R. 54

## CONTENTS

OF

## THE FIRST VOLUME.

---

### INTRODUCTION.

Different Impressions of London on different Passengers and Minds.  
— Extendibility of its Interest to all. — London before the Deluge!  
— Its Origin according to the fabulous Writers and Poets. — First historical Mention of it. — Its Names. — British, Roman, Saxon, and Norman London. — General Progress of the City and of Civilisation. — Range of the Metropolis as it existed in the Time of Shakspeare and Bacon. — Growth of the Streets and Suburbs during the later Reigns. — “Merry London” and “Merry England.” — Curious Assertion respecting Trees in the City  
Page 1

### CHAPTER I.

#### ST. PAUL'S, AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

The Roman Temple of Diana — the first Christian Church. — Old St. Paul's. — Inigo Jones's Portico. — Strange Usages of former Times. — Encroachments on the Fabric of the Cathedral. — Paul's Walkers. — Dining with Duke Humphrey. — Catholic Customs. — The Boy-Bishop. — The Children of the Revels. — Strange Ceremony on the Festivals of the Commemoration and Conversion of St. Paul. — Ancient Tombs in the Cathedral. — Scene between John of Gaunt and the Anti-Wickliffites. — Paul's Cross. — The Folkmoté. — The Sermons. — Jane Shore. — See-Saw of Popery and Protestantism. — London House. — The Charnel. — The

Hollards' Tower. — St. Paul's School. — Desecration of the Cathedral during the Commonwealth. — The present Cathedral. — Sir Christopher Wren. — Statue of Queen Anne. — Page 29

## CHAPTER II.

### ST. PAUL'S AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

The Church of St. Faith. — Booksellers of the Churchyard. — Mr. Johnson's. — Mr. Newberry's. — Children's Books. — Clerical Names of Streets near St. Paul's. — Swift at the Top of the Cathedral. — Dr. Johnson at St. Paul's. — Paternoster Row. — Panyer's Alley. — Stationers' Hall. — Almanacks. — Knight-Riders' Street. — Armed Assemblies of the Citizens. — Doctors' Commons. — The Herald's College. — Coats of Arms. — Ludgate. — Story of Sir Stephen Forster. — Prison of Ludgate. — Wyatt's Rebellion. — The Belle Sauvage Inn. — Blackfriars. — Shakspeare's Theatre. — Accident at Blackfriars in 1623. — Printing-house Square. — "The Times." — Baynard's Castle. — Story of the Baron Fitzwalter. — Richard III. and Buckingham. — Diana's Chamber. — The Royal Wardrobe. — Marriages in the Fleet. — Fleet Ditch. — The Dunciad - - - - - 68

## CHAPTER III.

### FLEET STREET.

Burning of the Pope. — St. Bride's Steeple. — Milton. — Illuminated Clock. — Melancholy End of Lovelace the Cavalier. — Chatterton. — Generosity of Hardham, of Snuff Celebrity. — Theatre in Dorset Garden. — Richardson, his Habits and Character. — Whitefriars, or Alsatia. — The Temple — its Monuments, Garden, &c. — Eminent Names connected with it. — Goldsmith dies there. — Boswell's first Visit there to Johnson. — Johnson and Madame de Boufflers. — Bernard Lintot. — Ben Jonson's Devil Tavern. — Other Coffee-houses and Shops. — Goldsmith and Temple-bar. — Shire Lane, Bickerstaff, and the Deputation from the Country. — The Kit-Kat Club. — Mrs. Salmon. — Isaac Walton. — Cowley. — Chancery Lane, Lord Strafford, and Ben Jonson. — Serjeants' Inn. — Clifford's Inn. — The Rolls — Sir Joseph Jekyll. — Church

of St. Dunstan's in the West. — Dryden's House in Fetter Lane. — Johnson, the Genius Loci of Fleet Street. — His Way of Life. — His Residence in Gough Square. — Johnson's Court, and Bolt Court. — Various Anecdotes of him connected with Fleet Street, and with his favourite Tavern, the Mitre - - Page 110

## CHAPTER IV.

## THE STRAND.

Ancient State of the Strand. — Butcher Row. — Death of Lee, the dramatic Poet. — Johnson at an Eating House. — Essex Street. — House and History of the favourite Earl of Essex. — Spenser's Visit there. — Essex, General of the Parliament. — Essex Head Club. — Devereux Court. — Grecian Coffee-House. — Twining the accomplished Scholar. — St. Clement Danes. — Clement's Inn. — Falstaff and Shallow. — Norfolk, Arundel, Surrey, and Howard Streets. — Norfolk House. — Essex's Ring and the Countess of Nottingham. — William Penn. — Birch. — Dr. Brocklesby. — Congreve, and his Will. — Voltaire's Visit to him. — Mrs. Bracegirdle. — Tragical End of Mountford the Player. — Ancient Cross. — Maypole. — New Church of St. Mary-le-Strand. — Old Somerset House. — Henrietta Maria and her French Household. — Waller's Mishap at Somerset Stairs. — New Somerset House. — Royal Society, Antiquarian Society, and Royal Academy. — Death of Dr. King. — Exeter Street. — Johnson's first Lodging in London. — Art of living in London. — Catherine Street. — Unfortunate Women. — Wimbledon House. — Lyceum and Beef-steak Club. — Exeter Change. — Bed and Baltimore. — The Savoy. — Anecdotes of the Duchess of Albemarle. — Beaufort Buildings. — Lillie the Perfumer. — Aaron Hill. — Fielding. — Southampton Street. — Cecil and Salisbury Streets. — Durham House. — Raleigh. — Penant on the Word Place or Palace. — New Exchange. — Don Pantaleon Sa. — The White Milliner. — Adelphi. — Garrick and his Wife. — Beauclerc. — Society of Arts, and Mr. Barry. — Bedford Street. — George, Villiers, and Buckingham Streets. — York House and Buildings. — Squabble between the Spanish and French Ambassadors. — Hungerford Market. — Craven Street. — Franklin. — Northumberland House. — Duplicity of Henry, Earl of Northampton. — Violence of Lord Herbert of Cherbury. — Percy, Bishop of Dromore. — Pleasant Mistake of Goldsmith - - 172




CONTENTS.

CHAPTER V.

LINCOLN'S INN, AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

Lincoln's Inn. — Ben Jonson's Bricklaying. — Enactments against Beards. — Oliver Cromwell, More, Hale, and other eminent Students of Lincoln's Inn. — Lincoln's Inn Fields, or Square. — Houses there built by Inigo Jones. — Pepys's Admiration of the Comforts of Mr. Povey. — Surgeons' College. — Sir Richard and Lady Fanshawe, and Lord Sandwich. — Execution of the patriotic Lord Russell, with an Account of the Circumstances that led to and accompanied it, and some Remarks on his Character. — Affecting Passages from the Letters of his Widow. — Ludicrous Story connected with Newcastle House - - - - - Page 255



OLD LONDON FROM SOUTHWARK, BEFORE THE GREAT FIRE.

62417

# THE TOWN;

ITS

MEMORABLE CHARACTERS AND EVENTS.

BY

LEIGH HUNT:

ST. PAUL'S TO ST. JAMES'S.



WITH FORTY-FIVE ILLUSTRATIONS.

VOLUME THE FIRST.

LONDON:  
SMITH, ELDER, AND CO., 65. CORNHILL.  
1848.



LONDON:  
SCOTTISWOODE and SHAW,  
New-street-Square.





## ADVERTISEMENT.

---

IN the two volumes now offered to the Public under the title of "THE TOWN," the reader will find an account of London, partly topographical and historical, but chiefly recalling the memories of remarkable characters and events associated with its streets, between St. Paul's and St. James's; being that part of the great highway of London which may be said to have constituted "THE TOWN" when that term was commonly used to designate the metropolis.

The principal portion of these volumes appeared thirteen years ago in the "Monthly Supplements to Leigh Hunt's London Journal," under the title of "The Streets of London;" and these papers were accounted, by all who read them, — a comparative few, — to be among the pleasantest and most interesting of the Author's writings. It was observed by one reader, that "Leigh Hunt has illumined the fog and smoke of London with a halo of glory, and peopled the streets and buildings with the life of past generations;" and by another, that he "should never grow tired of such reading."

## ADVERTISEMENT.

In collecting and publishing these papers in a suitable form, and illustrating them with cuts, the Publishers trust that the volumes will be acceptable, not only to the admirers of Mr. Leigh Hunt's writings, but to readers generally; especially those to whom the present aspect and population of the streets from St. Paul's to St. James's are more familiar than the past.

It was the wish of the Publishers to have included the whole range of the metropolis in one publication; but to do so, on the scale of the present work, would have tasked the exertions of Mr. Hunt too severely for the state of his health. The idea was, therefore, abandoned for the present; and the Author has been content to continue his retrospect of the sovereigns of England, so as to include the Court of St. James's.

The public approbation of these volumes will be the strongest inducement that the Author could desire to complete his account of London, by extending his researches east, west, north, and south; making the whole circuit of the town, and advancing with its streets into the very suburbs.

65. CORNHILL,

Nov. 1848.

# VII R. 54

## CONTENTS

OF

## THE FIRST VOLUME.

---

### INTRODUCTION.

Different Impressions of London — different Passengers and Minds.  
— Extendibility of its Interest to all. — London before the Deluge!  
— Its Origin according to the fabulous Writers and Poets. — First historical Mention of it. — Its Names. — British, Roman, Saxon, and Norman London. — General Progress of the City and of Civilisation. — Range of the Metropolis as it existed in the Time of Shakspeare and Bacon. — Growth of the Streets and Suburbs during the later Reigns. — “Merry London” and “Merry England.” — Curious Assertion respecting Trees in the City  
Page 1

### CHAPTER I.

#### ST. PAUL'S, AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

The Roman Temple of Diana — the first Christian Church. — Old St. Paul's. — Inigo Jones's Portico. — Strange Usages of former Times. — Encroachments on the Fabric of the Cathedral. — Paul's Walkers. — Dining with Duke Humphrey. — Catholic Customs. — The Boy-Bishop. — The Children of the Revels. — Strange Ceremony on the Festivals of the Commemoration and Conversion of St. Paul. — Ancient Tombs in the Cathedral. — Scene between John of Gaunt and the Anti-Wickliffites. — Paul's Cross. — The Folkmoté. — The Sermons. — Jane Shore. — See-Saw of Popery and Protestantism. — London House. — The Charnel. — The

Hollards' Tower. — St. Paul's School. — Desecration of the Cathedral during the Commonwealth. — The present Cathedral. — Sir Christopher Wren. — Statue of Queen Anne. — Page 29

## CHAPTER II.

### PAUL'S AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

The Church of St. Faith. — Booksellers of the Churchyard. — Mr. Johnson's. — Mr. Newberry's. — Children's Books. — Clerical Names of Streets near St. Paul's. — Swift at the Top of the Cathedral. — Dr. Johnson at St. Paul's. — Paternoster Row. — Panyer's Alley. — Stationers' Hall. — Almanacks. — Knight-Riders' Street. — Armed Assemblies of the Citizens. — Doctors' Commons. — The Herald's College. — Coats of Arms. — Ludgate. — Story of Sir Stephen Forster. — Prison of Ludgate. — Wyatt's Rebellion. — The Belle Sauvage Inn. — Blackfriars. — Shakspeare's Theatre. — Accident at Blackfriars in 1623. — Printing-house Square. — "The Times." — Baynard's Castle. — Story of the Baron Fitzwalter. — Richard III. and Buckingham. — Diana's Chamber. — The Royal Wardrobe. — Marriages in the Fleet. — Fleet Ditch. — The Dunciad. — 68

## CHAPTER III.

### FLEET STREET.

Burning of the Pope. — St. Bride's Steeple. — Milton. — Illuminated Clock. — Melancholy End of Lovelace the Cavalier. — Chatterton. — Generosity of Hardham, of Snuff Celebrity. — Theatre in Dorset Garden. — Richardson, his Habits and Character. — Whitefriars, or Alsatia. — The Temple — its Monuments, Garden, &c. — Eminent Names connected with it. — Goldsmith dies there. — Boswell's first Visit there to Johnson. — Johnson and Madame de Boufflers. — Bernard Lintot. — Ben Jonson's Devil Tavern. — Other Coffee-houses and Shops. — Goldsmith and Temple-bar. — Shire Lane, Bickerstaff, and the Deputation from the Country. — The Kit-Kat Club. — Mrs. Salmon. — Isaac Walton. — Cowley. — Chancery Lane, Lord Strafford, and Ben Jonson. — Serjeants' Inn. — Clifford's Inn. — The Rolls — Sir Joseph Jekyll. — Church



of St. Dunstan's in the West. — Dryden's House in Fetter Lane. — Johnson, the Genius Loci of Fleet Street. — His Way of Life. — His Residence in Gough Square. — Johnson's Court, and Bolt Court. — Various Anecdotes of him connected with Fleet Street, and with his favourite Tavern, the Mitre - - Page 110

## CHAPTER IV.

## THE STRAND.

Ancient State of the Strand. — Butcher Row. — Death of Lee, the dramatic Poet. — Johnson at ■ Eating House. — Essex Street. — House and History of the favourite Earl of Essex. — Spenser's Visit there. — Essex, General of the Parliament. — Essex Head Club. — Devereux Court. — Grecian Coffee-House. — Twining the accomplished Scholar. — St. Clement Danes. — Clement's Inn. — Falstaff and Shallow. — Norfolk, Arundel, Surrey, and Howard Streets. — Norfolk House. — Essex's Ring and the Countess of Nottingham. — William Penn. — Birch. — Dr. Brocklesby. — Congreve, and his Will. — Voltaire's Visit to him. — Mrs. Bracegirdle. — Tragical End of Mountford the Player. — Ancient Cross. — Maypole. — New Church of St. Mary-le-Strand. — Old Somerset House. — Henrietta Maria and her French Household. — Waller's Mishap at Somerset Stairs. — New Somerset House. — Royal Society, Antiquarian Society, and Royal Academy. — Death of Dr. King. — Exeter Street. — Johnson's first Lodging in London. — Art of living in London. — Catherine Street. — Unfortunate Women. — Wimbledon House. — Lyceum and Beef-steak Club. — Exeter Change. — Bed and Baltimore. — The Savoy. — Anecdotes of the Duchess of Albemarle. — Beaufort Buildings. — Lillie the Perfumer. — Aaron Hill. — Fielding. — Southampton Street. — Cecil and Salisbury Streets. — Durham House. — Raleigh. — Penant on the Word Place or Palace. — New Exchange. — Don Pantaleon Sa. — The White Milliner. — Adelphi. — Garrick and his Wife. — Beauclerc. — Society of Arts, and Mr. Barry. — Bedford Street. — George, Villiers, and Buckingham Streets. — York House and Buildings. — Squabble between the Spanish and French Ambassadors. — Hungerford Market. — Craven Street. — Franklin. — Northumberland House. — Duplicity of Henry, Earl of Northampton. — Violence of Lord Herbert of Cherbury. — Percy, Bishop of Dromore. — Pleasant Mistake of Goldsmith - - 172

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER V.

LINCOLN'S INN, AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

Lincoln's Inn. — Ben Jonson's Bricklaying. — Enactments against Beards. — Oliver Cromwell, More, Hale, and other eminent Students of Lincoln's Inn. — Lincoln's Inn Fields, or Square. — Houses there built by Inigo Jones. — Pepys's Admiration of the Comforts of Mr. Povey. — Surgeons' College. — Sir Richard and Lady Fanshawe, and Lord Sandwich. — Execution of the patriotic Lord Russell, with an Account of the Circumstances that led to and accompanied it, and some Remarks on his Character. — Affecting Passages from the Letters of his Widow. — Ludicrous Story connected with Newcastle House . . . . . Page 255



## ILLUSTRATIONS.

ENGRAVED BY C. THURSTON THOMPSON, FROM DRAWINGS  
BY J. W. ARCHER AND C. T. THOMPSON.

### VOLUME I.

London from Southwark, before the Great Fire. From a Print by Hollar - - -	Frontispiece
West Front of Old St. Paul's, with Inigo Jones's Portico - - -	- Page
"Paul's Cross and Preaching there" - - -	67
Ludgate - - -	90
Baynard's Castle, from the River, 1640 - - -	103
Stone in Panyer Alley, marking the highest Ground in the City - - -	109
Interior of the Round Part of the Temple Church, previous to the recent Restorations - - -	133
House in Bolt Court, Fleet Street, the last Residence of Dr. Johnson, 1810 - - -	165
Old Somerset House, from the River - - -	220
The Savoy Palace, from the River - - -	227
Inigo Jones's Water Gate, York Stairs - - -	242
Old Northumberland House, from the River. Temp. Charles I. - - -	246
Exeter Change as it appeared just before it was pulled down - - -	254
Newcastle House, N. W. corner of Lincoln's Inn Fields, 1796 - - -	297

## VOLUME II.

Old Palace of Whitehall, from the River. Temp.	
Charles I., from ■ Print of the Period	Frontispiece
Old Houses in Great Queen Street, Lincoln's Inn	
Fields, 1817	- Page 3
The Theatre in Portugal Street, Lincoln's Inn Fields,	
1810	17
Printing Press at which Franklin worked	44
Craven House, Drury Lane, 1800	46
Entrance Front of Old Drury Lane Theatre in Brydges	
Street, erected by Garrick	57
Entrance to Old Covent Garden Theatre, 1794	112
Inigo Jones's Church and Covent Garden. Temp.	
James II. 'From a Print of the Period	139
House in St. Martin's Street, Leicester Square,	
formerly the Residence of Sir Isaac Newton, 1810	180
The Village of Charing. From Aggas's Map, 1578	182
Scotland Yard, ■ it appeared ■ 1750. From a Print	
after Paul Sandby	207
Old Gate of Whitehall Palace, designed by Holbein.	
From ■ Print by Hollar	246
The Banqueting House, Whitehall	271
St. James's Palace, 1650, from ■ print by Hollar	292

---

The INITIAL LETTERS and Tail-pieces designed by J. W. ARCHER and C. T. THOMPSON. (The Initial Letter to Chapter XII. represents the Conduit at St. James's.)

---


The COVER designed by W. HARRY ROGERS.



# THE TOWN.

## INTRODUCTION.

Different impressions of London on different passengers and minds. Extensibility of its interest to all. London before the Deluge! Its origin according to the fabulous writers and poets. First historical mention of it. Its names. British, Roman, Saxon, and Norman London. General progress of the city and of civilisation. Range of the Metropolis as it existed in the time of Shakspeare and Bacon. Growth of the streets and suburbs during the later reigns. "Merry London" and "Merry England." Curious assertion, respecting trees in the city.



IN one of those children's books which contain reading fit for the manliest, and which we have known to interest very grave and even great men, there is a pleasant chapter entitled *Eyes and no Eyes, or the Art of Seeing*.\* The two heroes of it come home successively from a walk in the same road, one of them having seen only a heath and a hill, and the meadows by the water-side, and therefore having seen nothing,—the other expatiating on his delightful ramble, because the heath presented him with curious birds, and the hill with the remains of a camp, and the meadows with reeds, and rats, and herons, and king-fishers, and sea-shells, and a man catching eels, and a glorious sunset.

In like manner people may walk through a crowded city, and see nothing but the crowd. A man may go from Bond Street to Blackwall, and unless he has the luck to witness an accident, or get a knock from a porter's

\* See *Evenings at Home*, by Dr. Aikin and Mrs. Barbauld.

Barthen, may be conscious, when he has returned, of nothing but the names of those two places, and of the mud through which he has passed. Nor is this to be attributed to dulness. He may, indeed, be dull. The eyes of his understanding may be like bad spectacles, which brightening would enable to see much. But he may be only inattentive. Circumstances may have induced want of curiosity, to which imagination itself shall contribute, if it has not been taught to use its eyes. This is particularly observable in childhood, when the love of novelty is strongest. A boy at the Charter-House, Christ-Hospital, probably cares nothing for his neighbourhood, though stocked with a great deal that might entertain him. He has been too much accustomed to identify it with his school-room. We remember the time ourselves when the only thought we had in going through the metropolis was how to get out of it; how to arrive, with our best speed, at the beautiful vista of home and a pudding, which awaited us in the distance. And long after this we saw nothing in London, but the book-shops which have taught us better.

"I have often," says Boswell, with the inspiration of his great London-loving friend upon him, "amused myself with thinking how different a place London is to different people. They whose narrow minds are contracted to the consideration of some one particular pursuit, view it only through that medium. A politician thinks of it merely as the seat of government in its different departments; a grazier a vast market for cattle; a mercantile a place where a prodigious deal of business is done upon 'Change; a dramatic enthusiast as the grand of theatrical entertainments; a man of pleasure as an assemblage of taverns, &c. &c.; but the intellectual man is struck with it as comprehending the whole of human life in all its variety, the contemplation of which is inexhaustible."

It does not follow that the other persons whom Boswell speaks of are not, by nature, intelligent. The want of

curiosity, in some, may be owing even to their affections and anxiety. They may think themselves bound to be occupied solely in what they are about. They have not been taught how to invigorate as well as divert the mind, by taking ■ reasonable interest in the varieties of this astonishing world, of which the most artificial portions are still works of nature as well ■ art; and evidences of the hand of Him that made the soul and its endeavours. Boswell himself, with all his friend's assistance, and that of the tavern to boot, probably saw nothing in London of the times gone by,—of all that rich aggregate of the past, which is one of the great treasures of knowledge; and yet, by the same principle on which Boswell admired Dr. Johnson, he might have delighted in calling to mind the metropolis of the wits of Queen Anne's time, and of the poets of Elizabeth; might have longed to sit over their canary in Cornhill with Beaumont and Ben Jonson, and have thought that Surrey Street and Shire Lane had their merits, ■ well as the illustrious obscurity of Bolt Court. In Surrey Street lived Congreve; and Shire Lane, though nobody would think so to see it now, is eminent for the origin of the Kit-Kat Club, (a host of wits and statesmen,) and for the recreations of Isaac Bickerstaff, Esq., of Tatler celebrity, at his *contubernium*, the Trumpet.

It may be said that the past is not in our possession; that we ■■ sure only of what ■■ can realise, and that the present and future afford enough contemplation for any man. But those who argue thus, argue against their better instinct. We take an interest in all that we understand; and in proportion ■ we enlarge our knowledge, enlarge, *ad infinitum*, the sphere of our sympathies. Tell the grazier, whom Boswell mentions, of a great grazier who lived before him,—of Bakewell, who had an animal that produced him in one ■■ the ■■ of eight hundred guineas; or Fowler, whose horned cattle sold for ■ value

equal to that of the fee-simple of his farm ; or Elwes the miser, who, after spending thousands at the gaming-table, would haggle for ■ shilling at Smithfield ; and he will be curious to hear ■ much as you have to relate. Tell the mercantile man, in like manner, of Gresham, or Crisp, or the foundation of the Charter House by a merchant, and he will be equally attentive. And tell the man, *par excellence*, of anything that concerns humanity, and he will be pleased to hear of Bakewell, or Crisp, or Boswell, or Boswell's ancestor. Bakewell himself ■ a ■ of this sort. Boswell was proud of his ancestors, like most men that know who they were, whether their ancestors were persons to be proud of or not. The mere length of line flatters the brevity of existence. We must take care how ■ are proud of those who may not be fit to render us so ; but we may be allowed to be anxious to live ■ long ■ we can, whether in prospect or retrospect. Besides, the human mind, being a thing infinitely greater than the circumstances which confine and cabin it in its present mode of existence, seeks to extend itself on all sides, past, present, and to come. If it puts on wings angelical, and pitches itself into the grand obscurity of the future, it runs back also ■ the more visible line of the past. Even the present, which is the great business of life, is chiefly great, inasmuch ■ it regards the interests of the many who are to come, and is built up of the experiences of those who have gone by. The past is the heir-loom of the world.

Now in ■ shape is any part of this treasure more visible to us, or more striking, than in that of a great metropolis. The present is nowhere ■ present : we see the latest marks of its hand. The past is nowhere ■ traceable ■ discover, step by step, the successive abodes of its generations. The links that ■ wanting ■ supplied by history ; nor perhaps is there a single spot in London in which the past is not visibly present to us, either in the



shape of some old buildings, or at least in the names of the streets; in which the absence of more tangible monuments may not be supplied by the antiquary. In parts of it we may go back through the whole English history, perhaps through the history of man, we shall see presently when we speak of St. Paul's Churchyard, a place in which you may get the last new novel, and find remains of the ancient Britons and of the sea. There, also, in the cathedral, lie painters, patriots, humanists, the greatest warriors and some of the best men; and there, in St. Paul's School, was educated England's epic poet, who hoped that his native country would never forget her privilege of, "teaching the nations how to live." Surely a man is more of a man, and does more justice to the faculties of which he is composed, whether for knowledge or entertainment, who thinks of all these things in crossing St. Paul's Churchyard, than if he saw nothing but the church itself, or the clock, or confined his admiration to the abundance of Brentford stages.

Milton, who began a History of England, very properly touches upon the fabulous part of it; not, Dr. Johnson thought (who did not take the trouble of reading the second page), because he confounded it with the true, but, he himself states, for the benefit of those who would know how to make use of it—the poets. In the passage he alludes to those traces of a deluge of which we have just spoken, and to the enormous bones occasionally dug up, which, with the natural inclination of a poet, he was willing to look upon as relics of a gigantic race of men. Both of these evidences of a remote period have been discovered in London earth, and might be turned to grand account by a writer like himself. It is curious to the grounds on which truth and fiction so often meet, without knowing one another. The oriental writers have an account of a race of pre-Adamite kings, not entirely human.

It is supposed by some geologists, that there was a period before the creation of man, when creatures vaster than any now on dry land trampled the earth at will; perhaps had faculties no longer to be found in connexion with brute forms, and effaced, together with themselves, for a nobler experiment. We may indulge our fancy with supposing, that, in those times, light itself, and the revolution of the seasons, may not have been exactly what they are now; that some unknown monster, mammoth or behemoth, howled in the twilight over the ocean solitude now called London; or (not to fancy him monstrous in nature ■ in form, for the hugest creatures of the geologist appear to have been mild and graminivorous), that the site of our metropolis was occupied with the gigantic herd of some more gigantic spirit, all good of their kind, but not capable of enough ultimate good to be permitted to last. However, we only glance at these speculative matters, and leave them. Neither shall we say anything of the more modern elephant, who may have recreated himself some thousands of years ago, on the site of the Chapter Coffee house; or of the crocodile, who may have snapped at some remote ancestor of a fishmonger in the valley of Dowgate.

By the fabulous writers, London was called Troynovant or New Troy, and was said to have been founded by Brutus, great-grandson of Æneas, from whom the country was called Brutain, or Britain.

For noble Britons sprong from Trojans bold,  
And Troynovant was built of old Troye's ashes cold.

(This is one of Spenser's fine old lingering lines, in which he seems to dwell on a fable till he believes it.) Brutus, having the misfortune to kill his father, fled from his native country into Greece, where he set free a multitude of Trojans, captives to King Pandrasus, whose daughter

he espoused. He left Greece with ■ numerous flotilla, and came to ■ island called Legrecia, where there was a temple of Diana. To Diana he offered sacrifice, and prayed her to direct his course. The prayer, and the goddess's reply, ■ told in Latin by Gildas, have received ■ lustre from the hand of Milton. He gives us the following translation of them in his historical fragment.

"Diva potens nemorum :"

■ Goddess of Shades, and Huntress, who at will  
Walk'st on the rolling sphere, and through the deep,  
On thy third reign, the earth, look now ; and tell  
What land, what seat of rest, thou bidst me seek ;  
What certain seat, where I may worship thee,  
For aye, with temples vowed, and virgin quires."

"To whom, sleeping before the altar," says the poet, ■ Diana in ■ vision that night, thus answered :—

"Brute, sub occasum solis :"

"Brutus, far to the west, in th' ocean wide,  
Beyond the realm of Gaul, ■ land there lies,  
Sea-girt it lies, where giants dwelt of old :  
Now void, it fits thy people. Thither bend  
Thy course : there shalt thou find a lasting seat ;  
There to thy sons another Troy shall rise,  
And kings be born of thee, whose dreaded reign  
Shall awe the world, and conquer nations bold."\*

According to Spenser, Brutus did not find England cleared of the giants. He had to conquer them. But we shall speak of those personages when we come before their illustrious representatives in Guildhall.

This fiction of Troynovant, or New Troy, appears to have arisen from the word Trinobantes in Cæsar, ■ ■■ given by the historian to the inhabitants of a district which included the London banks of the Thames. The oldest mention of the metropolis is supposed to be found in that

\* History of England, 4to. 1670, p. 11.

writer, under the appellation of *Civitas Trinobantum*, the city of the Trinobantes; though some are of opinion that by *civitas* he only meant their government or community. Be this ■ it may, ■ city of the Britons, in Cæsar's time, ■ nothing either for truth or fiction to boast of, having been, ■ he describes it, a mere spot hollowed out of the woods, and defended by ■ ditch and ■ rampart.

We have no reason to believe that the first germ of London ■ anything greater than this. Milton supposes that ■ many traditions of old British kings could not have been handed down without ■ foundation in truth; and the classical origin of London, though rejected by himself, was not only firmly believed by people in general as late as the reign of Henry the Sixth (to whom it ■ quoted in a public document), but was maintained by professed antiquaries,—Leland among them.\* It is probable enough, that, before Cæsar's time, the affairs of the country may have been in ■ better situation than he found them; and it is possible, that something may have once stood on the site of London, which stood there no longer. But this may be said of every other place on the globe; and ■ there is nothing authentic to show for it, we must be content to take our ancestors ■ we find them. In truth, nothing is known with certainty of the origin of London, not even of its name. The first time we hear either of the city or its appellation is in Tacitus, who calls it Londinium. The following list, taken principally from Camden, comprises, we believe, all the ■ by which it has been called. We dwell somewhat on this point, because we conclude the reader will be pleased to see by how many *aliases* his old acquaintance has been known.

Troja Nova, Troynovant, ■ New Troy.

Tre-novant, or the New City, (a mixture of Latin and Cornish).

---

\* We learn this from Selden's notes to the Polyolbion of Drayton.

Dian Belin, or the City of Diana.

Caer Ludd, or the City of Lud. — These are the names given by the fabulous writers, chiefly Welsh.

Londinium. — *Tacitus, Ptolemy, Antoninus.*

Lundinium. — *Ammianus Marcellinus.*

Longidinium.

Lindonium, (Λινδόνιον). — *Stephanus* in his Dictionary.

Lundonia. — *Bede.*

Augusta, — the complimentary title granted to it under Valentinian, ■ was customary with flourishing foreign establishments.

Lundenbyrig.

Lundenberig.

Lundenberk.

Lundenburg.

Lundenwic, ■ wyc.

Lundenceastre (that is, London-*castrum* or camp).

Lundunes.

Lundene, or Lundenne.

Lundone. — Saxon names. Lundenceastre is Alfred the Great's translation of the Lundonia of Bede.

Luddestun.

Ludstoune. — Saxon translations of the Caer Ludd of the Welsh.

Londres. — French.

Londra. — Italian. The letter *r* in these words is curious. It seems to represent the *berig* or *burgh* of the Saxons; *quasi* Londrig, from Londonberig; in which case *Londres* would mean London-borough.

The disputes upon the derivation of the word London have been numerous. In the present day, the question seems to be, whether it originated in Celtic British, that is, in Welsh, and signified "a city ■ lake," or in Belgic British (old German), and meant "a city in ■



grove.” The latest author who has handled the subject inclines to the latter opinion.\* Mr. Pennant being ■ Celt, was for the “city on ■ lake,” the Thames in the early periods of British history having formed ■ considerable expanse of water near the site of the present metropolis. *Llyn-Din* is Lake-City, and *Lun-Den* Grove City. Erasmus, on the strength of those affinities between Greek and Welsh, which can be found between most languages, fetched the word from *Lindus*, ■ city of Rhodes; Somner the antiquary derived it from *Llawn*, full, and *Dyn*, man, implying ■ great concourse of people; another antiquary, from *Lugdus*, ■ Celtic prince; Maitland from *Lon*, a plain, and *Dun* or *Don*, a hill; another, we know not who, referred to by the ■ author, from a word signifying a ship and ■ hill †; Camden from *Llong-Dinas*, a City of Ships; and Selden, “seeing conjecture is free,” ‡ was for deriving it from *Llan-Dien*, or the temple of Diana, for reasons which will appear presently. Pennant thinks that London might have been called Lake-City first, and Ship-City afterwards. The opinion of the editor of the *Picture of London* seems most plausible — that Lun-den, or Grove-City, was the name, because it is compounded of Belgic British, which, according to Cæsar, must have been the language of the district; and he adds, that the name is still common in Scandinavia.§ It may be argued, that London might have existed ■ a fortress on ■ lake before the arrival of settlers from Belgium; and that Grove-

\* *Picture of London*, 1824, p. 3.

† These etymologies are to be found in Maitland’s *History and Survey of London*. Fol. 1756. Vol. i. Book i.

‡ In the notes to Drayton’s *Polyolbion*, Song viii.

■ There is a Lunden in Sweden, mentioned by Maitland, vol. i. *ubi sup.* It is the capital of the province of Schonen. Another town of the ■

City could not have been so distinguishing a characteristic of the place as Lake-City, because wood was a great deal more abundant than water. On the other hand, all the rivers at that time were probably more or less given to overflowing. Grove-City might have been the final name, though Lake-City was the first; and the propensity to name places from trees, is still evident in our numerous Woot-tons, or Wood-towns, Wood-fords, Woodlands, &c. But of all disputes, those upon etymology appear the most hopeless. Perhaps the word itself was not originally what we take it to be. Who would suspect the word *wig* to come from *peruke*; *jour* from *dies*; *uncle* from *avus*; or that *Kensington* should have been corrupted by the despairing organs of a foreigner, into *Inhimthorp*?

Whether London commenced with a spot cleared out in the woods by settlers from Holland, (Gallic Belgium,) as conjecture might imply from Cæsar, or whether the germ of it arose with the aboriginal inhabitants, we may conclude safely enough with Pennant, that it existed in some shape or other in Cæsar's time.

"It stood," says he, "in such a situation the Britains would select, according to the rule they established. An immense forest originally extended to the river side, and even as late as the reign of Henry II. covered the northern neighbourhood of the city, and was filled with various species of beasts of chase. It was defended naturally by fosses, formed by the creek which ran along Fleet Ditch; the other, afterwards known by that of Walbrook. The south side was

---

■ "We have one word," says Dr. Pegge, "which has not a single letter of its original, for of the French *peruke*, we got *periwig*, now abbreviated to *wig*. *Earwig* comes from *eruca*, as Dr. Wallis observes, *Anonymiana*, p. 56. The French word *jour* (day) comes from *dies*, through *diurnus*, *diurno*, *giorno*; so *giornale*, journal. *Uncle* is from *avus*, through *avunculus*. For *Inhimthorpe*, and other impossibilities, see Cosmo the Third's Travels through England, in the Reign of Charles II."

guarded by the Thames; the north they might think sufficiently protected by the adjacent forest.\*

In this place, then, seated on their hill, (probably that ■ which St. Paul's Cathedral stands, ■ it is the highest in London,) and gradually exchanging their burrows in the ground for huts of wicker and clay, we are to picture to ourselves our metropolitan ancestors, half-naked, rude in their manners, ignorant, violent, vindictive, subject to all the half-reasoning impulses,—their bodies tattooed like South Sea Islanders,—but brave, hospitable, patriotic, anxious for esteem,—in short, like other semi-barbarians, exhibiting energies which they did not yet know how to turn to account, but possessing, like all human beings, the germs of the noblest capabilities. The accounts given of them by Cæsar and other ancient writers appear to be inconsistent, perhaps because we do not enough consider the inconsistencies of our own manners. According to their statements, the Britons had found out the art of making chariots of war, and yet had not learnt how to convert grain into flour, or to make ■ solid substance of milk. They rode, as it were, in their coaches, and yet had not arrived at the dignity of bread and cheese. Probably their chariots were magnified both in number and construction. The scythes which modern fancy has turned into proper haymaking sabres, and which some antiquaries have found ■ convenient for cutting through “a woody country,” (a strange way of keeping them sharp,) may have been nothing but spikes. We know not so easily what to say to the bread and cheese, except that in more knowing times people ■ not always found very ready to improve upon old habits, even with reasons staring them in the face; though, ■ the other hand, lest habits should be thought older than they are, and reformers be too im-

---

\* Pennant's London, third edition, 4to. p. 3.

patient, it is worth while to consider, not how *long*, but how *short*, a period has elapsed (considering what a little thing a few centuries are in the progress of time) since in the very spot where a Briton sat half-naked and savage, unpossessed of a loaf or a piece of cheese, to be found gathered together all the luxuries of the globe. Fancy the soul of an ancient Briton visiting his old ground in St. Paul's Churchyard, and hardly staring more at the church and houses, than at the bread in the baker's window, and the magic leaves in that of the bookseller. In one respect, an ancient City-Briton differed *toto cælo* with a modern. He would not eat goose! He had a superstition against it.

London, in Cæsar's time, most probably a City of Ships; that is to say it traded with Gaul, and had a number of boats on its marshy river. Cæsar's pretence for invading England was, that it too good a provider for Gaul, and rendered his conquest of that country difficult. But it is doubtful whether he ever beheld or even alludes to the infant metropolis. His countrymen are supposed to have first taken possession of it about a hundred years afterwards, in the reign of Claudius. They had heard of a pearl-fishery, says Gibbon. At all events they found oysters; for Sandwich (Rutupium) became famous with them for that luxury.

It is not our design, in this Introduction, to give anything more than a sketch of the rise and growth of the metropolis; we shall leave the rest to be gathered as we proceed. Our intention is to go through London, quarter by quarter, and to notice the memorials as they arise; a plan, which, compared with others, (at least if we to judge of the effect which it has had on ourselves,) seems to possess something of the superiority of sight over hearsay. When we read of events in their ordinary train, we pitch ourselves with difficulty into the scenes of action,—sometimes wholly omit to do so; and there is a want of

life and presence in them accordingly. When we are placed in the scenes themselves, and told to look about us, — such and such a thing having happened in *that* house — *this* street being one in which another famous adventure took place, and *that* old mansion having been the dwelling of wit or beauty, we find ourselves comparatively at home, and enjoy the probability and the spectacle twice ■ much. We feel (especially if we are personally conversant with the spot) ■ if Shakspeare and Milton, Pope, Gay, and Arbuthnot, the club at the Mermaid, and the beauties at the court of White-Hall, were our next-door neighbours.

We shall take the reader, then, as speedily ■ possible among the quarters alluded to, and trouble him very little beforehand with dry abstracts and chronologies, or with races of men almost as uninteresting. The most patriotic reader of our history feels that he cares very little for his ancestors the Britons; of whom almost all he knows is, that they painted their skins, and made war in chariots. Nor do the Romans in England interest us more. They are ■ in helmets and short skirts, who have left us no memorial but ■ road or two, and ■ iron name. That is all that we know of them, and we care accordingly. Perhaps the Saxons, after having destroyed the Roman architecture ■ much ■ possible, and repented of it, took their own from what had survived. The greatest relic of Cæsar's countrymen in the metropolis was the piece of wall which ran lately south of Moorfields, in ■ street still designated ■ London Wall. The Romans had ■ vast material genius, not so intellectual as that of the Greeks, nor so calculated to move the world ultimately, but highly fitted to prepare the way for better impressions, by showing what the hand could perform; and ■ they built their wall in their usual giant style of solidity, it remained ■ long while to testify their magnificence. Small relics of it ■ yet to be seen in Little Bridge Street, behind Ludgate Hill |



on the north of Bull-and-Mouth Street, between that street and St. Botolph's Churchyard; and on the south side of the Churchyard of Cripplegate. There was another in the garden of Stationers' Hall, but it has been blocked up.

ANCIENT BRITISH LONDON was a mere space in the woods, open towards the river, and presenting circular cottages on the hill and slope, and a few boats on the water. As it increased, the cottages grew more numerous, and commerce increased the number of sails.

ROMAN LONDON was British London, interspersed with the better dwellings of the conquerors, and surrounded by a wall. It extended from Ludgate to the Tower, and from the river to the back of Cheapside.

SAXON LONDON was Roman London, despoiled, but retaining the wall, and ultimately growing civilised with Christianity, and richer in commerce. The first humble cathedral church then arose, where the present one now stands.

NORMAN LONDON was Saxon and Roman London, greatly improved, thickened with many houses, adorned with palaces of princes and princely bishops, sounding with minstrelsy, and glittering with the gorgeous pastimes of knighthood. This was its state through the Anglo-Norman and Plantagenet reigns. The friar then walked the streets in his cowl (Chaucer is said to have beaten one in Fleet Street), and the knights rode with trumpets in gaudy colours to their tournaments in Smithfield.

In the time of Edward the First, houses were still built of wood, and roofed with straw, sometimes even with reeds, which gave rise to numerous fires. The fires brought the brooks in request; and an importance which has since been swallowed up in the advancement of science, was then given to the *River of Wells* (Bagnigge, Sadler's, and Clerkenwell,) to the *Old Bourne*, (the origin of the name

of Holborn,) to the little river Fleet, the Wall-brook, and the brook Langbourne, which last still gives its name to a ward. The conduits, which were large leaden cisterns, twenty in number, were under the special care of the lord mayor and aldermen, who, after visiting them on horse-back on the eighteenth of September, "hunted ■ hare before dinner, and ■ fox *after* it, in the *Fields near St. Giles's*." \* Hours, and after-dinner pursuits, must have altered marvellously since those days, and the *body* of aldermen with them.

It ■ not till the reign of Henry the Fifth, that the city was *lighted at night*. The illumination was with lanterns, slung over the street with wisps of rope or hay. Under Edward the Fourth we first hear of *brick houses*; and in Henry the Eighth's time of *pavement in the middle of the streets*. The general aspect of London then experienced ■ remarkable change, in consequence of the dissolution of religious houses; the city, from the great number of them, having hitherto had the appearance "of ■ monastic, rather than a commercial metropolis." † The monk then ceased to walk, and the gallant London apprentice became more riotous. London, however, was still in ■ wretched condition, compared with what it is now. The streets, which had been impassable from mud, were often rendered so with filth and offal; and its homeliest wants being neglected, and the houses almost meeting at top, with heavy signs lumbering and filling up the inferior spaces, the metropolis was subject to *plagues* ■ well as fires. Nor ■ the interior of the houses better regarded. The people seemed to cultivate the plague. "The floors,"

---

\* Picture of London, p. 12.

† Id. p. 14. For a larger account of this and other matters briefly touched upon in the present introduction, see Brayley's London and Westminster. The spirit of the changes will appear in our work

says Erasmus, "are commonly of clay, strewed with rushes, which are occasionally renewed; but underneath lies unmolested an ancient collection of beer, grease, fragments of fish, &c., &c., and every thing that is nasty."\* The modern Englishman piques himself on his cleanliness, but he should do it modestly, considering what his ancestors could do; and he should do it not half so much as he does, considering what he still leaves undone. It is the disgrace of the city of London in particular, that it still continues to be uncleanly, except in externals, and even to resist the efforts of the benevolent to purify it. But time and circumstance ultimately force people to improve. It was plague and fire that first taught the Londoners to build their city better. We hope the authorities will reflect upon this; and not wait for cholera to complete the lesson.

Erasmus wrote in the time of Henry the Eighth, when the civil wars had terminated in a voluptuous security, and when the pride of the court and nobility was at its height. Knighthood was becoming rather a show than substance; and the changes in religion, the dissolution of the monasteries, and above all, the permission to read the Bible, set men thinking, and identified history in future with the progress of the general mind. Opinion, accidentally set free by a tyrant, was never to be put down, though tyranny tried never so hard. Poetry revived in the person of Henry Howard, Earl of Surrey; and, by a maturity natural to the first unsophisticated efforts of imagination, it came to its height in the next age with Shakspeare. The monasteries being dissolved, London was become entirely the commercial city it has remained ever since, though it still abounded with noblemen's mansions, and did so till a much later period. There were some in

---

\* Picture of London, p. 13.

the time of Charles the Second. The manners of the citizens under Henry the Eighth were still rude and riotous, but cheerful; and manly exercises were much cultivated. Henry was so pleased with one of the city archers, that he mock-heroically created him Duke of Shoreditch; upon which there arose a whole suburb peerage of Marquisses of Hogsdon and Islington, Pancras, &c.

In Elizabeth's time the London houses were still mostly of wood. We see remains of them in the Strand and Fleet Street, and in various parts of the city. They are like houses built of cards, one story projecting over the other; but unless there is something in the art of building, which may in future dispense with solidity, the modern houses will hardly be as lasting. People in the old ones could at least dance and make merry. Builders in former times did not spare their materials, nor introduce clauses in their leases against a jig. We fancy Elizabeth hearing of a builder who should introduce such a proviso against the health and merriment of her buxom subjects, and sending to him, with a good round oath, to take a little less care of his purse, and more of his own neck.

In this age, ever worthy of honour and gratitude, the illustrious Bacon set free the hands of knowledge, which Aristotle had chained up, and put into them the touchstone of experiment, the mighty mover of the ages to come. This was the great age, also, of English poetry and the drama. Former ■■■ and opinions now began to be seen only on the stage; intellect silently gave a man a rank in society he never enjoyed before; and nobles and men of letters mixed together in clubs. People now also began to speculate ■■■ government, as well ■■■ religion; and the first evidences of that unsatisfied argumentative spirit appeared, which produced the downfall of the succeeding dynasty, and ultimately the Revolution, and all

The governments of Elizabeth and James, fearing that the greater the concourse the worse would be the consequences of sickness, and secretly apprehensive, no doubt, of the growth of large and intellectual bodies of men near their head-quarters, did all in their power to confine the metropolis to its then limits, but in vain. Despotism itself, even in its mildest shape, cannot prevail against the spirit of an age; and Bacon was at that minute foreseeing the knowledge that was to quicken, increase, and elevate human intercourse, by means of the growth of commerce. Houses and streets grew then as they do now, not so quickly indeed, but equally to the astonishment of their inhabitants; and the latter had reason to congratulate themselves on a pavement to walk upon; a luxury for which a lively Parisian, not half a century ago, is said to have gone down on his knees, when he came into England, thanking God that there was a country "in which some regard was shown to foot passengers." In Charles the First's reign the suburbs of Westminster and Spitalfields were greatly enlarged, and the foundation of Covent Garden was commenced, as it now stands. Symptoms of a future neighbourhood appeared also in Leicester Fields, though the place continued to be what the name imports, as late as the beginning of the last century. The progress of building received a check from the Civil Wars, but only to revive with new spirit; and the Great Fire—which was a great blessing—swallowed up at once both the deformity and the disease of old times, by widening the streets, and putting an end to the liability to pestilence. London has not had a "*plague*" since, unless it be indigestion, which, however, is the great disease of modern sedentary times, and will never be got rid of, till we grow mental enough to have more respect for our bodies.

Towards the end of the reign of Charles the Second the metropolis began to increase in the direction of Holborn;



Hatton Garden, Brook, and Greville Streets were built; and Ormond Street ran towards the fields. In this and the following reigns the mansion-houses of the nobility on the river side began to give way to the private houses and streets, still retaining the name of the Strand. Pall Mall and St. James's increased also; and Soho Square, on its first building, received the name of the Duke of Monmouth. But particulars of that nature will be better noticed in the body of our work. The nobility, gentry, and the wits, were now mixed up together. City taverns were still frequented by them; and city marriages began to be sought after, to mend the fortunes of the debauched cavaliers. Elizabeth's successor, James, was the first king who entered into anything like domestic familiarity with the monied men of the city. Charles the Second took "t'other bottle" with them (see the *Spectator*); and Lord Rochester played the buffoon on Tower Hill, as a quack doctor.

The streets about St. Martin's-in-the-fields and St. Giles's-in-the-fields, those of Clerkenwell, the neighbourhood of Old Street and Shoreditch, Marlborough Street, Soho, &c., successively arose in the time of Queen Anne, as well as a good portion of Holborn, beginning from Brook Street and including the neighbourhood of Bedford Street and Red Lion Square. St. Paul's, too, was completed as it now stands. This, and the succeeding times of the Hanover succession, were the times of Whig and Tory, of the principal wit-poets, of writers upon domestic manners, and of what may be called an ambition of good sense and reason, — "sense" being the favourite term in books, as "wit" had been in the age of Charles. Clubs were multiplied *ad infinitum* by the more harmless civil wars between Whig and Tory; and ale and beer brought the middle classes together, wine did the rich. *Mug-house* clubs abounded in Long Acre, Cheapside, &c.;

62. H. 17.



“where gentlemen, lawyers, and tradesmen, used to meet in a great room, seldom under ■ hundred,” if we are to believe the *Journey through England*, in the year 1724.

At the commencement of the last century the village of St. Mary-le-bone was almost a mile distant from any part of London; the nearest street being Old Bond Street, which scarcely extended to the present Clifford Street. Soon after the accession of George the First, New Bond Street arose, with others in the immediate neighbourhood, and the houses in Berkeley Square and its vicinity. Hanover Square and Cavendish Square were open fields in the year 1716. They were built about the beginning of the reign of George the Second, at which time the houses arose on the north side of Oxford Street, which then first took the name. The neighbourhood of Cavendish Square, and Oxford Market, Holles Street, Margaret Street, Vere Street, &c., are of the same date; and the grounds for Harley, Wigmore, and Mortimer Streets were laid out; the village and church of Mary-le-bone being still separated from them all by fields. At the same period the legislature ordered the erection of the three parishes of St. George's Bloomsbury, St. Anne's Limehouse, and St. Paul's Deptford, London having, at that time, extended further in the last quarter than any other, by reason of the trade on the river.

So late, nevertheless, as this period, Fleet Ditch was a sluggish foul stream, open ■ far as Holborn Bridge, and admitting small vessels for trade, coal barges, &c. It had become such ■ nuisance, that it was now arched over, and the late Fleet Market soon appeared on the covering. About the year 1737, the west end of the town was improved by the addition of Grosvenor Square and its neighbourhood.

The increase of the metropolis on all sides was in proportion to the length of the reign of George the Third

The space between Mary-le-bone was filled in ; Southwark became ■ mass of houses united with Westminster ; and new towns, rather than suburbs, appeared in all quarters ; some with the names of towns, as Camden and Somers Town ; to which have been added, since the death of that prince, Portland Town ; ■ good half of Paddington, now joined with Kilburn ; ■ world of new streets between Paddington and Notting Hill ; Notting Hill itself including Shepherd's Bush ; another new world of streets, called Belgravia, between Knightsbridge and Pimlico ; others out by Peckham and Camberwell, including Clapham and Norwood ; and others again on the east, reaching as far as the skirts of Epping Forest ! Indeed, every village which was in the immediate and even the remote neighbourhood of London, and was quite distinct from one another at the beginning of the reign of George the Third, is now almost, if not quite, joined with it, including Highgate and Hampstead themselves on the north, Norwood on the south, Turnham Green and Parson's Green on the west, and Laytonstone on the east. The whole of this enormous mass of houses now presents us, more or less, in all quarters, with handsome streets, and even with squares ; and the two sides of the river are united by a series of noble bridges. New churches also have risen in every direction, and though the architecture is none of the best, they contribute to a general air of neatness and freshness, which the increase of education and politeness promises to keep up. There is an old prophecy that Hampstead is to be in the middle of London ; ■ phenomenon that London would really seem inclined to bring about. But a metropolis must stop somewhere ; and the very causes of its growth (we mean the facilities of carriage, &c.,) will ultimately, perhaps sooner than is looked for, prevent it. Railways now allow numbers to reside at a distance, who ■ few years ago would have remained in London.

Ancient British London is conjectured to have been about a mile long, and half a mile wide. Modern London occupies an area of above eighteen square miles; and all this space, deducting not quite two miles for the river, is filled up with houses and public buildings, with a population of perhaps two million of souls, and with riches from all parts of the globe. In this respect London may justly be said to be the "metropolis of the world;" though Paris has the advance of it in some others.

During the reign of George the Third, the whole mind of Europe was shaken up more vehemently than ever by the French Revolution; and, as the consequence is after such tempestuous innovations, men began to look about them, to see what had stood the test of it, and how they might improve their condition still farther. After a great many disputes, natural on all sides, and a singular proof of the omnipotence of public opinion over the most extraordinary military power, it may be safely asserted, that the essence of that opinion, or the intellectual part of it is secretly acknowledged as the great regulator of society, even by those who appear to regulate it themselves; and who never show their sense to more advantage, than when they lead where they must have followed. This is the most remarkable era, perhaps, in the history of mankind; and experiment, and promise, are of a piece with it. Everybody is now more or less educated; the extension of the graces of life does away with sordidness, and teaches people that men do not live by "bread alone;" there is a reading public, let the jealousies of secluded scholarship say what they will; the mighty hands which Bacon set free are in full action; the Press reports and assists them, and utters a thousand voices daily, not to be put an end to by anything short of a convulsion of the globe. Time and space themselves are comparatively annihilated by the inventions of the steam carriage and the telegraph.

graph. The corn-laws have gone, opening still wider the prospects of mankind; and improvements may be looked for in society, so much to the benefit of all classes, that the most reasonable observer will decline stating the amount of his expectations, lest they should be thought as extravagant, ■ old times would have thought the telegraph just mentioned, or the publication of those thousands of volumes ■ day called Newspapers.\*

A word or two more on health, and our modes of living. London was once called “Merry London,” the metropolis of “Merry England.” The word did not imply exclusively what it does now. Chaucer talks of the “merry organ at the mass.” But it appears to have had ■ signification still more desirable, — to have meant the best condition in which anything could be found, with cheerfulness for the result. Gallant soldiers were “merry men.” Favourable weather was “merry.” And London was “merry,” because its inhabitants were not only rich, but healthy and robust. They had sports infinite, up to the time of the Commonwealth, — races, and wrestlings, archery, quoits, tennis, foot-ball, hurling, &c. Their May-day ■ worthy of the burst of the season; not ■ man was left behind out of the fields, if he could help it; their apprentices piqued themselves on their stout arms, and not on their milliners’ faces; their nobility shook off the gout in tilts and tournaments; their Christmas closed the year with a joviality which brought the very trees indoors to crown their cups with, and which promised admirably for the year that was to come. In everything they did, there was ■ reference to Nature and her works, as if nothing should make them forget her; and ■ gallant re-

---

\* Since this paragraph was written, the wonderful events have taken place in France, which have so agitated the whole of Europe, and which promise to open a new epoch in human history. May all benefit from them, ■ we believe all may, without real injury to any one!



cognition of the duties of health and strength, as the foundation of their very right to be fathers.

We are aware of the drawbacks that accompanied this physical wisdom; of the comparative ignorance of the people, and the abuses they suffered accordingly, of slaveries, and star-chambers; of plagues, fires, and civil wars; of the burnings in Smithfield; of the murderings of wretched old women, supposed to be witches; and of other domestic superstitions, of which we are, perhaps, now-a-days unable to calculate the mischief. Surely we desire to see no more of them; and we are heartily willing that the same progress of thought which has swept them away, should have done us *a disservice meanwhile*, which *more thinking* shall put an end to. Far are we from desiring to go back. But we would hasten the time when reflection shall recover the good for us, without bringing back the evil. And this surely it may. This it must — for real knowledge could not make its progress without it. The labour would not end in the reward. It has been supposed, that the poorer orders cannot have their enjoyments again, — cannot have their old Christmas, for example, unless the rich supply them with the means of enjoyment, and so renew their charter of dependence. But this is to suppose that times are not changing in other respects, and that knowledge is not spreading. Riches and poverty themselves are modified by the progress of society; means are increased, however, to their apparent detriment at first, among the poor, and the knowledge of enjoyment becomes no longer confined to the rich, any more than the enjoyment of knowledge. Men may surely learn how to stouten their legs, as well as to improve their stockings. Now of all pleasures, those are the cheapest which are bought of nature, — such as air, and exercise, and manly sports; and though we allow that the poor, in order to relish them, must be

free from the melancholier states of poverty, it is desirable *meanwhile* that the dispensers of knowledge should assist in hastening more cheerful times by preparing for them, and that all classes should be told how much the cultivation of their bodily health increases the ability, both of rich and poor, to get out of their troubles. You may steep a *gipsey* in trouble, and he shall issue out of it laughing. It would not be easy to do this with an epicurean, or a fundholder, or with one of the parish poor; but neither need any ■■■ despair; for neither can the might of mechanical inventions, nor the greater might of opinion, be put down, whether in their first awful issuing forth, or in their final beneficence. And he that shall keep this softest in his mind, and be among the first to prepare for their enjoyment, by administering what helps he can to the encouragement of manly exercises among us, will assist in reviving the good old epithets of "merry England," and "merry London," *in a sense they never have had yet*. The progress of society has put an end to the melancholy absurdity of inquisitions, and star-chambers, and civil wars. The ground, therefore, is more clear for us to make England merrier in all respects than she was before. These things, we are aware, must result from other changes; but the changes themselves are in the reasonable and inevitable course of events.


As ■ link of a very pleasing description between old times and new, not unconnected with what we have been speaking of, we shall conclude our introduction by observing, that there is scarcely ■ street in the *city* of London, perhaps not one, nor many out of the pale of it, from some part of which the passenger may not discern ■ *tree*. Most persons to whom this has been mentioned have doubted the accuracy of our information, nor do we profess hitherto to have ascertained it; though since we heard the assertion, we have made ■ point of endeavouring to do so whenever

we could, and have not been disappointed. The mention of the circumstance generally creates ■ laughing astonishment, and ■ cry of "impossible !" Two persons, who successively heard of it the other day, not only thought it incredible as a general fact, but doubted whether half a dozen streets could be found with ■ twig in them ; and they triumphantly instanced "Cheapside," as a place in which it was "out of the question." Yet in Cheapside is an actual, visible, and even ostentatiously visible tree, to all who have eyes to look about them. It stands at the corner of Wood Street, and occupies the space of ■ house. There was ■ solitary one the other day in St. Paul's Churchyard, which has now got ■ multitude of young companions. A little child ■■ shown us a few years back, who was said never to have beheld a tree, but that single ■■ in St. Paul's Churchyard. Whenever a tree ■■ mentioned, she thought it was that and no other. She had no conception even of the remote tree in Cheapside ! This appears incredible ; but there would seem to be no bounds, either to imagination or to the want of it. We were told the other day, on good authority, of a man who had resided six-and-thirty years in the square of St. Peter's at Rome, and then for the first time went inside the Cathedral.

There is ■ little garden in *Watling Street* ! It lies completely open to the eye, being divided from the foot-way by a railing only.

In the body of our work will be found notices of other trees and green spots, that surprise the observer in the thick of the noise and smoke. Many of them are in churchyards. Others have disappeared during the progress of building. Many courts and passages are named from trees that once stood in them, as Vine and Elm Court, Fig-tree Court, Green-arbour Court, &c. It is not surprising that *garden-houses* ■■ they were called, should

have formerly abounded in Holborn, in Bunhill Row, and other (at that time) suburban places. We notice the fact, in order to observe how fond the poets were of occupying houses of this description. Milton seems to have made a point of having one. The only London residence of Chapman which is known, was in Old Street Road; doubtless at that time a rural suburb. Beaumont and Fletcher's house, on the Surrey side of the Thames, (for they lived as well as wrote together,) most probably had a garden: and Dryden's house in Gerard Street looked into the garden of the mansion built by the Earls of Leicester. A tree, or even a flower, put in a window in the streets of a great city, (and the London citizens, to their credit, are fond of flowers,) affects the eye something in the same way as the hand-organs, which bring unexpected music to the ear. They refresh the common-places of life, shed a harmony through the busy discord, and appeal to those first sources of emotion, which are associated with the remembrance of all that is young and innocent. They seem also to present to us a portion of the tranquillity we think we are labouring for, and the desire of which is felt as an earnest that we shall realise it somewhere, either in this world or in the next. Above all, they render us more cheerful for the performance of present duties; and the smallest seed of this kind, dropt into the heart of man, is worth more, and may terminate in better fruits, than anybody but a great poet could tell us.



## CHAPTER I.

## ST. PAUL'S, AND ■■■ NEIGHBOURHOOD.

The Roman Temple of Diana—the first Christian Church. Old St. Paul's. Inigo Jones's Portico. Strange Usages of Former Times. Encroachments on the Fabric of the Cathedral. Paul's Walkers. Dining with Duke Humphrey. Catholic Customs. The Boy-Bishop. The Children of the Revels. Strange Ceremony on the Festivals of the Commemoration and Conversion of St. Paul. Ancient Tombs in the Cathedral. Scene between John of Gaunt and the Anti-Wickliffites. Paul's Cross. The Folkmote. The Sermons. Jane Shore. See-saw of Popery and Protestantism. London House. The Charnel. The Lollards' Tower. St. Paul's School. Desecration of the Cathedral during the Commonwealth. The present Cathedral: Sir Christopher Wren. Statue of Queen Anne.



St. Paul's Churchyard is probably the oldest ground built upon in London, we begin our perambulations in that quarter. The cross which formerly stood north of the cathedral, and of which Stowe could not tell the antiquity, is supposed by some to have

originated in one of those sacred stones which the Druids made use of in worship; but at least it is more than probable that here was a burial-ground of the ancient Britons; because when Sir Christopher Wren dug for a foundation to his cathedral, he discovered abundance of ivory and wooden pins, apparently of box, which are supposed to have fastened their winding sheets. The graves of the Saxons lay above them, lined with chalk-stones, or consisting of stones hollowed out: and in the same row with the pins, but deeper, lay Roman horns, lamps, lachrymatories, and all the elegancies of classic sculpture. Sir Christopher dug till he came to sand, and sea-shells, and to the London clay, which has since become



famous in geology ; so that the single history of St. Paul's Churchyard carries us back to the remotest periods of tradition ; and we commence our book in the proper style of the old Chroniclers, who were not content, unless they began with the history of the world.

The Romans were thought to have built ■ Temple to Diana on the site of the modern cathedral, by reason of ■ number of relics of horned animals reported to have been dug up there. Sir Christopher Wren asserts that there was no ground for the supposition. There was ■ similar story of a temple of Apollo at Westminster, built on the site of the present abbey, and said to have been destroyed by an earthquake. "Earthquakes," observed Sir Christopher, "break not stones to pieces ; nor would the Picts be at that pains ; but I imagine that the monks, finding the Londoners pretending to a Temple of Diana, where now St. Paul's stands (horns of stags and tusks of boars having been dug up in former times, and it is said also in later years), would not be behindhand in antiquity ; but I must assert, that having changed all the foundations of old St. Paul's, and upon that occasion rummaged all the ground thereabouts, and being very desirous to find some footsteps of such a temple, I could not discover any, and therefore can give no more credit to Diana than to Apollo."\*

Woodward, on the other hand, insisted on the Temple of Diana. He asserted, that a variety of the relics alluded to, in his own possession, were actually dug up on the spot, together with sacrificing vessels sculptured with beasts of chase, and with figures of Diana. In digging between the Deanery and Blackfriars ■ small brass figure of the goddess had also been found.†

Woodward was an enthusiast, eager to find what he

\* Parentalia, p. 290, quoted in the work next mentioned.

fancied. Wren was willing to find also, but with cooler eyes. It is at the same time worth observing, that though Sir Christopher appears to have rejected the Pagan story with reason, he could not find it in his heart to refuse credit to the gratuitous traditions of old writers in favour of ■ Christian church “planted here by the Apostles themselves.”\* He calls the traditions “authentic testimony.”

It is barely possible that the relics mentioned by Woodward might have been all dug up, by the time Sir Christopher set about his inquiry; but let them have been what they might, they would have proved nothing in favour of ■ Roman Temple, because the Romans never buried under their temples; neither did their legions remain long enough in this country to ■ the character of the place altered. It was sufficiently remarkable, that proofs had been discovered even of their burying there at all; for, at Rome, none but very extraordinary persons were suffered to be buried within the walls; and the Roman cemeteries in England are proved to have been without them. It can only be accounted for on the supposition, that ■ no great men are so great as the great men of colonies, the Prefects and their officers at London decreed themselves ■ honour, which was to be attained at Rome by nothing short of the merits of a Fabricius or ■ Publicola.


The first authentic account of the existence of a Christian church on this spot is that of Bede, who attributes the erection of it to King Ethelbert, about the year 610, soon after his conversion by St. Augustine. The building, which was probably of wood, was burned down in 961, but was restored the ■ year, — a proof that, notwithstanding the lofty terms in which it is spoken of by the old historian, it could not have been of any great extent.

This second church lasted till the time of William the Conqueror, when it too was destroyed by a conflagration, which burned the greater part of the city. Bishop Maurice, who had just been appointed to the see, now resolved to rebuild the cathedral on a much grander scale than before, at his own expense. To assist him in accomplishing this object, the king granted him the stones of the old castle, called the Palatine Tower, which stood at the mouth of the Fleet River, and which had been reduced to ruins in the same conflagration. The Bishop's design was looked upon as so vast, that "men at that time," says Stowe, "judged it would never have been finished; it was then so wonderful for length and breadth." \* This was in the year 1087; and the people had some reason for their astonishment, for the building was not completed till the year 1240, in the reign of Henry the Third. Some even extend the date to 1315, which is two hundred and twenty-eight years after its foundation; but this was owing rather to repairs and additions, than to anything wanting in the original edifice. The cathedral thus patched, altered, and added to, over and over again, with different orders and no orders of architecture, and partially burned, oftener than once, remained till the Great Fire of London, when it was luckily rendered incapable of further deformity, and gave way to the present.

It was, indeed, a singular structure, and used for singular purposes.

"The exterior of the building," says an intelligent writer, himself an architect, "presented a curious medley of the architectural style of different ages. At the western front Inigo Jones had erected a portico of the Corinthian order; thus displaying a singular example of that bigotry of taste, which, only admitting one mode of beauty, is insensible to the superior

claims of congruity. This portico, however, singly considered, was a grand and beautiful composition, and not inferior to any thing of the kind which modern times have produced: fourteen columns, each rising to the lofty height of forty-six feet, were so disposed, that eight, with two pilasters placed in front, and three ■ each flank, formed ■ square (oblong) peristyle, and supported an entablature and balustrade, which was crowned with statues of kings, predecessors of Charles the First, who claimed the honour of this fabric. Had the whole front been accommodated to Roman architecture, it might have deserved praise as a detached composition; but though cased with rustic work, and decorated with regular cornices, the pediment retained the original Gothic character in its equilateral proportions, and it was flanked by barbarous obelisks and ill-designed turrets.



“The whole of the exterior body of the church had been cased and reformed in a similar manner, through which every

detail of antiquity was obliterated, and the general forms and proportions only left. The buttresses were converted into regular piers, and a complete cornice crowned the whole: of the windows, some were barely ornamented apertures, whilst others were decorated in a heavy Italian manner, with architrave dressings, brackets, and cherubic heads. The transepts presented fronts of the same incongruous style as the western elevation, and without any of its beauties.”

In its original state, however, old St. Paul's must have been ■ imposing building. Its extent at least was very great. The entire mass measured 690 feet in length, by 130 in breadth, and it was surmounted by a spire 520 feet high. The spire was of timber. It bore upon its summit not only a ball and cross, but a large gilded eagle, which served as a weathercock. But the church having been nearly burned to the ground in June, 1561, owing to the carelessness of a plumber who left a pan of coals burning near some wood-work while he went to dinner, it was hastily restored without the lofty spire; so that in Hollar's engraving, given by Dugdale, of the building as it appeared in 1656, it stands curtailed of this ornament. Only the square tower, from which the spire sprang up, remains. “The old cathedral,” says Mr. Malcolm, on the authority of ■ note with which he was furnished by the Rev. Mr. Watts of Sion College, “did not stand in the same direction with the new, the latter inclining rather to the south-west and north-east; and the west front of the Old Church came much farther towards Ludgate than the present.” †

It is of the Cathedral, ■ thus renovated, that Sir John Denham speaks in the following passage of his *Cooper's Hill*:

——— “That sacred pile, so vast, so high,  
That whether it's a part of earth or sky,



Uncertain seems, and may be thought ■ proud  
Aspiring mountain, or descending cloud ;  
Paul's, the late name of such ■ muse whose flight  
Has bravely reach'd and soar'd above thy height ;  
Now shalt thou stand, though sword, or time, or fire,  
Or zeal, more fierce than they, thy fall conspire,  
Secure, whilst thee the best of poets sings,  
Preserv'd from ruin by the best of kings.

“The best of poets” is his brother courtier Waller, who had some time before written his verses “Upon his Majesty’s repairing of St. Paul’s,” in which he compares King Charles, for his regeneration of the Cathedral, to Amphion and other “antique minstrels,” who were said to have achieved architectural feats by the power of music, and who, he says,

———— “Sure were Charles-like kings,  
Cities their lutes, and subjects’ hearts their strings;  
On which with so divine a hand they strook,  
Consent of motion from their breath they took.”

Jones’s first labour, the removal of the various foreign encumbrances that had so long oppressed and deformed the venerable edifice, Waller commemorates by ■ pair of references to St. Paul’s history, not unhappily applied : he says the whole nation had combined with his majesty

———— “to grace  
The Gentiles’ great Apostle, and deface  
Those state-obscuring sheds, that like ■ chain  
Seem’d to confine and fetter him again ;  
Which the glad Saint shakes off at his command,  
As once the viper from his sacred hand.”

Denham’s prediction did ■ credit to the prophetic reputation of poetry. Of the fabric which was to be unassailable by zeal or fire the poet himself lived to see the ruin, begun by the one and completed by the other ■ and he himself, curiously enough, a short time before his

death, was engaged as the King's surveyor-general in (nominally at least) presiding over the erection of the new Cathedral — the successor of the "sacred pile," of which he had thus sung the immortality.

When Jones began the repairs and additions of which his portico formed a part, in 1633, the rubbish that was removed was carried, Mr. Malcolm informs us, to Clerkenwell fields, where, he suggests, "some curious fragments of antiquity may still remain." ■ The very beauty of this portico, surmounted with its strange pediment and figures, and dragging at its back that heap of deformity, completed the monstrous look of the whole building, like a human countenance backed by some horned lump. But this was nothing to the moral deformities of the interior. Old St. Paul's, throughout almost the whole period of its existence, at least from the reign of Henry the Third, was a thoroughfare, and a "den of thieves." The thoroughfare was occasioned probably by the great circuit which people had been compelled to make by the extent of the wall of the old churchyard, — a circumference a great deal larger than it is at present. There is a principle of familiarity in the Catholic worship, which, while it excites the devotional tenderness of more refined believers, is apt to produce the consequence, though not the feelings, of contempt among the vulgar. Fear hinders contempt ; but when licence is mixed with it, and the fear is not in action, the liberties taken are apt to be in proportion. We have seen, in a Catholic chapel in London, a milk-maid come into the passage, dash down her pails, and having crossed herself, and applied the holy water with reverence, depart with the same air with which she came in. The next thing to setting down the pails, under the circumstances above mentioned, would have been to creep with them through the church. Porters and loi-

terers would follow ; and by degrees the place of worship would become a place of lounging and marketing, and intrigue, and all sorts of disorder. In the reign of Edward the Third, the king complains to the bishop, that the “eating-room of the canons” had “become the office and work-place of artisans, and the resort of shameless women.” The complaint turned out to be of no avail ; nor had the mandate of the bishop a better result in the time of Richard the Third, though it was accompanied with the penalty of excommunication. An act was passed to as little purpose in the reign of Philip and Mary ; and in the time of Elizabeth the new opinions in religion seem to have left the place fairly in possession of its chaos, as if in derision of the old. The toleration of the abuse thus became a matter of habit and indifference ; and a young theologian, afterwards one of the witty prelates of Charles the Second (Bishop Earle), did not scruple to make it the subject of what we should now call a “pleasant article.”

“It must appear strange,” says a note in Brayley’s *London and Middlesex*, (vol. ii. p. 219.) “to those who are acquainted with the decent order and propriety of regulation now observed in our cathedral churches, and other places of divine worship, that ever such an extended catalogue of improper customs and disgusting usages as are noticed in various works, should have been formerly admitted to be practised in St. Paul’s church, and more especially that they should have been so long habitually exercised as to be defended ■ the plea of prescription.”

“These nuisances had become so great, that in the time of Philip and Mary the Common Council found it necessary to pass an act, subjecting all future offenders to pains and penalties. From that act, the church seems to have been not only made a common passage-way for all—beer, bread, fish, flesh, fardels of stuffs, &c., but also for mules, horses, and other beasts. This statute, however, must have proved only a temporary restraint (excepting, probably, as to the leading of

animals through the church); for in the reign of Elizabeth, we learn from *Londinium Redivivum*, (vol. iii. p. 71.) that idlers and drunkards were indulged in lying and sleeping on the benches at the choir door, and that other usages, too nauseous for description, were also frequent."

Among the curious notices relating to the irreverend practices pursued in this church in the time of Elizabeth, collected by Mr. Malcolm from the manuscript presentments on visitations preserved at St. Paul's, are the following—

"In the upper quier wher the comon [communion] table dothe stande, there is much unreverente people, *walking with their hatts on their heddes*, comonly all the service tyme, no man reproving them for yt."

"Yt is a greate disorder in the churche, that porters, butchers, and water-bearers, and who not, be suffered (in special tyme of service) to carrye and recarrye whatsoever, no man withstandinge them, or gainsaying them," &c.

"The notices of encroachments on St. Paul's, in the same reign, are equally curious. The chantry and other chapels were completely diverted from their ancient purposes; some were used as receptacles for stores and lumber; another was a school, another a glazier's shop; and the windows of all were, in general, broken. Part of the vaults beneath the church was occupied by a carpenter, the remainder held by the bishop, the dean and chapter, and the minor canons. One vault, thought to have been used for a burial-place, was converted into a wine-cellar, and a way had been cut into it through the wall of the building itself. (This practice of converting church vaults into wine-cellar, it may be remarked, is not yet worn out. Some of the vaults of Winchester Cathedral are now, or were lately, used for that purpose.) The shrowds and cloisters under the convocation house, 'where not long since the sermons in foul weather were wont to be preached,' were made 'a common lay-stall for boardes, trunks, and chests, being lett oute unto trunk-makers, where, by meanes of their daily knocking and noyse, the church is greatly disturbed.' More than twenty houses also had been built against the outer walls of the cathedral; and part of the very foundations

■ cut away to make offices. One of those houses had literally ■ closet dug in the wall; from another was ■ way through a window into a wareroom in the steeple; ■ third, partly formed by St. Paul's, was lately used as a *play-house*; and the owner of the fourth baked his *bread* and *pies* in an *oven* excavated within ■ buttress." ■

The middle of St. Paul's was also the Bond-Street of that period, and remained ■ till the time of the Commonwealth. The loungers were called Paul's Walkers.

"The young gallants from the inns of Court, the western and the northern parts of the metropolis, and those that had spirit enough," says our author, "to detach themselves from the counting-houses in the east, used to meet at the central point, St. Paul's; and from this circumstance obtained the appellations of *Paul's Walkers*, ■ we now say *Bond-street Loungers*. However strange it may seem, tradition says that the great Lord Bacon used in his youth to cry, *Eastward ho!* and was literally a Paul's Walker."†

Lord Bacon had a taste for display, which was afterwards exhibited in a magnificent manner, worthy of the grandeur of his philosophy; but this, when he was young, might probably enough have been vented in the shape of an exuberance, which did not yet know what to do with itself. Who would think that the late Mr. Fox ever wore red-heeled shoes, and was a "buck about town?"

But to conclude with these curious passages:—

"The Walkers in Paul's," continues our author, "during this and the following reigns, were composed of ■ motley assemblage of the gay, the vain, the dissolute, the idle, the knavish, and the lewd; and various notices of this fashionable resort may be found in the old plays and other writings of the time. Ben Jonson, in his *Every Man out of his Humour*, has given a series of scenes in the interior of St. Paul's, and ■

\* *Londinium Redivivum*, vol. iii. pp. 71. 73.

Moser, in the *European Magazine*, July, 1807.



assemblage of ■ great variety of characters; in the course of which the curious piece of information occurs, that it was common to affix *bills*, in the form of advertisements, upon the columns in the aisles of the church, in ■ similar manner to what is now done in the Royal Exchange: those bills he ridicules in two affected specimens, the satire of which is admirable. Shakspeare also makes Falstaff say, in speaking of Bardolph, 'I bought him in *Paul's*, and he'll buy me a horse in Smith-field: if I could get me but a wife in the stews, I were mann'd, hors'd, and wiv'd.'

To complete these urbanities, the church was the resort of pickpockets. Bishop Corbet, a poetical wit of the time of Charles the First, sums up its character, as the "walke

"Where all our Brittain sinners sweare and talk." \*

Only one reformation had taken place in it since the complaint made by Edward the Third: no woman, at the time of Earle's writing, was to be found there; at least not in the crowd. "The visitants," he says, "are all men, without exception."† A commonwealth writer insinuates otherwise; but the visitation was not public. The practice of "walking and talking" in St. Paul's appears to have revived under James the Second, probably in connexion with Catholic wishes; for there was an act of the first of William and Mary, by which transgressors forfeited twenty pounds for every offence; and, what is remarkable, the bishop threatened to enforce this act so late as the year 1725; "the custom," says Mr. Malcolm, "had become ■ very prevalent." ‡

A proverb of "dining with Duke Humphrey," has survived to the present day, owing to a supposed tomb of

■ Poems. Gilchrist's edition, 1807, p. 5.

† Microcosmographie, quoted in Pennant.

‡ Anecdotes of the Manners and Customs of London during the Eighteenth Century, vol. i. p. 281.

Humphrey, the good Duke of Gloucester, which was popular with the poorer frequenters of the place. They had a custom of strewing herbs before it, and sprinkling it with water. The tomb, according to Stow, was not Humphrey's, but that of Sir John Beauchamp, one of the house of Warwick. Men who strolled about for want of a dinner, were familiar enough with this tomb; and were therefore said to dine with Duke Humphrey.

While some of the extraordinary operations above-mentioned were going on, (the intriguing, picking of pockets, &c.) the sermon was very likely proceeding. It is but fair, however, to conclude, that in the Catholic times, during the elevation of the host, there was a show of respect. We have heard a gentleman say, who visited Spain in his childhood, that he remembered being at the theatre during a fandango, when a loud voice cried out "*Dios*" (God); and all the people in the house, including the dancers, fell on their knees. A profound silence ensued. After a pause of a few seconds, the people rose, and the fandango went on as before. The little boy could not think what had happened, but was told that the host had gone by. The Deity (for so it was thought) had been sent for to the house of a sick man; and it was to honour him in passing, that the theatre had gone down on their knees. Catholics reform as well as other people, with the growth of knowledge, especially when restrictions no longer make their prejudices appear a matter of duty. We know not how it is in Spain at this moment, with regard to the devout interval of the fandango; but we know what would be thought of it by thousands of the offspring of those who witnessed it on this occasion; and certainly in no Catholic church now-a-days can be the abominations of old St. Paul's.

The passenger who goes by the cathedral, and associates the idea of the inside with that of respectful

silence and the simplicity of Protestant worship, little thinks what ■ noise has been in that spot, and what gorgeous processions have issued out of it.

Old St. Paul's was famous for the splendour of its shrine, and for its priestly wealth. The list of its copes, vestments, jewels, gold and silver cups, candle-sticks, &c. occupies thirteen folio pages of the *Monasticon*. The side aisles were filled with chapels to different saints and the Virgin; that is to say, with nooks partitioned off one from another, and enriched with separate altars; and it is calculated, that, taking the whole establishment, there could hardly be fewer than two hundred priests. On certain holidays, this sacred multitude, in their richest copes, together with the lord mayor, aldermen, and city companies, and all the other parish priests of London, who carried a rich silver cross for every church, issued forth from the cathedral door in procession, singing ■ hymn, and so went through Cheapside and Cornhill to Leadenhall, and back again. The last of these spectacles was for the peace of Guisnes, in 1546; shortly after which Henry the Eighth swept into his treasury the whole glories of Catholic worship, copes, crosses, jewels, church-plate, &c. — himself being the most bloated enormity that had ever mis-used them.

Among other retainers to the establishment, Henry suppressed a singular little personage, entitled the Boy-Bishop. The Boy-bishop (*Episcopus Puerorum*) was ■ chorister annually elected by his fellows to imitate the state and attire of a bishop, which he assumed on St. Nicholas's day, the sixth of December, and retained till that of the Innocents, December the twenty-eighth.

“This was done,” says Brayley, “in commemoration of St. Nicholas, who, according to the Romish Church, was so piously fashioned, that even when ■ babe in his cradle he would fast both on Wednesdays and Fridays, and at those times was ‘well

pleased' to suck but once a-day. However ridiculous it may now seem, the boy-bishop is stated to have possessed episcopal authority during the above term; and the other children were his prebendaries. He was not permitted to celebrate mass, but he had full liberty to preach; and however puerile his discourses might have been, we find they were regarded with so much attention, that the learned Dean Colet, in his statutes for St. Paul's school, expressly ordained that the scholars shall, on 'every *Childermas* daye, come to Paule's Church, and hear the Chylde Bishop's sermon, and after be at the hygh masse, and each of them offer a penny to the chylde bishop; and with them the maisters and surveyors of the scole.' Probably," continues Mr. Brayley, "these orations, though affectedly childish, were composed by the more aged members of the church. If the boy-bishop died within the time of his prelacy, he was interred *in pontificalibus*, with the same ceremonies as the real diocesan; and the tomb of a child-bishop in Salisbury Cathedral may be referred to as an instance of such interment."\*

"From a printed church-book," says Mr. Hone, "containing the service of the boy-bishops set to music, we learn that, on the eve of Innocents'-day, the boy-bishop, and his youthful clergy, in their copes, and with burning tapers in their hands, went in solemn procession, chanting and singing versicles, as they walked into the choir by the west door, in such order that the dean and canons went foremost, the chaplains next, and the boy-bishop with his priests in the last and highest place. He then took his seat, and the rest of the children disposed themselves on each side of the choir, upon the uppermost ascent, the canons resident bearing the incense and the book, and the petit-canons the tapers, according to the rubrick. Afterwards he proceeded to the altars of the Holy Trinity and All Saints, which he first censed, and next the image of the Holy Trinity, his priests all the while singing. Then they all chanted a service with prayers and responses, and, in the like manner taking his seat, the boy-bishop repeated salutations,

---

\* London and Middlesex, vol. ii. p. 229.

prayers, and versicles; and in conclusion gave his benediction to the people, the chorus answering *Deo Gratias*.\*

The origin of customs is often as obscure as that of words, and may be traced with probability to many sources. Perhaps the boy-bishop had a reference, not only to St. Nicholas, but to Christ preaching when a boy among the doctors, and to the divine wisdom of his recommendations of a childlike simplicity. The school afterwards founded by Dean Colet was in honour of "the child Jesus." There was a school attached to the cathedral, of which Colet's was, perhaps, a revival, as far as scholarship was concerned. The boys in the older school were not only taught singing but acting, and for a long period were the most popular performers of stage-plays. In the time of Richard the Second, these Boy-Actors petitioned the king to prohibit certain ignorant and inexperienced people from presenting the History of the Old Testament." They began with sacred plays, but afterwards acted profane; so that St. Paul's singing-school was numbered among the play-houses. This custom, as well as that of the boy-bishop, appears to have been common wherever there were choir-boys; and it doubtless originated, partly in the theatrical nature of the catholic ceremonies at which they assisted, and partly in the delight which the more scholarly of their masters took in teaching the plays of Terence and Seneca. The annual performance of a play of Terence, still kept up at Westminster school, is supposed by Warton to be a remnant of it. The choristers of Westminster Abbey, and of the chapel of Queen Elizabeth, (who took great pleasure in their performances,) were celebrated as actors, though not so much so as those of St. Paul's. A set of them were incorporated under the title of Children of the Revels,

---

\* Ancient Mysteries described, &c. 1823, p. 195.



among whom are to be found names that have since become celebrated as the fellow-actors of Shakspeare,—Field, Underwood, and others. It was the same with Hart, Mohun, and others, who were players in the time of Cibber. It appears that children with good voices were sometimes *kidnapped* for a supply.\* Tusser, who wrote the Five Hundred Points of Good Husbandry, is thought to have been thus pressed into the service; and a relic of the custom is supposed to have existed in that of pressing drummers for the army, which survived so late as the accession of Charles the First. The exercise of the right of might over children, and by people who wanted singers,—an effeminate press-gang,—would seem an intolerable nuisance; but the children ~~were~~ were probably glad enough to be complimented by the violence, and to go to sing and play before a court.

Ben Jonson has some pretty verses on one of these juvenile actors:

Weep with me, all you that read  
 This little story;  
 And know, for whom a tear you shed,  
 Death's self is sorry.

'Twas ■ child that so did thrive  
 In grace and feature,  
 As heaven and nature seemed to strive  
 Which owned the creature.

Years he numbered, scarce thirteen,  
 When fates turned cruel;  
 Yet three filled zodiacs had he been  
 The stage's jewel;

---

\* *Purvey'd* is the word of Mr. Chalmers; who says, however, that he knows not ■ what principle the right of ■ purveying such children "was justified, "except by the maxim that the king had a right to the services of all his subjects." See Johnson and Steevens's Shakspeare. Prolegomena, vol. ii. p. 516.

And did act (what now we moan)  
 Old men ■ duly,  
 As, sooth, the Parcæ thought him one,  
 He played so truly.

Till, by error of his fate,  
 They all consented ;  
 But viewing him since (alas ! too late)  
 They have repented ;

And have sought (to give new birth)  
 In baths to steep him !  
 But being so much too good for earth,  
 Heaven vows to keep him.

~~This~~ child, we see, was celebrated for acting old men. It is well known, that up to the Restoration, and sometimes afterwards, boys performed the parts of women. Kynaston, when a boy, used to be taken out by the ladies an airing, in his female dress, after the play. This custom of males appearing as females gave rise, in Shakspeare's time, to the frequent introduction of female characters disguised ; thus presenting a singular anomaly, and ■ specimen of the gratuitous imaginations of the spectators in those days ; who, besides being contented with taking the bare stage for a wood, ■ rock, or a garden, ■ it happened, were to suppose a boy on the stage *to pretend to be himself*.

One of the strangest of the old ceremonies, in which the clergy of the cathedral used to figure, was that which was performed twice a-year, namely, ■ the day of the Commemoration and on that of the Conversion of St. Paul. On the former of these festivals, a fat doe, and on the latter, a fat buck, was presented to the Church by the family of Baud, in consideration of some land which they held of the Dean and Chapter at West Lee in Essex. The original agreement made with Sir William Le Baud, in 1274, was, that he himself should attend in person with

the animals ; but some years afterwards it was arranged that the presentation should be made by a servant, accompanied by a deputation of part of the family. The priests, however, continued to perform their part in the show. When the deer was brought to the foot of the steps leading to the choir, the reverend brethren appeared in a body to receive it, dressed in their full pontifical robes, and having their heads decorated with garlands of flowers. From thence they accompanied it — the servant led it forward to the high altar, where having been solemnly offered and slain, it was divided among the residentiaries. The horns were then fastened to the top of a spear, and carried in procession by the whole company around the inside of the church, a noisy concert of horns regulating their march. This ridiculous exhibition, which looks like a parody on the pagan ceremonies of their predecessors the priests of Diana, was continued by the cathedral clergy down to the time of Elizabeth.

The modern passenger through St. Paul's Churchyard has not only the last home of Nelson and others to venerate, as he goes by. In the ground of the old church were buried, and here, therefore, remains whatever dust may survive them, the gallant Sir Philip Sydney (the *beau ideal* of the age of Elizabeth), and Vandyke who immortalised the youth and beauty of the court of Charles the First. One of Elizabeth's great statesmen also lay there, — Walsingham, — who died so poor, that he was buried by stealth, to prevent his body from being arrested. Another, Sir Christopher Hatton, who is supposed to have danced himself into the office of her Majesty's Chancellor\*, had a tomb which his con-

---

\* " His bushy beard, and shoe-strings green,  
His high-crown'd hat, and satin doublet,  
Mov'd the stout heart of England's queen,  
Though Pope and Spaniard could not trouble it."

temporaries thought too magnificent, and which was accused of "shouldering" the altar. There was an absurd epitaph upon it, by which he would seem to have been a *dandy* to the last.

Stay and behold the mirror of a dead man's house,  
Whose lively person would have made thee stay and wonder.

When Nature moulded him, her thoughts were most on Mars;  
And all the heavens to make him goodly were agreeing;  
Thence he was valiant, active, strong, and passing comely;  
And God did grace his mind and spirit with gifts excelling.  
Nature commends her workmanship to Fortune's charge,  
Fortune presents him to the court and queen,  
Queen Eliz. (O God's dear handmayd) his most miracle.  
*Now hearken, reader, raritie not heard or seen;*  
This blessed Queen, mirror of all that Albion rul'd,  
Gave favour to his faith, and precepts to his hopeful time;  
First trained him in the stately band of pensioners;

And for her safety made him Captain of the Guard.  
Now doth she prune this vine, and from her sacred breast  
Lessons his life, makes wise his heart for her great counsellors,  
And so, *Vice-Chamberlain*, where foreign princes eyes  
Might well admire her choyce, wherein she most excels.

He then aspires, says the writer, to "the highest subject's seat," and becomes

Lord Chancelour (measure and conscience of a holy king :)  
*Robe, Collar, Garter*, dead figures of great honour,  
Alms-deeds with faith, honest in word, frank in dispence,  
The poor's friend, not popular, the church's pillar.  
This tombe sheweth one, the heaven's shrine the other.\*

The first line in italics, and the poetry throughout, are only to be equalled by a passage in an epitaph we have met with on a Lady of the name of Greenwood, of whom her husband says,—

---

\* Maitland's History of London, vol. ii. p. 1170.

"Her graces and her qualities such  
 That she might have married a bishop or a judge;  
 But so extreme her condescension and humility,  
 That she married me, a poor doctor of divinity;  
 By which heroic deed, she stands confest,  
 Of all other women, the phoenix of her sex."

Sir Christopher is said to have died of a broken heart, because his once loving mistress exacted a debt of him, which he found it difficult to pay. It was common to talk of courtiers dying of broken hearts at that time; which gives one an equal notion of the queen's power, and the servility of those gentlemen. Fletcher, Bishop of London, father of the great poet, was another who had a tomb in the old church, and is said to have undergone the same fate. It was he that did a thing very unlike a poet's father. He attended the execution of Mary Queen of Scots, and said aloud, when her head was held up by the executioner, "So perish all Queen Elizabeth's enemies!" He was then Dean of Peterborough. The queen made him a bishop, but suspended him for marrying a second wife, which so preyed upon his feelings, that it is thought, by the help of an immoderate love of smoking, to have hastened his end—a catastrophe worthy of a mean courtier. He was well, sick, and dead, says Fuller, in a quarter of an hour. Most probably he died of apoplexy, the tobacco giving him the *coup de grace*.\*

Dr. Donne, the head of the metaphysical poets, well criticised by Johnson, was Dean of St. Paul's, and had a grave here, of which he has left an extraordinary memorial. It is a wooden image of himself, made to his order, and representing him as he was to appear in his shroud. This, for some time before he died, he kept by

---

\* The bishop's second wife was a Lady Baker, who is said, by Mr. Brayley, to have been young as well as beautiful, and probably did not do much to the prelate's repose.



his bed-side in an open coffin, thus endeavouring to reconcile ■ uneasy imagination to the fate he could not avoid. It is still preserved in the vaults under the church, and is to be seen with the other curiosities of the cathedral. We will not do ■ great man such a disservice as to dig him up for a spectacle. A man should be judged of at the time when he is most himself, and not when he is about to consign his weak body to its elements.

Of the events that have taken place connected with St. Paul's, one of the most curious was a scene that passed in the old cathedral between John of Gaunt and the Anti-Wickliffites. It made him very unpopular at the time. Probably, if he had died just after it, his ~~corpse~~ would have been torn to pieces; but subsequently he had a magnificent tomb in the church, on which hung his crest and cap of state, together with his lance and target. Perhaps the merits of the friend of Wickliff and Chaucer are now as much overvalued. The scene is taken as follows, by Mr. Brayley, out of Fox's Acts and Monuments.

“One of the most remarkable occurrences that ever took place within the old cathedral was the attempt made, in 1376, by the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Bishop of London, under the command of Pope Gregory the Eleventh, to compel Wickliff, the father of the English Reformation, to subscribe to the condemnation of some of his own tenets, which had been recently promulgated in the eight articles that have been termed the Lollards' Creed. The pope had ordered the above prelates to apprehend and examine Wickliff; but they thought it most expedient to summon him to St. Paul's, as he was openly protected by the famous John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster; and that nobleman accompanied him to the examination, together with the Lord Percy, Marshall of England. The proceedings were ■ interrupted by a dispute ■ to whether Wickliff should sit or stand; and the following curious dialogue arose on the Lord Percy desiring him to be seated:—

*“Bishop of London.”* ‘If I could have guessed, Lord Percy, that you would have played the master here, I would have prevented your coming.’

*“Duke of Lancaster.”* ‘Yes, he shall play the master here, for all you.’

*“Lord Percy.”* ‘Wickliff, sit down! You have need of a seat, for you have many things to say.’

*“Bishop of London.”* ‘It is unreasonable that a clergyman, cited before his ordinary, should sit during his answer. He shall stand!’

*“Duke of Lancaster.”* ‘My Lord Percy, you ~~are~~ in the right! And for you, my Lord Bishop, you are grown so proud and arrogant, I will take care to humble your pride; and not only yours, my lord, but that of all the prelates in England. Thou dependest upon the credit of thy relations; but so ~~far from~~ being able to help thee, they shall have enough to do to support themselves.’

*“Bishop of London.”* ‘I place no confidence in my relations, but in God alone, who will give me the boldness to speak the truth.’

*“Duke of Lancaster (speaking softly to Lord Percy).”* ‘Rather than take this at the bishop’s hands, I will drag him by the hair of the head out of the court!’ ■

Old St. Paul’s was much larger than now, and the churchyard was of proportionate dimensions. The wall by which it was bounded ran along by the present streets of Ave Maria Lane, Paternoster Row, Old Change, Carter Lane, and Creed Lane; and therefore included a large space and many buildings, which are not now considered to be within the precincts of the cathedral. This spacious ■ had grass inside, and contained ■ variety of appendages to the establishment. One of these was the cross, which we have alluded to at the beginning of this chapter, and of which Stow did not know the antiquity. It was called PAUL’S CROSS, and stood on the north side of the

---

■ London and Middlesex, vol. ii. p. 231.

church, a little to the east of the entrance to Canon Alley. It was around Paul's Cross, or rather in the space to the east of it, that the citizens were wont anciently to assemble in Folkmote, or general convention — not only to elect their magistrates and to deliberate on public affairs, but also, as it would appear, to try offenders and award punishments. We read of meetings of the Folkmote in the thirteenth century ; but the custom was discontinued, ■ the increasing number of the inhabitants, and the mixture of strangers, were found to lead to confusion and tumult. In after times the cross appears to have been used chiefly for proclamations, and other public proceedings, civil, as well as ecclesiastical ; such as the swearing of the citizens to allegiance, the emission of papal bulls, the exposing of penitents, &c., “and for the defaming of those,” says Pennant, “who had incurred the displeasure of crowned heads.” A pulpit was attached to it, it was not known when, in which sermons were preached, called Paul's Cross Sermons, a name by which they continued to be known when they ceased in the open air. Many benefactors contributed to support these sermons. In Stow's time the pulpit was an hexagonal piece of wood, “covered with lead, elevated upon a flight of stone steps, and surmounted by a large cross.” During rainy weather the poorer part of the audience retreated to ■ covered place, called the shrowds, which are supposed to have abutted on the church wall. The rest, including the lord mayor and aldermen, most probably had shelter at all times ; and the king and his train (for they attended also) had covered galleries.\* Popular preachers were

---

\* The active habits of ■ ancestors enabled them to bear these out-of-door sermons better than their posterity could ; yet, as times grew less hardy, they began to have consequences which Bishop Latimer attributed to another cause. “The citizens of Raim,” said he, in a sermon preached

invited to hold forth in this pulpit, but the bishop was the inviter. In the reign of James the First, the lord mayor and aldermen ordered, that every one who should preach there, "considering the journies some of them might take from the universities, or elsewhere, should, at his pleasure, be freely entertained for five days' space, with sweet and convenient lodging, fire, candle, and all other necessaries, viz., from Thursday before their day of preaching, to Thursday morning following."\* "This good custom," says Maitland, "continued for some time. And the Bishop of London, or his chaplain, when he sent to any one to preach, did actually signify the place where he might repair at his coming up, and be entertained freely." In earlier times a kind of inn seems to have been kept for the entertainment of the preachers at Paul's Cross, which went by the name of the *Shunamites' House*.

"Before the cross," says Pennant, "was brought, divested of all splendour, Jane Shore, the charitable, the merry concubine of Edward the Fourth, and, after his death, of his favourite, the unfortunate Lord Hastings. After the loss of her protectors, she fell a victim to the malice of crook-backed Richard. He was disappointed (by her excellent defence) of convicting her of witchcraft, and confederating with her lover

---

city, which, no doubt, is a laudable thing; and I do marvel that London, being so great a city, hath not a burial-place without, for no doubt it is an unwholesome thing to bury within the city, especially at such a time when there be great sickness, and many die together. I think verily that many a man taketh his death in Paul's Churchyard, and this I speak of experience; for I myself, when I have been there some mornings to hear the sermons, have felt such an ill-savoured unwholesome savour, that I was the worse for it a great while after; and I think less, but it is the occasion of great sickness and disease." — Brayley, vol. ii. p. 315. After all, the bishop may have been right in attributing the sickness to the cemetery. We have frightful probabilities of the kind in our own time; and nothing can be more sensible than what he says of burial-grounds in cities.

\* Maitland, vol. ii. p. 949.

to destroy him. He then attacked her on the weak side of frailty. This was undeniable. He consigned her to the severity of the church: she was carried to the Bishop's palace, clothed in a white sheet, with a taper in her hand, and from thence conducted to the cathedral and the cross, before which she made a confession of her only fault. Every other virtue bloomed in this ill-fated fair with the fullest vigour. She could not resist the solicitations of a youthful monarch, the handsomest of his time. On his death she was reduced to necessity, scorned by the world, and cast off by her husband, with whom she was paired in her childish years, and forced to fling herself into the arms of Hastings."

"In her penance she went," says Holinshed, "in countenance and pace demure, so womanlie, that albeit she were out of all ~~save~~ save her kertle onlie, yet went she so faire and lovelie, namelie, while the wondering of the people cast a comlie rud in her cheeks, (of which she before had most misse), that hir great shame wan hir much praise among those that were more of hir bodie, than curious of hir soule. And manie good folkes that hated her living (and glad were to see sin corrected), yet pitied they more hir penance, than rejoiced therein, when they considered that the Protector procured it more of a corrupt intent than any virtuous affection."

"Rowe," continues Pennant, "has flung this part of her sad story into the following poetical dress; but it is far from possessing the moving simplicity of the old historian."\*

Submissive, sad, and lonely was her look;  
A burning taper in her hand she bore;  
And on her shoulders, carelessly confused,  
With loose neglect her lovely tresses hung;  
Upon her cheek a faintish flush was spread;  
Feeble she seemed, and sorely smit with pain;  
While, barefoot as she trod the flinty pavement,  
Her footsteps all along were marked with blood.  
Yet silent still she passed, and unrepining;  
Her streaming eyes bent on the earth,

---

\* The reader, perhaps, will agree with me in thinking, that the last three lines of this poetry are unworthy of the rest, and put Jane in a theatrical attitude which she would not have affected.



Except when, in ■■■ bitter pang of sorrow,  
To heaven she seemed, in fervent zeal, to raise,  
And beg that mercy man denied her here.

"The poet has adopted the fable of her being denied all sustenance, and of her perishing with hunger, but that was not ■ fact. She lived to a great age, but in great distress and miserable poverty; deserted even by those to whom she had, during prosperity, done the most essential services. She dragged ■ wretched life even to the time of Sir Thomas More, who introduces her story in his *Life of Richard the Third*. The beauty of her person is spoken of in high terms; 'Proper she was, and faire; nothing in her body that you would have changed, but if you would have wished her somewhat higher. Thus sai they that knew hir in hir youth. Albeit, some that now see hir, for she yet liveth, deem hir never to have been well visaged. Now is she old, leane, withered, and dried up: nothing left but shrivelled skin and hard bone; and yet, being even such, whoso well advise her visage, might gesse and devise, which parts how filled, would make it a faire face.' ■

To these pictures, which are all drawn with spirit, may be added a portrait in the notes to Drayton's *Heroical Epistles*, referring to the one by Sir Thomas More.

"Her stature," says the comment, "was mean; her hair of a dark yellow, her face round and full, her eye gray, delicate harmony being betwixt each part's proportion, and each proportion's colour; her body, fat, white, and smooth; her countenance cheerful, and like to her condition. That picture which I have seen of her, was such as she rose out of her bed in the morning, having nothing ■ but a rich mantle, cast under her arm, over her shoulder, and sitting in a chair ■ which her naked arm did lie. What her father's name was, or where she ■ born, is not certainly known; but Shore, a young ■ of right goodly person, wealth, and behaviour, abandoned her bed, after the king had made her his concubine." †

\* Some account of London, third edition, p. 394.

† Chalmers's *British Poets*, vol. iv. p. 91.

Richard, in the extreme consciousness of his being in the wrong, made a sad bungling business of his first attempts on the throne. The penance of Jane Shore was followed by Dr. Shawe's sermon at the same cross, in which the servile preacher attempted to bastardise the children of Edward, and to recommend the "legitimate" Richard, as the express image of his father. Richard made his appearance, only to witness the sullen silence of the spectators; and the doctor, arguing more weakness than wickedness, took to his house, and soon after died.\*

In the reign of the Tudors, Paul's Cross was the scene of a very remarkable series of contradictions. The government, under Henry the Eighth, preached for and against the same doctrines in religion. Mary furiously attempted to revive them; and they were finally denounced by Elizabeth. Wolsey began, in 1521, with fulminating, by command of the Pope, against "one Martin Eleutherius" (Luther). The denouncement was made by Fisher, (afterwards beheaded for denying the king's supremacy); but Wolsey sate by, in his usual state, censed and canopied, with the pope's ambassador on one side of him, and the emperor's on the other. During the sermon a collection of Luther's books was burnt in the churchyard; "which ended, my Lord Cardinal went home to dinner with all the other prelates."† About ten years afterwards the preachers at Paul's Cross received an order from the king to "teach and declare to the people, that neither the pope, nor any of his predecessors, were anything more than the

---

\* "After which, once ended," says Stow, "the preacher gat him home, and never after durst look out for shame, but kept him out of sight like an owle; and when he once asked ■■■ that had been his olde friende, what the people talked of him, all were it that his own conscience well shewed him that they talked no good, yet when the other answered him, that there was in every man's mouth spoken of him much shame, it so strake him to the hart, that in a few daies after, he withered, and consumed, away." — Brayley, vol. i. p. 312.

† From a MS. in the British Museum, quoted by Brayley, vol. ii. p. 312.

simple Bishops of Rome." On the accession of Mary, the discourses were ordered to veer<sup>3</sup> directly round, which produced two attempts to assassinate the preachers in sermon-time; and the moment Elizabeth came to the throne, the divines began recommending the very opposite tenets, and the pope was finally rejected. At this Cross Elizabeth afterwards attended to hear a thanksgiving sermon for the defeat of the Invincible Armada; on which occasion a coach was first seen in England, — the one she came in. The last sermon attended there by the sovereign was during the reign of her successor; but discourses continued to be delivered up to the time of the Civil Wars, when, after being turned to account by the Puritans for about a year, the pulpit was demolished by order of Parliament. The "willing instrument" of the overthrow was Pennington, the lord-mayor. The inhabitants who look out of their windows now-a-days on the northern side of St. Paul's may thus have a succession of pictures before their mind's eye, as curious and inconsistent as those of ■ dream, — princes, queens, lord-mayors, and aldermen,

A court of cobblers, and a mob of kings,

Jane's penance, Richard's chagrin, Wolsey's exaltation, clergymen preaching for and against the pope; ■ coach coming as a wonder, where coaches now throng at every one's service; and finally, ■ puritanical lord-mayor, who "blasphemed custard," laying the axe to the tree, and cutting down the pulpit and all its works.

The next appendage to the old church, in point of importance, was the Bishop's or London House, the name of which survives in that of London House Yard. This, with other buildings, perished in the Great Fire; and on the site of it were built the houses now standing between the yard just mentioned and the present Chapter House. The latter was built by Wren. The old one stood on the

other side of the cathedral, where the modern deanery is to be found, only more eastward. The bishop's house ~~was~~ often used for the reception of princes. Edward the Third and his queen were entertained there after a great tournament in Smithfield; and there poor little Edward the Fifth was lodged, previously to his appointed coronation. To the east of the bishop's house, stretching towards Cheapside, was a chapel, erected by the father of Thomas Becket, called Pardon-Church-Haugh, which was surrounded by a cloister, presenting a painting of the Dance of Death on the walls, a subject rendered famous by Holbein.\*

Another chapel called the Charnel, a proper neighbour ~~to~~ this *fresco*, stood at the back of the two buildings just mentioned. It received its name from the quantity of human bones collected from St. Paul's Churchyard, and deposited in a vault beneath. The Charnel was taken down by the Protector Somerset about 1549, and the stones were employed in the building of the new palace of Somerset House. On this occasion it is stated that more than a thousand cart-loads of bones were removed to Finsbury Fields, where they formed a large mount, on which three windmills were erected. From these Windmill Street in that neighbourhood derives its name. The ground on which the chapel stood was afterwards built over with dwellings and warehouses, having sheds before them for the use of stationers. Immediately to the north of St. Paul's School, and towards the spot where the

---

\* A Dance of Death (for the subject was often repeated) is a procession of the various ranks of life, from the pope to the peasant, each led by a skeleton for his partner. Holbein enlarged it by the addition of a series of visits privately paid by Death to the individuals. The figurantes, in his work, by no means go down the dance "with an air of despondency." The human beings are unconscious of their partners (which is fine); and the Deaths as jolly skeletons well can be.

churchyard looks into Cheapside, was a campanile, or bell-house; that is to say, a belfry, forming a distinct building from the cathedral, such as it is accustomed to be in Italy. It was by the ringing of this bell that the people were anciently called together to the general assemblage, called the Folkmote. The campanile was very high, and was won at dice from King Henry the Eighth by Sir Miles Partridge, who took it down and sold the materials. On the side of the cathedral directly the reverse of this (the south-west), and forming a part of the great pile of building, was the parish church of St. Gregory, over which was the Lollards' Tower, or prison, infamous, like its namesake at Lambeth, for the ill-treatment of heretics.

"This," says Brayley, on the authority of Fox's Martyrology, "was the scene of at least one 'foul and midnight murder,' perpetrated in 1514, on a respectable citizen, named Richard Hunne, by Dr. Horsey, chancellor of the diocese, with the assistance of a bell-ringer, and afterwards defended by the Bishop Fitz-James and the whole body of prelates, who protected the murderers from punishment, lest the clergy should become amenable to civil jurisdiction. Though the villains, through this interference, escaped without corporal suffering, the king ordered them to pay 1,500*l.* to the children of the deceased, in restitution of what he himself styles the 'cruel murder.'"

The clergy, with almost incredible audacity, afterwards commenced a process against the dead body of Hunne for heresy; and, having obtained its condemnation, they actually burned it in Smithfield. The Lollards' Tower continued to be used as a prison for heretics for some time after the Reformation. Stow tells us that he recollected one Peter Burchet, a gentleman of the Middle Temple, being committed to this prison, on suspicion of



holding certain erroneous opinions, in 1573. This, however, is, we believe, the last case of the kind that is recorded.

It remains to say a word of St. Paul's School, founded, as we have already mentioned, by Dean Colet, and destined to become the most illustrious of all the buildings on the spot, in giving education to Milton. We have dwelt more upon the localities of St. Paul's Churchyard than it is our intention to do on others. The dignity of the birth-place of the metropolis beguiled us; and the events recorded to have taken place in it are of real interest. Milton was not the only person of celebrity educated at this school. Bentley, his critic, was probably induced by the like circumstance to turn his unfortunate attention to the poet's epic in after life, and make those gratuitous massacres of the text, which give a profound scholar the air of the most presumptuous of coxcombs. Here also Camden received part of his education; and here were brought up, Leland, his brother antiquary, the Gales (Charles, Roger, and Samuel), all celebrated antiquaries; Sir Anthony Denny, the only man who had the courage and honesty to tell Henry the Eighth that he was dying; Halley, the astronomer; Bishop Cumberland, the great grandfather of the dramatist; Pepys, who has lately obtained so curious a celebrity, as an annalist of the court of Charles the Second; and last, not least, one in whom a learned education would be as little looked for as in Pepys, if we are to trust the stories of the times, to wit, John Duke of Marlborough. Barnes was laughed at for dedicating his *Anacreon* to the duke, a one to whom Greek was unheard of; and it has been related as a slur on the great general (though assuredly it is not so), that, having alluded on some occasion to a passage in history, and being asked where he found it, he confessed that his

authority was the only historian he was acquainted with, namely, William Shakspeare.

Less is known of Milton during the time he passed at St. Paul's School, than of any other period of his life. It is ascertained, however, that he cultivated the writing of Greek verses, and was a great favourite with the usher, afterwards master, Alexander Gill, himself a Latin poet of celebrity. At the back of the old church was an enormous rose-window, which we may imagine the young poet to have contemplated with delight, in his fondness for ornaments of that cast; and the whole building was calculated to impress a mind, more disposed, at that time of life, to admire as a poet, than to quarrel with a critic or a sectary. Gill, unluckily for himself, was not so catholic. Some say he was suspended from his mastership for severity; a quality which he must have carried to a great pitch, for that age to find fault with it; but from an answer written by Ben Jonson to a fragment of a satire of Gill's, it is more likely he got into trouble for libels against the court. Aubrey says, that the old doctor, his father, was once obliged to go on his knees to get the young doctor pardoned, and that the offence consisted in his having written a letter, in which he designated King James and his son, as the "old foole and the young one." There are letters written in early life from Milton to Gill, full of regard and esteem; nor is it likely that the regard was diminished by Gill's petulance against the court. In one of the letters, it is pleasant to hear the poet saying, "Farewell, and on Tuesday next expect me in London, among the booksellers."\*

---

\* See Todd's *Milton*, vol. vii.; Aubrey's *Letters and Lives*; and Ben Jonson's *Poems*. Gill's specimen of a satire is very bad, and the great laureate's answer is not much better. The first couplet of the latter, however, is to the purpose:—

■ Shall the prosperity of ■ pardon still  
Secure thy railing rhymes, infamous Gill? ■

The parliamentary soldiers annoyed the inhabitants of the churchyard, by playing at nine-pins at unseasonable hours, — a strange misdemeanour for that “church militant.” They hastened also the destruction of the cathedral. Some scaffolding, set up for repairs, had been given them for arrears of pay. They dug pits in the body of the church to saw the timber in; and they removed the scaffolding with so little caution, that great part of the vaulting fell in, and lay a heap of ruins. The east end only and a part of the choir continued to be used for public worship, a brick wall being raised to separate this portion from the rest of the building, and the congregation entering and getting out through one of the north windows. Another part of the church was converted into barracks and stables for the dragoons. As for Inigo Jones’s lofty and beautiful portico, it was turned into “shops,” says Maitland, “for milliners and others, with rooms over them for the convenience of lodging; at the erecting of which the magnificent columns were piteously mangled, being obliged to make way for the ends of beams, which penetrated their centers.” ■ The statues on the top were thrown down and broken to pieces.

We have noticed the lucky necessity for a new church, occasioned by the Great Fire. An attempt was at first made to repair the old building — the work, as we have already mentioned, being committed to the charge of Sir John Denham (the poet), his Majesty’s Surveyor General. But it was eventually found necessary to commence ■ new edifice from the foundation. Sir Christopher Wren, who accomplished this task, had been before employed in superintending the repairs, and was appointed head surveyor of the works in 1669, on the demise of Denham. Unfortunately, he had great and ungenerous trouble given

him in the erection of the new structure; and, after all, he did not build it as he wished. His taste was not understood, either by court or clergy; he was envied, (and towards the close of his life ousted,) by inferior workmen; was forced to make use of two orders instead of one, that is to say, to divide the sides and front into two separate elevations, instead of running them up and dignifying them with pillars of the whole height; and during the whole work, which occupied a great many years, and took up a considerable and anxious portion of his time, not unattended with personal hazard, all the pay which he was then, or ever to expect, was a pittance of two hundred a-year. A moiety of this dribblet was for some time actually suspended, till the building should be finished, and for the arrears of it he was forced to petition the government of Queen Anne, and then only obtained them under circumstances of the most unhandsome delay. Wren, however, was a philosopher and a patriot; and if he underwent the mortification attendant on philosophers and patriots, for offending the self-love of the shallow, he knew how to act up to the spirit of those venerable names, in the interior of a mind as elevated and well composed as his own architecture. Some pangs he felt, because he was a man of humanity, and could not disdain his fellow-creatures; but he was more troubled for the losses of the art than his own. He is said actually to have shed tears when compelled to deform his cathedral with the side aisles, — some say in compliance with the will of the Duke of York, afterwards James the Second, who anticipated the use of them for the restoration of the old Catholic chapels. Money he despised, except for the demands of his family, consenting to receive a hundred a-year for rebuilding such of the city churches (a considerable number) as were destroyed by the fire! And

when finally ousted from his office of surveyor-general, he said, with the ancient sage, "Well, I must philosophise a little sooner than I intended." (*Nunc me fubet fortuna expeditius philosophari.*) The Duchess of Marlborough, in resisting the claims of one of her Blenheim surveyors, said, "that Sir C. Wren was content to be dragged up in a basket three times a-week to the top of St. Paul's, at a great hazard, for 200*l.* a-year." But, as a writer of his life has remarked, she was perhaps "little capable of drawing any nice distinction between the feelings of the hired surveyor of Blenheim, and those of our architect, in the contemplation of the rising of the fabric which his vast genius was calling into existence: her notions led her to estimate the matter by the simple process of the rule of three direct; and on this principle she had good reason to complain of the surveyor."\* The same writer tells us, that Wren's principal enjoyment during the remainder of his life, consisted in his being "carried once a-year to see his great work;" "the beginning and completion of which," observes Walpole, "was an event which, one could not wonder, left such an impression of content, on the mind of the good old man, that it seemed to recall a memory almost deadened to every other use." The epitaph upon him by his son, which Mr. Mylne, the architect of Blackfriars' bridge, caused to be rescued from the vaults underneath the church, where it was ludicrously inapplicable, and placed in gold letters over the choir, has a real sublimity in it, though defaced by one of those plays upon words, which were the taste of the times in the architect's youth, and which his family perhaps had learnt to admire.

---

\* Life of Sir Christopher Wren, in the Library of Useful Knowledge, No. 24, p. 27.



Subtus *conditur*  
 Hujus ecclesiæ et urbis *conditor*  
 Ch. Wren,  
 Qui vixit annos ultra nonaginta,  
 Non sibi sed bono publico.  
 Lector, si monumentum requiris,  
 Circumspice.

We cannot preserve the pun in English, unless, perhaps, by some such rendering as, "Here found ■ grave the founder of this church;" or "Underneath is founded the tomb," &c. The rest is admirable :

"Who lived to the age of upwards of ninety years,  
 Not for himself, but for the public good.  
 Reader, if thou seekest his monument,  
 Look around."

The reader *does* look around, and the whole interior of the cathedral, which is finer than the outside, seems like a magnificent vault over his single body. The effect is very grand, especially if the organ is playing. A similar one, as far as the music is concerned, is observable when we contemplate the statues of Nelson and others. The grand repose of the church, in the first instance, gives them a mortal dignity, which the organ seems to waken up and revive, as if, in the midst of the

"Pomp and threatening harmony,"\*

their spirits almost looked out of their stony and sightless eyeballs. Johnson's ponderous figure looks down upon ■ with something of sourness in the expression ; and in the presence of Howard we feel as if pomp itself were in attendance on humanity. It is ■ pity that the sculpture of the monuments in general is not worthy of these emotions, and tends to undo them.

A poor statue of Queen Anne, in whose reign the

---

■ Wordsworth.

church was finished, stands in the middle of the front area, with the figures of Britain, France, Ireland, and America, round the base. Garth, who was a Whig, and angry with the councils which had dismissed his hero Marlborough, wrote some bitter lines upon it, which must have had double effect, coming from so good-natured a man.

Near the vast bulk of that stupendous frame,  
Known by the Gentiles' great apostle's name,  
With grace divine great Anna's seen to rise,  
An awful form that glads a nation's eyes :  
Beneath her feet four mighty realms appear,  
And with due reverence pay their homage there.  
Britain and Ireland seem to own her grace, ■  
And e'en wild India wears a smiling face.  
But France alone with downcast eyes is seen,  
The sad attendant on so good a queen.  
Ungrateful country ! to forget so soon  
All that great Anna for thy sake has done,  
When sworn the kind defender of thy cause,  
Spite of her dear religion, spite of laws,  
For thee she sheath'd the terrors of her sword,  
For thee she broke her gen'ral—and her word :  
For thee her mind in doubtful terms she told,  
And learn'd to speak like oracles of old :  
For thee, for thee alone, what could she more ?  
She lost the honour she had gain'd before ;  
Lost all the trophies which her arms had won,  
(Such Cæsar never knew, nor Philip's son ;)  
Resign'd the glories of a ten years' reign,  
And such as none but Marlborough's arm could gain :  
For thee in annals she's content to shine,  
Like other monarchs of the Stuart line.

Many irreverent remarks were also made by the coarser wits of the day, in reference to the position of her Majesty, with her back to the church and her face to a brandy-shop, which was then kept in that part of the churchyard.

The calumny was worthy of the coarseness. Anne, who was not a very clever woman, had a difficult task to perform; and though we differ with her politics, we cannot, even at this distance of time, help expressing our disgust at personalities like these, especially against a female.



## CHAP. II.

## ST. PAUL'S AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

The Church of St. Faith. — Booksellers of the Churchyard. — Mr. Johnson's. — Mr. Newberry's. — Children's Books. — Clerical Names of Streets near St. Paul's. — Swift at the Top of the Cathedral. — Dr. Johnson at St. Paul's. — Paternoster Row. — Panyer's Alley. — Stationers' Hall. — Almanacks. — Knight-Riders' Street. — Armed Assemblies of the Citizens. — Doctors' Commons. — The Herald's College. — Coats of Arms. — Ludgate. — Story of Sir Stephen Forster. — Prison of Ludgate. — Wyatt's Rebellion. — The Belle Sauvage Inn. — Blackfriars. — Shakspeare's Theatre. — Accident at Blackfriars in 1623. — Printing House Square. — The Times. — Baynard's Castle. — Story of the Baron Fitzwalter. — Richard III. and Buckingham. — Diana's Chamber. — The Royal Wardrobe. — Marriages in the Fleet. — Fleet Ditch. — The Dunciad.



WE remember, in our boyhood, a romantic story of a church that stood under St. Paul's. We conceived of it, of a real good-sized church actually standing under the other ; but how it came there nobody could imagine. It was some ghostly edification of providence, not lightly to be inquired into ; but its name was St. Faith's, we conjectured that the mystery had something to do with religious belief. The mysteries of art do not remain with us for life, like those of Nature. Our phenomenon amounted to this.

"The church of St. Faith," says Brayley, "was originally a distinct building, standing at the east end of St. Paul's ; but when the old cathedral was enlarged, between the years 1256 and 1312, it was taken down, and an extensive part of the vaults was appropriated to the use of the parishioners of St. Faith's, in lieu of the demolished fabric. This was afterwards called the church of St. Faith in the Crypts (*Ecclesia Sanctæ Fidei in Cryptis*) and, according to a representation made to

the Dean and Chapter, in the year 1735, it measured 180 feet in length, and 80 in breadth. After the fire of London, the parish of St. Faith was joined to that of St. Augustine; and on the rebuilding of the cathedral, a portion of the churchyard belonging to the former was taken to enlarge the avenue round the east end of St. Paul's, and the remainder was inclosed within the cathedral railing.\*

The parishioners of St. Faith have still liberty to bury their dead in certain parts of the churchyard and the Crypts. Other portions of the latter have been used as storehouses for wine, stationery, &c. The stationers and booksellers of London, during the fire, thought they had secured a great quantity of their stock in this place; but on the air being admitted when they went to take them out, the goods had been heated by the conflagration of the church overhead, that they took fire at last, and the whole property was destroyed. Clarendon says it amounted to the value of two hundred thousand pounds.†

One of the houses on the site of the old episcopal mansion, now converted into premises occupied by Mr. Hitchcock the linendraper, was Mr. Johnson's the bookseller,—a man who deserves mention for his liberality to Cowper, and for the remarkable circumstance of his never having seen the poet, though his intercourse with him was long and cordial. Mr. Johnson was in connexion with a circle of men of letters, some of whom were in the habit of dining with him once a-week, and who comprised the leading polite writers of the generation,—Cowper, Darwin, Hayley, Dr. Aikin, Mrs. Barbauld, Godwin, &c. Fuseli must not be omitted, who was at least as good a writer as a painter. Here Bonnycastle hung his long face over his plate, as glad to escape from arithmetic into his jokes and his social dinner as a great boy; and here

---

\* Brayley, vol. ii. p. 303.

† In his Life, vol. iii. p. 98. Edit. 1827.



Wordsworth, and we believe Coleridge, published their earliest performances. At all events they both visited at the house.

But the most illustrious of all booksellers in our boyish days, not for his great names, not for his dinners, not for his riches that we know of, nor for any other full-grown celebrity, but for certain little penny books, radiant with gold and rich with bad pictures, was Mr. Newberry, the famous children's bookseller, "at the corner of St. Paul's churchyard," next Ludgate Street. The house is still occupied by ■ successor, and children may have books there as formerly, — but not the same. The gilding, we confess, we regret: gold, somehow, never looked so well ■ in adorning literature. The pictures also, — may we own that we preferred the uncouth coats, the staring blotted eyes, and round pieces of rope for hats, of our very badly drawn contemporaries, to all the proprieties of modern embellishment? We own the superiority of the latter, and would have it proceed and prosper; but a boy of our own time was much, though his coat looked like his grandfather's. The engravings probably were of that date. Enormous, however, is the improvement upon the morals of these little books; and there we give them up, and with unmitigated delight. The good little boy, the hero of the infant literature in those days, stood, it must be acknowledged, the chance of being ■ very selfish man. His virtue consisted in being different from some other little boy, perhaps his brother; and his reward was having a fine coach to ride in, and being a King Pepin. Now-a-days, since the world has had ■ great moral earthquake that set it thinking, the little boy promises to be much more of ■ man; thinks of others, as well as works for himself; and looks for his reward to ■ character for good sense and beneficence. In ■ respect is the progress of

apparently trifling matter. The most bigoted opponents of a rational education are obliged to adopt a portion of its spirit, in order to retain a hold which their own teaching must accordingly undo: and if the times were not full of hopes in other respects, we should point to this evidence of their advancement, and be content with it.

One of the most pernicious mistakes of the old children's books, was the inculcation of a spirit of revenge and cruelty, in the tragic examples which were intended to deter their readers from idleness and disobedience. One, if he did not behave himself, was to be shipwrecked, and eaten by lions; another to become a criminal, who was not to be taught better, but rendered a mere wicked contrast to the luckier virtue; and, above all, none were to be poor but the vicious, and none to ride in their coaches but little Sir Charles Grandisons, and all-perfect Sheriffs. We need not say how contrary this was to the real spirit of Christianity, which, at the same time, they so much insisted on. The perplexity in after life, when reading of poor philosophers and rich vicious men, was in proportion; or rather, virtue and mere worldly success became confounded. In the present day, the profitableness of good conduct is still inculcated, but in a sounder spirit. Charity makes the proper allowance for all; and none are excluded from the hope of being wiser and happier. Men, in short, are not taught to love and labour for themselves alone, or for their little dark corners of egotism; but to take the world along with them into a brighter sky of improvement; and to discern the want of success in success itself, if not accompanied by a liberal knowledge.

The *Seven Champions of Christendom*, *Valentine and Orson*, and other books of the fictitious class, which have survived their more rational brethren, (as the latter thought themselves,) are of a much better order, and, indeed, survive by a natural instinct in society to that

effect. With many absurdities, they have a general tone of manly and social virtue, which may be safely left to itself. The absurdities wear out, and the good remains. Nobody in these times will think of meeting giants and dragons; of giving blows that confound an army, or tearing the hearts out of two lions on each side of him, ■ easily ■ if he were dipping his hands into ■ lottery. But there are still giants and wild beasts to encounter, of another sort, the conquest of which requires the old enthusiasm and disinterestedness; arms and war are to be checked in their career, and have been so, by that new might of opinion to which everybody may contribute much in his single voice; and wild men, or those who would become so, are tamed, by education and brotherly kindness, into ornaments of civil life.

The neighbourhood of St. Paul's retains a variety of appellations, indicative of its former connexion with the church. There is Creed Lane, Ave-Maria Lane, Sermon Lane\*, Canon Alley, Pater-Noster Row, Holiday Court, Amen Corner, &c. Members of the cathedral establishment still have abodes in some of these places, particularly in Amen Corner, which is enclosed with gates, and appropriated to the houses of prebendaries and canons. Close to Sermon Lane is Do-little Lane; a vicinity which must have furnished jokes to the Puritans. Addle Street is an ungrateful corruption of Athelstan Street, so called from one of the most respectable of the Saxon kings, who had ■ palace in it.

We have omitted to notice ■ curious passage in Swift,

---

\* Unless, indeed, we are to suppose, ■ has been suggested, that *Sermon Lane* is ■ corruption of *Sheremoniers Lane*, that is, the lane of the money clippers, or such ■ cut and rounded the metal which was to be coined or stamped into money. There was anciently a place in this lane for melting silver, called the *Blackloft* — and the Mint was in the street now called Old Change, in the immediate neighbourhood. See Maitland, ii. 880 (edit. of 1756).

in which he abuses himself for going to the top of St. Paul's. "To-day," says he, writing to Stella, "I was all about St. Paul's, and up at the top like a fool, with Sir Andrew Fountain, and two more; and spent seven shillings for my dinner, like a puppy." "This," adds the doctor, "is the second time he has served me so: but I will never do it again, though all mankind should persuade me — unconsidering puppies!"\* The being forced by richer people than one's self to spend money at a tavern, might reasonably be lamented; but from the top of St. Paul's Swift beheld a spectacle, which surely was not unworthy of his attention; perhaps it affected him too much. The author of *Gulliver* might have taken from it his notions of little bustling humankind.

Dr. Johnson frequently attended public worship in St. Paul's. Very different must his look have been, in turning into the chancel, from the threatening and trampling aspect they have given him in his statue. We do not quarrel with his aspect; there is a great deal of character in it. But the contrast, considering the place, is curious. A little before his death, when bodily decay made him less patient than ever of contradiction, he instituted a club at the Queen's Arms, in St. Paul's Churchyard. "He told Mr. Hook," says Boswell, "that he wished to have a *City Club*, and asked him to collect one; but, said he, don't let them be patriots."† (This was an allusion to the friends of his acquaintance Wilkes.) Boswell accompanied him one day to the club, and found the members "very sensible well-behaved men:" that is to say, Hook had collected a body of decent listeners. This, however, is melancholy. In the next chapter we shall see Johnson in all his glory.

---

\* Letters to Stella, in the duodecimo edition of his works, 1775. Letter vi. p. 43.

† Boswell's *Life of Johnson*, eighth edition, vol. iv. p. 93.

St. Paul's Churchyard appears ■ if it were only a great commercial thoroughfare ; but if all the clergy could be seen at once, who have abodes in the neighbourhood, they would be found to constitute a numerous body. If to the sable coats of these gentlemen be added those of the practisers of the civil law, who were formerly allied to them, and who live in Doctors' Commons, the churchyard increases the clerkly part of its aspect. It resumes, to the imagination, something of the learned and collegiate look it had of old. Paternoster Row is said to have been so called on account of the number of Stationers or Text-writers that dwelt there, who dealt much in religious books, and sold horn-books, or A B C's, with the Paternoster, Ave-Maria, Creed, Graces, &c. And so of the other places above-named. But it is more likely that this particular street (as indeed we are told) was named from the rosary or paternoster-makers ; for so they were called, as appears by a record of "one Robert Nikke, a paternoster-maker and citizen, in the reign of Henry the Fourth."

It is curious to reflect what ■ change has taken place in this celebrated *book-street*, since nothing was sold there but rosaries. It is but rarely the word Paternoster-Row strikes us as having a reference to the Latin Prayer. We think of booksellers' shops, and of all the learning and knowledge they have sent forth. The books of Luther, which Henry the Eighth burnt in the neighbouring churchyard, were turned into millions of volumes, partly by reason of that burning.

Paternoster-Row, however, has not been exclusively in possession of the booksellers, since it lost its original tenants, the rosary-makers. Indeed it would appear to have been only in comparatively recent times that the booksellers fixed themselves there. They had for ■ long while been established in St. Paul's Churchyard, but



scarcely in the Row, till after the commencement of the last century.

"This street," says Maitland, writing in 1720, "before the fire of London, was taken up by eminent mercers, silkmen, and lacemen; and their shops were so resorted unto by the nobility and gentry in their coaches, that oftentimes the street was so stopped up, that there was ■ passage for foot passengers. But since the said fire, those eminent tradesmen have settled themselves in several other parts; especially in Ludgate Street, and in Bedford Street, Henrietta Street, and King Street, Covent Garden. And the inhabitants in this street are now ■ mixture of tradespeople, such ■ tire-women, or milliners, for the sale of top-knots, and the like dressings for the females."

In ■ subsequent edition of his history, published in 1755, it is added, "There ■ now many shops of mercers, silkmen, eminent printers, booksellers, and publishers."\* The most easterly of the narrow and partly covered passages between Newgate Street and Paternoster Row is that called Panyer's Alley, remarkable for ■ stone built into the wall of one of the houses on the east side, supporting the figures of ■ pannier or wicker basket, surmounted by a boy, and exhibiting the following inscription:—

"When you have sought the city round,  
Yet still this is the highest ground."

We cannot say if absolute faith is to be put in this asseveration; but it is possible. It has been said that the top of St. Paul's is on a level with that of Hampstead.

We look back a moment between Paternoster Row and the churchyard, to observe, that the only memorial remaining of the residence of the Bishop of London is ■ tablet in London-House Yard, let into the wall of the public house called the Goose and Gridiron. The Goose and Gridiron is said by tradition to have been what was

---

\* History of London, ii. 925.

called in the last century ■ "music house;" that is to say, a place of entertainment with music. When it ceased to be musical, a landlord, in ridicule of its former pretensions, chose for his sign "a goose stroking the back of ■ gridiron with his foot," and called it the Swan and Harp.\*

Between Amen Corner and Ludgate Street, at the end of a passage from Ave-Maria Lane, "stood ■ great house of stone and wood, belonging in old time to John, Duke of Bretagne, and Earl of Richmond, cotemporary with Edward II and III. After him it was possessed by the Earls of Pembroke, in the time of Richard II. and Henry IV., and was called Pembroke's Inn, near Ludgate. It then fell into the possession of the title of Abergavenny, and was called Burgavenny House, under which circumstances it remained in the time of Elizabeth. To finish the anti-climax," says Pennant, "it was finally possessed by the company of Stationers, who rebuilt it of wood, and made it their Hall. It was destroyed by the Great Fire, and was succeeded by the present plain building."† Of the once-powerful possessors of the old mansion nothing now is remembered, or cared for; but in the interior of the modern building are to be seen, looking almost as if they were alive, and as if we knew them personally, the immortal faces of Steele and Richardson, Prior in his cap, and Dr. Hoadley, a liberal bishop. There is also Mrs. Richardson, the wife of the novelist, looking as prim and particular as if she had been just chucked under the chin; and Robert Nelson, Esq., supposed author of the *Whole Duty of Man*, and prototype of Sir Charles Grandison, ■ regular and passionless in his face as if he had been made only to wear his wig. The same is not to be said of the face of Steele, with his black eyes and social aspect; and

■ The Tatler. With notes historical, biographical, and critical. 8vo. 1797. Vol. iv. p. 206.

† Pennant's London, p. 377.

still less of Richardson, who, instead of being the smooth, satisfied-looking personage he is represented in some engravings of him (which makes his heartrending romance appear unaccountable and cruel), has a face as uneasy as can well be conceived, — flushed and shattered with emotion. We recognise the sensitive, enduring man, such as he really was — a heap of bad nerves. It is worth any body's while to go to Stationers' Hall, on purpose to see these portraits. They are not of the first order of portraits, but evident likenesses. Hoadley looks at once jovial and decided, like a good-natured controversialist. Prior is not so pleasant as in his prints; his nose is a little aquiline, instead of turned up; and his features, though delicate, not so liberal. But if he has not the best look of his poetry, he has the worst. He is as if he had been sitting up all night; his eyelids droop: and his whole face is used with rakery.

It is impossible to see Prior and Steele together, without regretting that they quarrelled: but as they did quarrel, it was fit that Prior should be in the wrong. From a Whig he had become a Tory, and showed that his change was not quite what it ought to have been, by avoiding the men with whom he had associated, and writing contemptuously of his fellow wits. All the men of letters, whose portraits are in this hall, were, doubtless, intimate with the premises, and partakers of Stationers' dinners. Richardson was Master of the Company. Morphew, a bookseller in the neighbourhood, was one of the publishers of the *Tatler*; and concerts as well as festive dinners used to take place in the great room, of both of which entertainments Steele was fond. It was here, if we mistake not, that one of the inferior officers of the company, a humourist on sufferance, came in, one day, on his knees, at an anniversary dinner, when Bishop Hoadley was present, in order to drink to the Glorious

Memory." \* The company, Steele included, were pretty far gone ; Hoadley had remained as long as he well could ; and the genuflector was drunk. Steele, seeing the bishop a little disconcerted, whispered him, " Do laugh, my lord ; pray laugh : — 'tis *humanity* to laugh." The good-natured prelate acquiesced. Next day, Steele sent him a penitential letter, with the following couplet : —

Virtue with so much ease on Bangor sits,  
All faults he pardons, though he none commits.

The most illustrious musical performance that ever took place in the hall was that of Dryden's Ode. A society for the annual commemoration of St. Cecilia, the patroness of music, was instituted in the year 1680, not without an eye perhaps to the religious opinions of the heir presumptive, who was shortly to ascend the throne as James the Second. An ode was written every year for the occasion, and set to music by some eminent composer ; and the performance of it was followed by a grand dinner. In 1687, Dryden contributed his first ode, entitled, " A Song for Saint Cecilia's Day," in which there are finer things than in any part of the other, though as a whole it is not so striking. Ten years afterwards it was followed by " Alexander's Feast," the dinner perhaps being a part of the inspiration. Poor Jeremiah Clarke, who shot himself for love, was the composer.† This is

\* Of William III.

† The genius of Clarke, which, agreeably to his unhappy end, was tender and melancholy, was unsuited to the livelier intoxication of Dryden's Feast, afterwards gloriously set by Handel. Clarke has been styled the musical Otway of his time. He was organist at St. Paul's, and shot himself at his house in St. Paul's Churchyard. Mr. John Reading, organist of St. Dunstan's, who was intimately acquainted with him, was going by at the moment the pistol went off, and upon entering the house " found his friend and fellow student in the agonies of death." Another friend of his, one of the lay vicars of the cathedral, relates of him, that a few

the ode, with the composition of which Bolingbroke is said to have found Dryden in a state of emotion one morning, the whole night having been passed, *agitante deo*, under the fever of inspiration.

From Stationers' Hall once issued all the almanacks that were published, with all the trash and superstition they kept alive. Francis Moore is still among their "living dead men." Francis must now be a posthumous old gentleman, of at least one hundred and fifty years of age. The first blunder the writers of these books committed, in their cunning, was the having to do with the state of the weather; their next was to think that the grandmothers of the last century were ■ immortal as their title-pages, and that nobody was getting wiser than themselves. The mysterious solemnity of their hieroglyphics, bringing heaven and earth together, like ■ vision in the Apocalypse, was imposing to the nurse and the child; and the bashfulness of their bodily sympathies no less attractive. We remember the astonishment of ■ worthy seaman, some years ago, at the claim which they put into the mouth of the sign Virgo. The monopoly is now gone; almanacks have been forced into improvement by emulation; and the Stationers (naturally enough at the moment) are angry about it. This fit of ill-humour will pass; and a body of men, interested by their very trade in the progress of liberal knowledge, will by-and-by join the laugh at the tenderness they evinced in behalf of old wives' fables. It is observable, that their friend Bickerstaff (Steele's assumed ■ in the *Tatler*) ■ the first to begin the joke against them.

Knight-Riders' Street (Great and Little) on the south

---

trees, and not knowing whether to hang or drown himself, tossed up a piece of money to see which. The money stuck in the earth edgewise. Of this new chance for life, poor Clarke, we see, ■ unable to avail himself.



side of St. Paul's Churchyard, is said to have been named from the processions of Knights from the Tower, to their place of tournament in Smithfield. It must have been a round-about way. Probably the name originated in nothing more than a sign, or from some reference to the Herald's College in the neighbourhood. The open space, ■ may here notice, around the western extremity of the Cathedral, was anciently used by the Citizens for assembling together "to make shew of their arms," or to hold what ■ called among the Scotch "*a weapon shaw*." A complaint ■ made by the Lord Mayor and the Ward, in the reign of Edward I., against the Dean and Chapter for having inclosed this ground, which they insisted was "the soil and lay-fee of our lord the king," by a mud wall, and covered part of it with buildings.\* The houses immediately to the west of Creed Lane and Ave-Maria Lane probably occupy part of the space in question.

Behind Great Knight-Riders' Street is Doctors' Commons, ■ called from the Doctors of Civil Law, who dine together four days in each term. The Court of Admiralty is also there. The Admiralty judge is preceded by an officer with a silver oar. There is something pleasing in the parade of a civil officer, thus announced by ■ symbol representing the regulation of the most turbulent of elements.

The civil and ecclesiastical lawyers, who connect the law with the church, had formerly much more to do than they have at present. The proctors (or attorneys) are said to have been so ■ and so noisy in the time of Henry VII., that the judge sometimes could not be heard for them. They thrust themselves into causes without the parties' consent, and shouldered the advocates out of their business. The diminution of their body ■

---

\* See Maitland, ii. p. 949.

owing to Cranmer. Doctors' Commons are of painful celebrity in the annals of domestic trouble. We have hardly perhaps among us ■ remnant of greater barbarism than "an action for damages," whether considered with a view to recompense or prevention. Doctors' Commons bind as well as set loose. "Hence originates," says the facetious Mr. Malcolm, "the awful scrap of parchment, bearing the talismanic mark of *John Cantuar* (the archbishop of Canterbury), which constitutes thousands of benedicts the happiest or most miserable of married men: in short, it is the grand lottery of life, in which, fortunately, there are far more prizes than blanks."\* The community ought to be thankful to Mr. Malcolm for this last piece of information, as there is ■ splenetic notion among them to the contrary.

A history deeply interesting to human nature might be drawn up from the documents preserved in this place; for besides cases of personal infidelity, here are to be found others of *infidelity religious*, of blasphemy, simony, &c., together with romantic questions relative to kindred and succession; and here are deposited those last specimens of human strength or weakness,—last wills and testaments, together with cases in which they have been contested. It was these records that furnished us with accounts of the latest days of Milton; and that set the readers of Shakspeare speculating why he should make ■ mention of his wife, except to leave her his "second best bed;"—a question most unexpectedly as well ■ happily cleared up by Mr. Charles Knight, who shows that the bequest was to the lady's honour. Of the practisers in the civil courts, we can call to mind nothing more worthy of recollection than the strange name of one of them, ■ Sir

---

■ *Londinium Redivivum*, vol. ii. p. 473.

Julius Cæsar," and the ruinous volatility of poor Dr. King, the Tory wit, who is conjectured to have been the only civilian that ever went to reside in Ireland, "after having experienced the emoluments of a settlement in Doctors' Commons." The doctor unfortunately practised too much with the bottle, which hindered him from adhering long to anything.

Behind Little Knight-Riders' Street, to the east of Doctors' Commons, is the Herald's College. A gorgeous idea of colours falls on the mind in passing it, as from a cathedral window,

"And shielded scutcheons blush with blood of queens and kings."—*Keats*.

The passenger, if he is a reader conversant with old times, thinks of bannered halls, of processions of chivalry, and of the fields of Cressy and Poitiers, with their vizored knights, distinguished by their coats and crests; for a coat of arms is nothing but a representation of the knight himself, from whom the bearer is descended. The shield supposes his body; there is the helmet for his head, with the crest upon it; the flourish is his mantle; and he stands upon the ground of his motto, or moral pretension. The supporters, if he is noble, or of a particular class of knighthood, are thought to be the pages that waited upon him, designated by the fantastic dresses of bear, lion, &c., which they sometimes wore. Heraldry is full of colour and imagery, and attracts the fancy like a "book of pictures." The Kings at Arms are romantic personages, really crowned, and have as mystic appellations as the kings of an old tale,—Garter, Clarencieux, and Norroy. Norroy is King of the North, and Clarencieux (a title of Norman origin) of the South. The heralds, Lancaster, Somerset, &c., have simpler names, indicative of the counties over which they preside; but are only less gorgeously dressed than the kings, in emblazonment and

satin; and then there are the four pursuivants, Rouge Croix, Rouge Dragon, Portcullis and Blue Mantle, with hues as lively, and appellations as quaint, as the attendants on a fairy court. For gorgeousness of attire, mysteriousness of origin, and in fact for similarity of origin (a knave being a squire), a knave of cards is not unlike a herald. A story is told of an Irish King at Arms\*, who, waiting upon the Bishop of Killaloe to summon him to Parliament, and being dressed, as the ceremony required, in his heraldic attire, so mystified the bishop's servant with his appearance, that not knowing what to make of it, and carrying off but a confused notion of his title, he announced him thus: "My lord, here is the King of Trumps."

Mr. Pennant says, that the Herald's College "is a foundation of great antiquity, in which the records are kept of all the old blood in the kingdom." But this is a mistake. Herald's, indeed, are of great antiquity, in the sense of messengers of peace and war; but in the modern sense, they are no older than the reign of Edward III., and were not incorporated before that of the usurper Richard. The house which they formerly occupied was a mansion of the Earls of Derby. It was burnt in the Great Fire, and succeeded by the present building, part of which was raised at the expense of some of their officers. As to their keeping records of "all the old blood in the kingdom," they may keep them, or not, as they have the luck to find them; but the blood was old, before they had anything to do with it. Men bore arms and crests when there were no officers to register them. This, as a writer in the *Censura Literaria* observes, justly diminishes the pretension they set up, that no arms

---

\* On the authority of Langton, Johnson's friend. See *Memoirs Anecdotes, &c.*, by Letitia Matilda Hawkins, vol. i. p. 293.

are of authority which have not been registered among their archives.

"If this doctrine," says he, "were just, the consequence would be, that arms of comparatively modern invention are of better authority than those which a man and his ancestors have borne from times before the existence of the College of Arms, and for time immemorial, supported by the evidence of ancient seals, funeral monuments, and other authentic documents. Surely this is grossly absurd; and the more absurd, if we consider that the heralds seem originally not to have been instituted for the manufacturing of armorial ensigns, but for the recording those ensigns which had been borne by men of honourable lineage, and which might, therefore, be borne by their posterity. Perhaps it would not be too much to presume, that it will be found on inquiry, that there are no grants of arms by the English Heralds of any very high antiquity; and that the most ancient which can be produced, either in the original or in well-authenticated copies, are of a date when the general use of seals of arms, circumscribed with the names and titles of the bearers, was wearing away."\*

We learn from the same writer, that the value of "a painted shield of parchment" is fifty pounds. Of the spirit in which these things have been done, the reader may judge from a letter written by an applicant to one of the most respectable names in the college list. His object was to get the illegitimate coat of a female friend changed to one by which it was to appear she was not illegitimate. He offers five pounds for it; and adds, that there is another friend of his, "an alderman's son, in Chester, whose great-grandfather was baseborn, whom I have bine treating with severall tymes about the alteration of his coat, telling him for 10<sup>li</sup> and not under, it may be accomplished; five he is willing to give, but not above; if you please to accept of that sune, you may



writt me a line or two. I desire that you will send the scroll down again, as soon as you can."\*

The truth is, that except ■ as far as their records go, and ■ they can be turned to account in questions of kindred and inheritance, the heralds are of no importance in modern times. Nor have they anything to do with the spirit and first principles of the devices, of which they assume the direction. We think this is worth notice, because heraldry itself, or at least the discussion of coats of arms, of which most people are observed to be fonder than they choose to confess, might be reconciled to the progress of knowledge, or made, at any rate, the ground of a pleasing and not ungraceful novelty. To ■ coat of arms no man, literally speaking, has pretensions, who ■ not the representative of somebody that bore ■ in the old English wars; but when the necessity for military virtue decreased, arms gave way to the gown; and *shields* had honourable, but fantastic augmentations, for the peaceful triumphs of lawyers and statesmen. Meanwhile commerce was on the increase, and there came up a new power in the shape of pounds, shillings, and pence, which was to be represented also by its coat of *arms*; how absurdly, need not be added; though the individuals who got their lions and their shields behind the counter, were often excellent men, who might have cut ■ great ■ figure in battle ■ the best, had they lived in other times. At length, not to have a military coat was to be no gentleman; and then the heralds fairly sold achievements at so much the head. They received their fees, put on their spectacles, turned over their books like astrologers, and found that you were deserving of a bear's paw, or might clap three puppies on your coach. "Congreve," says

\* Life, Diary, and Correspondence of Sir William Dugdale, by Hamper. Lond. 1827. Our memorandum has omitted the page. The letter was written to Dugdale by Randall Holmes a brother herald.

Swift, in one of his letters to Stella, "gave me a Tatler he had written out, as blind ■ he is, for little Harrison. 'Tis about ■ scoundrel ; ■■ grown rich, and went and bought a coat of arms at the heralds', and a set of ancestors at Fleet Ditch." And this is the case at present. Numbers of persons do not, however, stand on this ceremony with the heralds. Many are content to receive their exploits, at half-a-guinea the set, from pretenders who undertake to "procure arms;" and many more assume the arms nearest to their name and family, or invent them at once; naturally enough concluding, that they might as well achieve their own glories, as buy them of an old gentleman or a pedlar.

Now arms were not originally given; they were assumed. Men in battle, when armies fought pell-mell, and bodily prowess was more in request than it is now, wished to have their persons distinguished; and accordingly they put a device on their shield, or some towering symbol on their helmet. This at once served to mark out the bearer, and to express the particular sentiment or alliance upon which he was to be understood as priding himself. The real spirit of heraldry consisted, therefore, and must always consist, in distinguishing one person from another, and in expressing his individual sentiments; and as the adoption of some device is both an elegant exercise of the fancy, and acts as a kind of memento to the conscience, tending to keep us to what we profess, people who have no certain ■ of their own, or who do not care for them if they have, might not ungracefully or even uselessly entertain themselves with doing, in their own persons, what the old assumers, of arms did in theirs; that is to say, invent their own distinctions. The emblazonment might amuse their fancies, and be put in books, or elsewhere, like other coats of arms; and a little dif-

ference of the heralds. People might thus express their views in life, or their particular tastes and opinions; and the "science of heraldry," which has been so much laughed at, not always with justice, be made to accord with the progress of knowledge,—or, at all events, with the entertaining part of it.

As to coats of arms really ancient, or connected with old virtue, or with modern, we have already shown that we are far from pretending to despise anything which indulges the natural desire of mortality to extend or to elevate its sense of existence. We have no respect for shields of no meaning, or for bearers of better shields that disgrace them; but we do not profess to look without interest on very old shields, if only for the sake of their antiquity, much less when they are associated with names,

Familiar in our mouths ■ household words.

The lions and stags, &c., of the Howards and Herberts, of the Cavendishes, Russells, and Spencers, affect us more than those of Cuvier himself, especially when we recollect they were borne by great writers as well as warriors, men who advanced, not only themselves, but their species in dignity. The most interesting coats of arms, next to those which unite antiquity with ability, (that is to say, duration backward with duration and utility in prospect,) are such as become ennobled by genius, or present us with some pleasing device. Such is the spear of Shakspeare, whose ancestors are thought to have won it in Bosworth field\*; the spread eagle of Milton,—a proper

---

\* Another opinion, however, is that the spear had been given to one of his ancestors as having been ■ magistrate of some description. This supposition seems to be supported by the grant of arms to John Shakspeare in 1599, which has been printed by Mr. Malcolm. But Shakspeares in Warwickshire are as plentiful ■ blackberries, and perhaps the name originated in the stout arms of ■ whole tribe of soldiers.

epic device; the flower given to Linnaeus for a device when he was ennobled; the philosophical motto of the great Bacon, *Mediocria firma* (Mediocre things firm—the Golden Mean); the modest, yet self-respecting one, first used, we believe, by Sir Philip Sidney, *Vix ea nostra voco* (I scarcely call these things one's own); and those other mottoes taken from favourite classics, which argue more taste than antiquity. We are not sorry, however, for mere antiquity's sake, to recognise the ship of the Campbells; the crowned heart (a beautiful device) of Douglas; and even the checquers of the unfortunate family of the Stuarts. They tell us of names and connexions, and call to mind striking events in history. Indeed, all ancient names naturally become associated with history and poetry. The most interesting coat in Scottish heraldry, if we to believe tradition, is that of Hay, Earl of Errol; whose ancestors, a couple of peasants, with their father, rallied an army of their countrymen in a narrow pass, and led them back victoriously against the Danes. Two peasants are the supporters of the shield. But unquestionably the most interesting sight in the whole circle of heraldry, British or foreign, if we consider the rational popularity of its origin, and the immense advance it records in the progress of what is truly noble, is that of the plain English motto assumed by Lord Erskine, *Trial by Jury*. The devices of the Nelsons and Wellingtons, illustrious as they are, are nothing to this; for the world might relapse into barbarism, as it has formerly done, notwithstanding the exploits of the greatest warriors; but words like these are trophies of the experience of ages, and the world could not pass them, and go back again, for very shame. It is the fashion now-a-days to have painted windows; and a very beautiful fashion it is, and extremely worthy of encouragement in this climate, where the general absence

wherever they can, so ■ to increase ■ feeling of cheerfulness and warmth. When the sun strikes through ■ painted window, it seems ■ if Heaven itself were recommending to us the brilliance with which it has painted its flowers and its skies. It is ■ pity we have no devices invented for themselves by the great men of past times, otherwise what ■ illustrious window would they make! We should like to have presented the reader with such of the escutcheons above-mentioned ■ have been created or modified in some respect by their ennoblers; and to have shown him how different the old parts now appear, with which the individuals had nothing to do, compared with those of their own achievement, or adoption, even when nothing better than a motto. Sir Philip's motto almost rejects his coat.\* If all persons, ambitious of good conduct and opinions, were to adopt our suggestion, and ■ device of their own, windows of this kind might abound among friends; and many of them would become as interesting to posterity, ■ such "coats of arms" would, above all others, deserve to be.

The most eminent names in the Heralds' College are Camden, the great antiquary; Dugdale (whose merits, however, are questionable); King, ■ writer on political arithmetic; and Vanbrugh, the comic writer, who wore ■ tabard for a short time, ■ Clarencieux. Gibbon had an ancestor, a herald, who took great interest in the profes-

---

■ *Vix ea nostra voco* — (as above translated). The effect is stronger if the whole passage is called to mind. It is in Ovid;

Nam genus, et proavos, et quæ non fecimus ipsi,

Vix ea nostra voco. — *Metamor.* lib. 13. v. 140.

For birth, and rank, and what ■ own good powers  
Have earn'd us not, I scarcely call them ours.

Ovid, himself a man of birth, puts this sentiment in the mouth of Ulysses, ■ king. But then he ■ king, whose talents were above his royalty.



sion. He had another progenitor, who, about the reign of James the First, changed the scallop shells of the historian's coat "into three ogresses or female cannibals, with a design of stigmatising three ladies, his kinswomen, who had provoked him by ■ unjust lawsuit." \* A good account of heraldry, its antiquities and its freaks, is ■ desideratum, and would make ■ very amusing book.

We move westward from St. Paul's, because, though the metropolis abounds with interest in every part of it,



yet the course this way is the most generally known ; and readers may choose to hear of the most popular thoroughfares first. The origin of the word Ludgate is not known. The old opinion respecting King Lud has been rejected,

and some think it is the ■■■ word as Flud or Fludgate, meaning the Gate on the Fleet, Floet, or Flood, F being dropt, as in *leer* for *Fleer*, *Lloyd* for *Floyd* or *Fluyd*, &c. It may be so; but it is not easy to see, in that case, why Fleet Street should not have been called Lud Street. Perhaps the old tradition is right, and some ancient Lud, or Lloyd, was the builder of an "old original" gate, whether king or not. Its successor (which formerly crossed the street by St. Martin's church), was no older than the reign of King John. It was rebuilt in 1586, and finally removed in 1760. Pennant says, he remembered it "a wretched prison for debtors." The old chroniclers tell us a romantic story of a lord-mayor, Sir Stephen Forster, who enlarged this prison, and added a chapel to it. He had been confined in it himself, and, begging at the grate, was asked by a rich widow what sum would purchase his liberty. He said, twenty pounds. She paid it, took him into her service, and afterwards became his wife. One of our old dramatists (Rowley), in laying a scene in this prison, has made use of the name of Stephen Forster in a different manner; and probably his story had a foundation in truth. According to him, Stephen, who had been a profligate fellow, was relieved by the son of his brother, with whom he was at variance. Stephen afterwards becomes rich in his turn, and seeing his brother become poor and thrust into the same prison, forbids his nephew Robert, whom he had adopted on that condition, to relieve his father. The nephew disobeys, and has the misfortune to incur the hatred of both uncle and parent, for his connection with either party, but ultimately finds his virtue acknowledged. The following scene is one of those in which these old writers, in their honest confidence in nature, go direct to the heart. The reader will see the style of begging in those days. Robert Forster, who has been cursed by his father, comes to Ludgate and stands

concealed outside the prison, while his father appears above at the grate, "a box hanging down."

*Forster.* Bread, bread, one penny to buy a loaf of bread, for the tender mercy.

*Rob.* O me my shame! I know that voice full well;  
I'll help thy wants, although thou curse me still.

*[He stands where he is unseen by his father.]*

*Fors.* Bread, bread, some Christian man send back  
Your charity to a number of poor prisoners.  
One penny for the tender mercy — *[Robert puts in money.]*  
The hand of Heaven reward you, gentle sir!  
Never may you want, never feel misery;  
Let blessings in unnumbered measure grow,  
And fall upon your head, where'er you go.

*Rob.* Oh, happy comfort! curses to the ground  
First struck me; now with blessings I am crowned.

*Fors.* Bread, bread, for the tender mercy; one penny for a  
loaf of bread.

*Rob.* I'll buy more blessings: take thou all my store;  
I'll keep no coin and see my father poor.

*Fors.* Good angels guard you, sir; my prayers shall be,  
That Heaven may bless you for this charity.

*Rob.* If he knew me sure he would not say so:  
Yet I have comfort, if by any means  
I get a blessing from my father's hands.\*

The prison of Ludgate was anciently considered to be not so much a place of confinement as a place of refuge, into which debtors threw themselves to escape from their creditors — "a keep, not so much of the wicked as of the wretched," — ("non sceleratorum carcer, sed miserorum custodia"), as it is expressed in a Latin speech which was addressed by the inmates to King Philip of Spain, when he passed through the city, in 1554, and which the celebrated Roger Ascham was employed to compose. As it

\* Lamb's Specimens of English Dramatic Poets, p. 147.

does not appear, however, that the persons who took up their abode here were allowed to come out again until they had discharged their debts, the distinction attempted to be drawn seems to be ■ somewhat shadowy one. A writer, nevertheless, quoted by Maitland, who in 1659 published ■ description of the house in which he had himself been for a long time ■ resident, expresses great indignation against the authorities for having “basely and injuriously caused to be taken down” the old inscription, affixed by Sir Stephen Forster, of *Free Water and Lodging*, “and set up another over the outward street door with only these words engraven : *This is the PRISON of LUDGATE.*”\* The prison of Ludgate stood on the south side of the street, and extended back till it almost joined a portion of the old London Wall, which ran nearly parallel to Ludgate Hill. About the year 1764 this wall is described ■ being eight feet and ■ half thick.† Bits of it (as before noticed) still remain in this neighbourhood.

At this gate a stop was put to the insurrection of Sir Thomas Wyatt against Queen Mary, at the time when her marriage with Philip was in contemplation. Sir Thomas was son of the poet who had been a friend of the Earl of Surrey, and a warm partisan of Anne Bullen. He led his forces up the Strand and Fleet Street in no very hopeful condition, after suffering a loss in his rear; and on arriving at Ludgate, found it shut against him, and strongly manned. The disappointment is said to have affected him ■ strongly, that he threw himself on ■ bench opposite the Bell-Savage Inn, and mourned the rashness of his hopes. He retired, only to find his retreat cut off at Temple Bar; and being summoned by a herald to submit, requested it might be to a gentleman; upon

---

\* Maitland, vol. i. p. 28.

† Malcolm, *Londinium Redivivum*, iv. 367.

which his sword was received by a person of his own rank. He was beheaded. It is worth observing, that Mary, alarmed at this insurrection, had pretended, in a speech at Guildhall, that she would give up the marriage, provided it were seriously and properly objected to; she only called upon the citizens to stand by her against rebels. When the rebels, however, were put down, the marriage, though notoriously unpopular, was concluded.

The Bell-Savage is an inn of old standing. The name is now learnedly written over the front — Belle Sauvage. The old sign was a bell with a savage by it. Stow derived the name from Isabella Savage, who had given the house to the company of Cutlers; and most likely this was its origin; but as the inn was formerly one of those in which plays were acted, and as the players had dealings with romance, and sign-painters varied their hieroglyphics according to the whim of the moment, Pennant might have reasonably found one derivation in the *Spectator*, without objecting to the other. A sight of the passage to which he refers will leave the immediate derivation beyond all doubt. “As for the Bell-Savage,” says Addison (for the paper is his), “which is the sign of a Savage Man standing by a Bell, I was formerly very much puzzled upon the conceit of it, till I accidentally fell into the reading of an old romance translated out of the French; which gives an account of a very beautiful woman who was in a wilderness, and is called in the French *la belle Sauvage*; and is everywhere translated by our countrymen the Bell-Savage.”\* This was one of the inns at which the famous Tarlton used to perform. London has a modern look to the inhabitants; but persons who come from the country find as odd and remote-looking things in it as the Londoners do in York or Chester; and among these



are ■ variety of old inns, with corridors running round the yard. They are well worth a glance from anybody who has a respect for old times. The play used to be got up in the yard, and the richer part of the spectators occupied "the galleries." \*

The wall in which Lud-gate stood ■ the occasion of the hills having two names, which is still the case, the upper part, between the Bell-Savage and St. Paul's Churchyard, being called Ludgate Street, and only the rest Ludgate Hill. This latter portion went anciently by the name of Bowyers' Row, no doubt from its being principally inhabited by persons of that trade. On Ludgate Hill lived the cobbler whom Steel mentions ■ ■ curious instance of pride. † He had a wooden figure of a beau, who stood before him in a bending posture, humbly presenting him with his awl, or bristle, or whatever else his employer chose to put in his hand, after the manner of an obsequious servant. Steele seems to have thought the man mad; otherwise the conceit would have been an

---

\* Malone, in his Historical Account of the English Stage, has an ingenious parallel between these inn-theatres and the construction of the modern ones. "Many of our ancient dramatick pieces," he observes, "were performed in the yards of carriers' inns, in which, in the beginning of Queen Elizabeth's reign, the comedians, who then first united themselves in companies, erected ■ occasional stage. The form of these temporary play-houses seems to be preserved in our modern theatre. The galleries in both are ranged over each other on three sides of the building. The small rooms under the lowest of these galleries answer to our present boxes; and it is observable, that these, even in theatres which were built in ■ subsequent period expressly for dramatick exhibitions, still retained their old name, and ■ frequently called *rooms* by our ancient writers. The yard bears a sufficient resemblance to the pit, as at present in use. We may suppose the stage to have been raised in this arena, on the fourth side, with its back to the gateway of the inn, at which the money for admission was taken. Thus in fine weather, ■ play-house, not incommodious, might have been formed." Reed's Edition of Johnson's and Steevens's Shakspeare, vol. iii. p. 73.

† Tatler, No. 127.

agreeable one." Ludgate Street, as if to keep up and augment the didactic reputation of the neighbourhood, was not long since the head-quarters of the Society for the Diffusion of Knowledge, at least as far as regarded their publications. And, curiously enough, the house was next door to old "Newberry's."

Between Ludgate Hill and the Thames, in the district more properly retaining the name, was the monastery of the Black Friars, an order of Dominicans, in which parliaments were sometimes held. The Emperor Charles V. was lodged in it when he visited Henry VIII., in 1522; and in a hall of the same building, seven years after, the cause was tried between Henry and his queen, Catherine. Shakspeare has given us the opening scene. In Elizabeth's time, the desecrated tenements and neighbourhood of Blackfriars became the resort of the world of fashion, — ■ court end of the city; and close at hand, on the site retaining the name of Play-house Yard, was the famous Theatre in Blackfriars, where Shakspeare's, Ben Jonson's, and Beaumont and Fletcher's plays were performed, and where many of them came out. It was what they called at that time a "private" theatre, the peculiarity of which is not exactly understood. All that is known of it is, that it was smaller than the public ones; but it was open to public admission. Perhaps a private theatre meant a theatre more select than the others, and frequented by politer company; for such, at any rate, the present one appears to have been. It is conjectured also to have been ■ winter theatre, and its performances took place by candle-light. The gallants and ladies of the courts of Elizabeth and James took their dinner at noon, and after riding or lute-playing till evening, went to their snug little theatre in the neighbourhood, to laugh or weep over the divine fancies of Shakspeare. Shakspeare himself must often

inhabitant will be glad to possess. The theatre, at one time, was partly his property.

A part of the monastery of the Blackfriars was, in 1623, the scene of a frightful accident, which made a great noise at the time. Mr. Malcolm has enumerated several of the publications recording it; and from these it appears that on Sunday, the 5th of November in that year, a congregation of about three hundred individuals had assembled, in a small gallery over the gateway of the lodgings of the French Ambassador in this building, in order to hear a sermon from a Jesuit, named Father Drury, who enjoyed considerable reputation as a preacher. Under the floor of the chamber where they were assembled was an empty apartment, and under that another, making together a height of twenty-two feet from the ground; and the floor itself, it afterwards turned out, was mainly supported by a single beam, which in the centre was not more than three inches thick. The people had been in their seats for about half-an-hour, when this beam suddenly gave way, and the whole of them were instantly precipitated, mixed with the timber, plaster, and rubbish of the floors, into the vacant depth below. Drury, and another priest, named Redgate, were both killed, as were also a Lady Webbe, and the daughter of a Lady Blackstone, together with, it is supposed, between ninety and a hundred persons. Many more were seriously injured. "Several people," says Mr. Malcolm, "escaped in a very extraordinary manner, particularly Mrs. Lucy Penruddock, who was preserved by a chair falling hollow over her; and a young man, who lay on the floor, overwhelmed by people and rubbish, yet untouched by them, through the resting of fragments on each other, and thus leaving a space round him. In this horrible situation he had the presence of mind to force his way through a piece of the ceiling, and he shortly after had the indescribable happiness of assisting in the

liberation of others."\* There were many persons, it would appear, foolish and wicked enough to represent this calamity as a token of the displeasure of heaven against the Roman Catholic faith. The pamphlets noticed by Mr. Malcolm are some of those that were published by the parties in a violent controversy which raged for some time on the subject. The day on which this accident happened was long remembered under the name of the Fatal Vespers; and the circumstance that it was the anniversary of the Gunpowder Plot was not forgotten by the judgment-mongers. Most of the bodies of those who were killed on this occasion were buried without either the ceremony of a funeral service, or the decency of a coffin or a winding-sheet, in two large pits or trenches, dug, the one in the court before, and the other in the garden behind the house, in which the accident had taken place.

Printing-house Square, close to Playhouse-yard, marks out the site of the ancient King's Printing-House, whence bibles, prayer-books, and proclamations were issued. It was rebuilt in the middle of the last century, and became, according to Maitland, "the completest printing-house in the world." The king's printer now lives elsewhere; but in the same spot is a house, which may be called the world's printing-house, seeing the enormous multitude of newspapers which the mighty giant of steam daily throws forth out of his iron lap, full of interest to all quarters of the globe. We need not say that we allude to the *Times* newspaper. There is no knowing, in this and other instances, what bounds to put to human expectation, when mechanical and intellectual force are thus joined in a common object.

On the other side of the way, in Bridge Street, stood,

and stands now, though hidden by the new houses, and much altered, the former palace of Bridewell, now known as a house of industry and correction. In ancient times the King used frequently to reside here; and when such was the case, the courts of law sometimes attended him. The building, having fallen into decay, was restored about the year 1522, by Henry VIII.; and here the attendants of the Emperor Charles V. were lodged while the emperor himself occupied the Blackfriars, a communication being formed between the two palaces by a gallery carried over the Fleet Ditch, and through the old city wall. Both Henry and Catherine, also, were lodged here, while the cause between them was proceeding at Blackfriars. In 1553 Edward VI. granted the palace, on the solicitation of Bishop Ridley, for the purposes to which it has been since applied; an act of benevolence which was recorded, with more precision than elegance, in the following lines under a portrait of his majesty, that used to hang near the pulpit in the old chapel:

“This Edward of fair memory the sixth,  
In whom with greatness, goodness was commixt,  
Gave this Bridewell, a Palace in old times,  
For a chastising house of vagrant crimes.”

Bridewell having been burnt down in the Great Fire was rebuilt immediately after that calamity, and it has since been frequently repaired, and partially renovated. Henry the Eighth (“sturdy rogue!”) would have been a fit personage to lodge in it still, though under somewhat different circumstances.

One of the steep and gloomy descents from Thames Street still preserves the name of Castle Street; and immediately to the west of this stood in ancient times, on the banks of the river, a large building called Baynard's Castle. Baynard, by whom it was originally erected in the eleventh century, was one of the Conqueror's N




followers. His descendant, William Baynard, however, soon after the commencement of the next century, forfeited his inheritance to the crown, by which it was bestowed upon the family of Clare. The representative of this family, and the possessor of Baynard's Castle, in the reign of King John, was the Baron Robert Fitzwalter, a portion of whose history, as related by some of our old chroniclers, gives an interest to the spot. Among the beauties of the time, one of the fairest was Matilda, the daughter of Fitzwalter. The licentious monarch, who may have seen her at some high festival held in this very castle, was smitten, after his fashion, by her charms; but his suit was rejected with indignation, both by herself and her father. His "love" now turned into hatred and thirst of revenge; he soon after resorted to open force, and having first driven Fitzwalter to seek refuge in France, easily got the unhappy girl into his custody, and, if we are to believe the story, despatched her by poison. He at the same time ordered Castle Baynard to be demolished. The next year the armies of the English and French Kings lay encamped during a truce on the opposite sides of a river in France, when an English knight, impatient, as it would seem, of the bloodless inactivity that prevailed, thought fit to challenge any one of the enemy who chose to come forth and break a lance with him. It was not long before a champion appeared making his way across the water, who, unattended as he was, had no sooner reached the land, than he mounted a horse and rode up to meet his challenger. The duel took place in the sight of King John and his troops, but it did not last long; for both the English knight and his horse were thrown to the ground by the first thrust of his antagonist's spear, which was also broken to shivers in the shock. "By God's troth," exclaimed John, as he beheld this heroic exploit, "he were a king indeed who had such a knight." The words were caught

by some of the bystanders, who had observed more narrowly than the monarch the figure of the unknown victor, and who suspected him to be no other than their old acquaintance, the Baron Fitzwalter. It was, in fact, no other. The next day, the praise which the King had bestowed upon his prowess being reported to him, he returned to the English camp, and throwing himself at the feet of his sovereign, was re-admitted to favour, and restored to all his former possessions and honours. We may observe, however, that this narrative is scarcely detailed with sufficient precision to entitle it to be received as a piece of authentic history, and that especially it does not seem to be very easy to reconcile some parts of it, as commonly given, with the ascertained dates and [REDACTED] of the events of King John's reign. This Robert Fitzwalter is placed by Matthew Paris at the head of his list of the Barons, who, in 1215, came armed in a body to the King, at the Temple, and made those demands which led to the concession of the Great Charter at Runnymede. Indeed, in the short military contest which preceded the King's submission, Fitzwalter was appointed by his brother barons the commander-in-chief of their forces, and dignified in that capacity with the title of Marshal of the Army of God and of Holy Church. On his return to England, he is said to have rebuilt or repaired his castle in London which the King had thrown down, and the edifice continued for a long time to be the principal fortress within the city. The family of Fitzwalter, in consequence of their possession of Baynard's Castle, held the office of Chastilians and Bannerets, or Banner-bearers, of London; and the reader who is curious upon such matters may consult Stow, or those who have copied him, for an account of the rights, services, and ceremonial customs appertaining to that dignity. The punishment of a person found guilty of treason within the banneret's

jurisdiction is worth noticing: he was to be tied to a post in the Thames, at one of the wharfs, and left there for two ebblings and two flowings of the tide. After this, there was certainly little chance of his committing more treason.

It is not known how Baynard's Castle, and the privileges belonging to the lordship, got out of the hands of this family; but in 1428, in the reign of Henry the Sixth, the building, having been burned down, is stated to have been restored by Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester. After the duke's death it came once more into the possession of the crown; and here it was that the great council assembled in the beginning of March, 1461, which proclaimed the Earl of March King, by the title of Edward IV. It was here also, twenty-two years after, that the solemn farce was enacted in which Richard III. assumed the royal dignity on the invitation of Buckingham, and in obedience to the pretended wishes of the citizens. Shakspeare has given this scene with an exact conformity, in all the matters of fact, to the narratives of the old chroniclers; the crafty Protector, it will be remembered, being made to present himself in the gallery above, supported by a bishop on each side, while Buckingham, the lord mayor, the aldermen, and the citizens, occupy the court of the castle below. Baynard's Castle was once more rebuilt in 1487, by Henry VII., with a view to its answering better the purpose of a royal palace; and the King occasionally lodged there. Some time after this we find the place in possession of the Earls of Pembroke, who made it their common residence; and it was here that the Earl of that name, on the 19th of July, 1553, about a fortnight after the death of Edward VI., assembled the council of the nobility and clergy, at which the determination was taken, on the motion of Lord Arundel, to abandon the cause of Lady Jane Grey, and to proclaim Queen Mary, which,

city. This is supposed to have been the building which was destroyed in the Great Fire of 1666. It is represented in an old print of London ■ ■ square pile surrounding ■ court, and surmounted with numerous towers. A large gateway in the middle of the south side led to the river by a bridge of two arches and stairs. This ancient fortress



was never rebuilt after the fire ; and its site has been since occupied by wharfs, timber-yards, workshops, and common dwelling-houses. The ward, however, in which it was situated, and which embraces also St. Paul's Churchyard, and nearly all the localities we have as yet noticed, still retains the name of the Ward of Baynard's Castle.

Upon Paul's Wharf Hill, to the north-east of Baynard's Castle, were a number of houses within a great gate, which are said by Maitland to have been designated, in the leases granted by the dean and chapter, as the *Camera Dianæ*, or Diana's Chamber, and to have been so denominated from a spacious building in the form of ■ labyrinth, constructed here by Henry II. for the concealment of the fair Rosamond Clifford. We need scarcely

say that this tradition has all the air of a fable. The author we have just named, however, assures us that "for a long time there remained some evident testifications of tedious turnings and windings, as also of a passage under ground from his house to Castle Baynard; which was no doubt the King's way from thence to the *Camera Dianæ*," \* or the chamber of his "brightest Diana." What the "testifications" in question may really have amounted to, we cannot pretend to say; but Diana, not being a family name, ■ in the case of another royal favourite, Diana of Poitiers, seems a strange one to have been given to the lady already christened by so poetical an appellation as Rosamond, and so different in her reputation from the chaste goddess. We should, for our parts, rather suppose that the dean and chapter had been moved to call the place Diana's Chamber by some tradition, or a conceit of their own, connecting it with the temple of that goddess, said to have formerly stood ■ the site of the neighbouring cathedral; or if the name was really a very ancient one, and in popular use, it may perhaps be taken as lending some slight confirmation to the notion of the actual existence of that heathen edifice, and may "help," as Iago phrases it, "to thicken other proofs, that also demonstrate thinly." Diana's Chamber, however, may have been so called from its being hung with painted tapestry, representing some story of the goddess. Inigo Jones, by the way, is said by Lord Orford to be buried in the church of St. Bennet, Paul's Wharf, which stands immediately to the south of the spot where we now are, at the corner formed by the meeting of Thames Street and St. Bennet's Hill.

Another building which formerly existed in this neighbourhood was the Royal Wardrobe. It occupied the



site of the present Wardrobe Court, immediately to the north of the church of St. Andrew's, and gave to the parish the name of St. Andrew's Wardrobe, by which it is still known. This building was erected about the middle of the fourteenth century, by Sir John Beauchamp, Knight of the Garter, a son of Guido, Earl of Warwick, by whose heirs it was sold to Edward III. Mr. Malcolm has printed some extracts from the Manuscript Account Book, since preserved in the Harleian collection, of the keeper of this Wardrobe, from the middle of April to Michaelmas 1481, (towards the close of the reign of Edward IV.), which are interesting and valuable as memorials, both of the prices and of the fashions of that time. During the period, of less than six months, over which the accounts extend, the sum of £1174 5s. 2d. appears to have been received by the keeper, for the use of his office. Of this the most considerable portion seems to have been expended in the purchase of velvets and silks from Montpellier. "The velvets cost from 8s. to 16s. per yard; black cloths of gold, 40s.; what is called velvet upon velvet, the same; damask, 8s.; satins, 6s., 10s. and 12s., camlets, 30s. a-piece; and sarcenets from 4s. to 4s. 2d. Feather beds, with bolsters, "for our sovereign lord the king," are charged 16s. 8d. each. A pair of shoes, of Spanish leather, double soled, and not lined, cost 1s. 4d.; a pair of black leather boots, 6s. 8d.; hats, 1s. a-piece; and ostrich feathers, each 10s. The keeper's salary appears to have been 100*l.* per annum — that of his clerk 1s. a-day; and the wages of the tailors 6d. a-day each. The King sometimes lodged at the Wardrobe, on one of which occasions the washing of the sheets which had been used is charged at the rate of 3d. a-pair. Candles cost 1d. a-pound. All the money disbursed by the keeper of the wardrobe, however, was not expended in decorating the persons of his Majesty and

to Piers Bauduyn (or Peter Baldwin, as we should now call him), stationer, “for binding, gilding, and dressing of a book called Titus Livius;” for performing the same offices to a Bible, a Froisard, ■ Holy Trinity, and the Government of Kings and Princes, 16s. each; for three small French books, 6s. 8d.; for the Fortress of Faith, and Josephus, 3s. 4d.; and for what is designated “the Bible Historical,” 20s. So that in those days, we see, the binding a book was conceived to be a putting of it into breeches, and the artist employed for that purpose looked upon as ■ sort of literary tailor.

How impossible it would now be, in a neighbourhood like this, for such nuisances to exist, as a fetid *public* ditch, and scouts of degraded clergymen asking people to “walk in and be married!” Yet such was the case ■ century ago. At the bottom of Ludgate Hill the little river Fleet formerly ran, and was rendered navigable. In Fleet market is Sea-coal Lane, so called from the barges that landed coal there; and Turn-again Lane, at the bottom of which the unadvised passenger found himself compelled by the water to retrace his steps. The water gradually got clogged and foul; and the channel was built over, and made a street, as we have noticed in our introduction. But even in the time we speak of, this had not been entirely done. The ditch was open from Fleet Market to the river, occupying the site of the modern Bridge Street; and in the market, before the door of the Fleet prison, men plied in behalf of a clergyman, literally inviting people to walk in and be married. They performed the ceremony inside the prison, to sailors and others, for what they could get. It was the most squalid of Gretnas, bearding the decency and common sense of ■ whole metropolis. The parties retired to ■ gin-shop to treat the clergyman; and there, and in similar houses, the register was kept of the marriages. Not far from the

Fleet is Newgate, so that the victims had their succession of nooses prepared, in case, ■ no doubt it often happened, one tie should be followed by the others. Pennant speaks of this nuisance from personal knowledge.

"In walking along the streets in my youth," he tells us, "on the side next this prison, I have often been tempted by the question, '*Sir, will you be pleased to walk in and be married.*' Along this most lawless space was frequently hung up the sign of a male and female hand conjoined, with *Marriages performed within*, written beneath. A dirty fellow invited you in. The parson was seen walking before his shop; ■ squalid, profligate figure, clad in a tattered plaid night-gown, with ■ fiery face, and ready to couple you for a dram of gin or roll of tobacco. Our great chancellor, Lord Hardwicke, put these demons to flight, and saved thousands from the misery and disgrace which would be entailed by these extemporary thoughtless unions."

This extraordinary disgrace to the city, which ■ most likely from the permission to marry prisoners, and one great secret of which was the advantage taken of it by wretched women to get rid of their debts, was maintained by a collusion between the warden of the Fleet and the disreputable clergymen he became acquainted with. "To such an extent," says Malcolm, "were the proceedings carried, that twenty and thirty couple were joined in one day, at from ten to twenty shillings each;" and "between the 19th Oct. 1704, and the 12th Feb. 1705, 2,954 marriages were celebrated (by evidence), besides others known to have been omitted. To these neither licence nor certificate of banns were required, and they concealed, by private marks, the names of those who chose to pay them for it." The neighbourhood at length complained; and the abuse was put an end to by the Marriage Act, to which it gave rise.

Ludgate and Fleet ditch figure among the scenes of the

Bridge Street, that the venal and scurrilous heroes of that poem emulate one another, at the call of Dullness, in seeing who can plunge deepest into the mud and dirt.

“ This labour past, by Bridewell all descend,  
 (As morning prayer and flagellation end\*),  
 To where Fleet-ditch, with disemboguing streams,  
 Rolls the large tribute of dead dogs to Thames ;  
 The king of dykes ! than whom no sluice of mud  
 With deeper sable blots the silver flood.  
 Here strip, my children ! here at once leap in ;  
 Here prove who best can dash through thick and thin ;  
 And who the most in love of dirt excel,  
 And dark dexterity of groping well.” †

This part of the games being over,

“ Through Lud’s famed gates, along the well-known Fleet,  
 Rolls the black troop, and overshades the street ;  
 Till showers of sermons, characters, essays,  
 In circling fences whiten all the ways :  
 So clouds replenished from some bog below,  
 Mount in dark volumes, and descend in snow.”

The “ well-known Fleet ” is the prison just mentioned, the side of which appears to have been visible at that time in Ludgate Hill, and where it was a joke (too often founded in truth) to suppose authors incarcerated.

“ Few sons of Phœbus in the courts we meet ;  
 But fifty sons of Phœbus in the Fleet,”


says a prologue of Sheridan’s. The Fleet having “ rules,” like the King’s Bench, authors were found in the neighbourhood also. Arthur Murphy, provoked by the attacks of Churchill and Lloyd, describes them as among the poor hacks,

---

\* The whipping of the criminals in Bridewell took place after the church service.

"On Ludgate Hill who bloody murders write,  
Or pass in Fleet Street supperless the night."

Booksellers' shops were then common as now in Fleet Street and the Strand, in Paternoster Row, and St. Paul's Churchyard. This is pleasant to think of; for change is not desirable without improvement. One feels gratified, where difference is not demanded of us, in being able to have the same association of ideas with such men as Pope and Dryden, even if it be upon no higher ground than the quantity of books in Paternoster Row, or the circumstance that Ludgate Hill still leads into Fleet Street.




THE STONE IN PANYER ALLEY.



## CHAP. III.

## FLEET STREET.

Burning of the Pope.—St. Bride's Steeple.—Milton.—Illuminated Clock.—Melancholy End of Lovelace the Cavalier.—Chatterton.—Generosity of Hardham, of Snuff Celebrity.—Theatre in Dorset Garden.—Richardson, his Habits and Character.—Whitefriars, or Alsatia.—The Temple—Its Monuments, Garden, &c.—Eminent Names connected with it.—Goldsmith dies there.—Boswell's first Visit there to Johnson.—Johnson and Madame de Boufflers.—Bernard Lintot.—Ben Jonson's Devil Tavern.—Other Coffee-houses and Shops.—Goldsmith and Temple-bar.—Shire Lane, Bickerstaff, and the Deputation from the Country.—The Kit-Kat Club.—Mrs. Salmon.—Isaac Walton.—Cowley.—Chancery Lane, Lord Strafford, and Ben Jonson.—Serjeant's Inn.—Clifford's Inn.—The Rolls.—Sir Joseph Jekyll.—Church of St. Dunstan in the West.—Dryden's House in Fetter Lane.—Johnson, the Genius Loci of Fleet Street.—His Way of Life.—His Residence in Gough Square, Johnson's Court, and Bolt Court.—Various Anecdotes of him connected with Fleet Street, and with his favourite Tavern, the Mitre.



WE are now in Fleet Street, and pleasant memories thicken upon us. To the left is the renowned realm of Alsatia, the Temple, the Mitre, and the abode of Richardson; to the right divers abodes of Johnson; Chancery Lane, with Cowley's birth-place at the corner; Fetter Lane, where Dryden once lived; and Shire or Sheer Lane, immortal for the *Tatler*.

Fleet Street was, for a good period, perhaps for a longer one than can now be ascertained, the great place for shows and spectacles. Wild beasts, monsters, and other marvels, used to be exhibited there, and the wax-work was lately; and here took place the famous ceremony of burning the Pope, with its long procession, and bigoted anti-bigotries.

However, the lesser bigotry was useful, at that time, in keeping out the greater. Roger North has left us a lively account of one of these processions, in his *Examen*. It took place towards the close of the reign of Charles the Second, when just fears were entertained of his successor's design to bring in Popery. The day of the ceremony was the birth-day of Queen Elizabeth, the 17th March.

"When we had posted ourselves," says North, "at windows, expecting the play to begin" (he had taken his stand in the Green Dragon Tavern), "it was very dark; but we could perceive the street to fill, and the hum of the crowd grew louder and louder; and at length, with help of some lights below, we could discern, not only upwards towards the bar, where the squib-war was maintained, but downwards towards Fleet Bridge, the whole street was crowded with people, which made that which followed        very strange; for about eight at night we heard a din from below, which came up the street, continually increasing till we could perceive a motion; and that was a row of stout fellows, that came, shouldered together, cross the street, from wall to wall on each side. How the people melted away, I cannot tell; but it was plain those fellows made clear board, as if they had swept the street for what was to come after. They went along like    wave; and it was wonderful to see how the crowd made way: I suppose the good people were willing to give obedience to lawful authority. Behind this wave (which, as all the rest, had many lights attending), there was a vacancy, but it filled apace, till another like wave came up; and    four or five of these waves passed, one after another; and then we discerned more numerous lights, and throats were opened with hoarse and tremendous noise; and with that advanced    pageant, borne along above the heads of the crowd, and upon it sat    huge Pope, in pontificalibus, in his chair, with    seasonable attendance for state; but his premier minister, that shared most of his ear,        Il Signior Diavolo, a nimble little fellow, in    proper dress, that had    strange dexterity in climbing and winding about the chair, from one of the Pope's        to the other.

(for there was always ■ decent space between them) came another, with some ordinary persons with halters, as I took it, about their necks; and one, with a stenterophonic tube, sounded, 'Abhorrrers! Abhorrrers!' most infernally; and, lastly, came one, with a single person upon it, which some said was the pamphleteer, Sir Roger L'Estrange, some the King of France, some the Duke of York; but, certainly, it was a very com-plaisant, civil gentleman, like the former, that was doing what every body pleased to have him; ~~and~~, taking all in good part, went on his way to the fire."

The description concludes with a brief mention of burning the effigies, which, on these occasions, appear to have been of pasteboard. \*

One of the great figurers in this ceremony was the doleful image of Sir Edmondbury Godfrey, a magistrate, supposed to have been killed by the Papists during the question of the plot. Dryden has a fine contemptuous couplet upon it, in one of his prologues; —

"Sir Edmondbury first, in woful wise,  
Leads up the show, *and milks their muddlin eyes.*"

We will begin with the left side, as we are there already; and first let us express our thanks for the neat opening by which St. Bride's church has been rendered an ornament to this populous thoroughfare. The steeple is one of the most beautiful of Wren's productions, though diminished, in consequence of its having been found to be too severely tried by the wind. But a ray now comes out of this opening as we pass the street, better even than that of the illuminated clock at night time; for there, in a lodging in the churchyard, lived Milton, at the time that he undertook the education of his sister's children. He was then young and unmarried. He is said to have ren-

\* See Walter Scott's edition of Dryden, vol. x. p. 372. "Abhorrrers" were addressers on the side of the court, who had avowed "abhorrence" of the proceedings of the Whigs.



and lived during his imprisonment beyond his income. Wood thinks that he did ■ in order to support the royal cause, and out of generosity to deserving men, and to his brothers. He then went into the service of the French king, returned to England after being wounded, and was again committed to prison, where he remained till the king's death, when he was set at liberty. "Having then," says his biographer, "consumed all his estate, he grew very melancholy, (which brought him at length into ■ consumption,) became very poor in body and purse, and was the object of charity, went in ragged clothes, (whereas, when he was in his glory, he wore cloth of gold and silver,) and mostly lodged in obscure and dirty places, more befitting the worst of beggars than poorest of servants," &c.\* "Geo. Petty, haberdasher in Fleet street," says Aubrey, "carried 20 shillings to him every Monday Morning from Sir . . . . Manny, and Charles Cotton, Esq., for . . . . months: but was never repaid." As if it was their intention he should be! Poor Cotton, in the excess of his relish of life, lived himself to be in want; perhaps wanted the ten shillings that he sent. The mistress of Lovelace is reported to have married another man, supposing him to have died of his wounds in France. Perhaps this helped to make him careless of his fortune: but it is probable that his habits were naturally showy and expensive. Aubrey says he was proud. He was accounted ■ sort of minor Sir Philip Sydney. We speak the ■ of him, not only on account of his poetry, (which, for the most part, displays much fancy, injured by want of selectness,) but because his connection with the neighbourhood probably suggested to

---

him money." But Aubrey's authority ■ not valid against Wood's. He is to be read like ■ proper gossip, whose accounts we may pretty safely reject or believe, as it suits other testimony.



Richardson the name of his hero in *Clarissa*. Grandison is another cavalier name in the history of those times. It was the title of the Duchess of Cleveland's father. Richardson himself was buried in St. Bride's. He was laid, according to his wish, with his first wife, in the middle aisle, near the pulpit. Where he lived, we shall see presently.

Not far from Gunpowder Alley, in the burying-ground of the workhouse in Shoe Lane, lies a greater and more unfortunate name than Lovelace, — Chatterton. But we shall say more of him when we come to Brook Street, Holborn. We have been perplexed to decide, whether to say all we have got to say upon anybody, when we come to the first place with which he is connected, or divide our memoirs of him according to the several places. Circumstances will guide us; but upon the whole it is best to let the places themselves decide. If the spot is rendered particularly interesting by the division, we may act accordingly, as in the present instance. If not, all the anecdotes may be given at once.

On the same side of the way as Shoe Lane, but nearer Fleet Market, was Hardham's, a celebrated snuff-shop, the founder of which deserves mention for a very delicate generosity. He was numberer at Drury Lane Theatre, that is to say, the person who counted the number of people in the house, from a hole over the top of the stage, a practice now discontinued. Whether this employment led him to number snuffs, as well as men, we cannot say, but he was the first who gave them their distinctions that way. Lovers of

“The pungent grains of titillating dust” are indebted to him for the famous compound entitled “37.” “Being passionately fond of theatrical entertainments, he was seldom,” says his biographer, “without

his dining-room, or in the parlour behind his shop. The latter of these apartments ■■■ adorned with heads of most of the persons celebrated for dramatic excellence ; and to these he frequently referred, in the course of his instructions."

"There is one circumstance, however, in his private character," continues our authority, "which deserves ■ more honourable rescue from oblivion. His charity ■■■ extensive in an uncommon degree, and ■■■ conveyed to many of its objects in the most delicate manner. On account of his known integrity (for he once failed in business, more creditably than he could have made a fortune by it), he was often entrusted with the care of paying little annual stipends to unfortunate women, and others who were in equal want of relief; and he has been known, with a generosity almost unexampled, to continue these annuities, long after the sources of them had been stopped by the deaths or caprices of the persons who at first supplied them. At the ■■■ time he persuaded the receivers that their money was remitted to them as usual, through its former channel. Indeed his purse was never shut even to those who were casually recommended by his ■■■ acquaintance."\*

This admirable ■■■ died in 1772 ; and by his will bequeathed the interest of 20,000*l.* to ■ female acquaintance, and at her decease the principal, &c., to the poor of his native city, Chichester.

■ Returning over the way we come to Dorset Street and Salisbury Court, names originating in a palace of the Bishop of Salisbury, which he parted with to the Sackvilles. Clarendon lived in it ■ short time after the Restoration. At the bottom of Salisbury Court, facing the river, was the celebrated play-house, one of the earliest in which theatrical entertainments were resumed at that period. The first mention we find of it is in the following

curious memorandum in the manuscript book of Sir Henry Herbert, master of the revels to King Charles I. "I committed Cromes, a broker in Longe Lane, the 16th of Febr. 1634, to the Marsalsey, for lending a church robe with the name of *Jesus* upon it to the players in Salisbury Court, to present a Flamen, ■ priest of the heathens. Upon his petition of submission, and acknowledgement of his fault, I released him, the 17 Febr. 1634." \*

It is not certain, however, whether the old theatre in Salisbury Court, and that in Dorset Garden, were one and the same; though they are conjectured to have been so. The names of both places seem to have been indiscriminately applied. Be this ■ it may, the house became famous under the Davenants for the introduction of operas and of ■ more splendid exhibition of scenery; but in consequence of the growth of theatres in the more western parts of the town, it was occasionally quitted by the proprietors, and about the beginning of the last century abandoned. This theatre was the last to which people went in boats.

In a house, "in the centre of Salisbury Square or Salisbury Court, as it was then called," Richardson spent the greater part of his town life, and wrote his earliest work, *Pamela*. Probably ■ good part of all his works were composed there, ■ well ■ at Fulham, for the pen was never out of his hand. He removed from this house in 1755, after he had written all his works; and taking eight old tenements in the same quarter, pulled them down, and built a large and commodious range of warehouses and printing offices. "The dwelling-house," says Mrs. Barbauld, "was neither so large ■ so airy as the one he quitted, and therefore the reader will not be so ready,

probably, as Mr. Richardson seems to have been, in accusing his wife of perverseness in not liking the new habitation as well as the old."\* This was the second Mrs. Richardson. He calls her in other places his "worthy-hearted, wife;" but complains that she used to get her way by seeming to submit, and then returning to the point, when his heat of objection was over. She was a formal woman. His own manners were strict and formal with regard to his family, probably because he had formed his notions of life from old books, and also because he did not well know how to begin to do otherwise (for he was naturally bashful), and so the habit continued through life. His daughters addressed him in their letters by the title of "Honoured Sir," and are always designating themselves as "ever dutiful." Sedentary living, eternal writing, and perhaps that indulgence in the table, which, however moderate, affects a sedentary man twenty times as much as an active one, conspired to hurt his temper (for we may see by his picture that he grew fat, and his philosophy was in no respect as profound as he thought it); but he was a most kind-hearted generous man; kept his pocket full of plums for children, like another Mr. Burchell; gave a great deal of money away in charity, very handsomely too; and was so fond of inviting friends to stay with him, that when they were ill, he and his family must needs have them to be nursed. Several actually died at his house at Fulham, at a hospital for sick friends.

It is a fact not generally known (none of his biographers seem to have known of it) that Richardson was the son of a joiner, received what education he had (which was very little, and did not go beyond English), at Christ's Hospital.† It may be wondered how he could come no better

\* \* Correspondence of Samuel Richardson, &c., by Anna Letitia Barbauld, vol. i. p. 97.

taught from ■ school which had sent forth so many good scholars ; but in his time, and indeed till very lately, that foundation was divided into several schools, none of which partook of the lessons of the others ; and Richardson, agreeably to his father's intention of bringing him up to trade, was most probably confined to the writing-school, where all that was taught was writing and arithmetic. It was most likely here that he intimated his future career, first by writing a letter, at eleven years of age, to ■ censorious woman of fifty, who pretended a zeal for religion ; and afterwards, at thirteen, by composing love-letters to their sweethearts for three young women in the neighbourhood, who made him their confidant. To these and others he also used to read books, their mothers being of the party ; and they encouraged him to make remarks ; which is exactly the sort of life he led with Mrs. Chapone, Miss Fielding, and others, when in the height of his celebrity. “ One of the young women,” he informs us, “ highly gratified with her lover's fervour, and vows of everlasting love, has said, when I have asked her direction, ‘ I cannot tell you what to write, but (her heart on her lips) you cannot write too kindly ;’ all her fear was only that she should incur a slight for her kindness.” This passage, with its pretty breathless parenthesis, is in the style of his books. If the writers among his female coterie in after-life owed their inspiration to him, he only returned to them what they had done for himself. Women seem to have been always about him, both in town and country ; which made Mrs. Barbauld say, very agreeably, that he “ lived in ■ kind of flower-garden of ladies.” This has been grudged him, and thought effeminate ; but we must make allowance for early circumstances, and recollect what the garden produced for us. Richardson did not pretend to be able to do without female society. Perhaps, how-



charmed it. We think, in his Correspondence, a tendency is observable to indulge in fancies, not always so paternal ■ they agree to call them; though doubtless all was said in honour, and the ladies never found reason to diminish their reverence. A great deal has been said of his vanity and the weakness of it. Vain he undoubtedly was, and vanity is no strength; but it is worth while bearing in mind, that ■ man is often saved from vanity, not because he is stronger than another, but because he is less amiable, and did not begin, as Richardson did, with being ■ favourite so early. Few men are surrounded, as he was, from his very childhood, with females; and few people think so well of their species or with so much reason. In all probability, too, he was handsome when young, which is another excuse for him. His vanity is more easily excused than his genius accounted for, considering the way in which he lived. The tone of Lovelace's manners and language, which has created so much surprise in an author who was ■ city printer, and passed his life among ■ few friends between Fleet Street and a suburb, was caught, probably, not merely from Cibber, but from the famous profligate Duke of Wharton, with whom he became acquainted in the course of his business. But the unwearied vivacity with which he has supported it is wonderful. His pathos is more easily accounted for by his nerves, which for many years were in a constant state of excitement, particularly towards the close of his life; which terminated in 1761, at the age of seventy-two, with the death most common to sedentary men of letters, ■ stroke of apoplexy.\* He was latterly unable to lift a glass of wine to his mouth without assistance.

---

\* "——Apoplexy cramm'd intemperance knocks

◆ Down to the ground at once, as butcher felleth ox; —

says Thomson, in his *Castle of Indolence*. It was the death which the

At Fulham and Parson's Green (at which latter place he lived for the last five or six years), Richardson used to sit with his guests about him, in ■ parlour or summer-house, reading, or communicating his manuscripts ■ he wrote them. The ladies made their remarks ; and alteration or vindications ensued. His characters, agreeably to what we feel when we read of them (for we know them all ■ intimately as if we occupied a room in their house), interested his acquaintances so far that they sympathised with them as if they were real ; and it is well known that one of his correspondents, Lady Bradshaigh, implored him to reform Lovelace, in order "to save ■ soul." In Salisbury Court Richardson of course had the same visitors about him ; but the "flower-garden" is not talked of so much there ■ at Fulham. In the evening the ladies read and worked by themselves, and Richardson retired to his study ; a most pernicious habit for a ■ of his bad nerves. He should have written early in the morning, taken good exercise in the day, and amused himself in the evening. When he walked in town it was in the park, where he describes himself (to a fair correspondent who wished to have an interview with him, and who recognised him from the description) ■ "short, rather plump, about five feet five inches, fair wig, one hand generally in his bosom, the other ■ cane in it, which he leans upon under the skirts of his coat, that it may imperceptibly serve him ■ ■ support when attacked by sudden tremors or dizziness, of a light brown complexion, teeth not yet failing." "What

---

would have had, if a cold and fever had not interfered ; for there is ■ apoplexy of the head alone, ■ well ■ of the whole body ; and men of letters who either exercise little, ■ work overmuch, ■ almost sure to die of it, or of palsy ; which is ■ disease analogous. It is the last stroke, given in the kind resentment of nature, to the brains which should have known better than bring themselves to such ■ pass. In the biography of Italian literati, "*Mori' d'apoplessia*" — (he died of apoplexy) — is a common verdict.

follows," observes Mrs. Barbauld, "is very descriptive of the struggle in his character, between innate bashfulness and a turn for observation:"—"Looking directly forwards, ■ passengers would imagine, but observing all that stirs on either hand of him, without moving his short neck; ■ regular even pace, stealing away ground rather than seeming to rid it; a grey eye, too often overclouded by mistiness from the head, by chance lively, very lively if he sees any he loves; if he approaches ■ lady, his eye is never fixed first on her face, but on her feet, and rears it up by degrees, seeming to set her down ■ so and so."\*

Latterly Richardson attended little to business. He used even to give his orders to his workmen in writing; a practice which Sir John Hawkins is inclined to attribute to stateliness and bad temper, but for which Mrs. Barbauld finds a better reason in his bad nerves. His principal foreman also was deaf, as the knight himself acknowledges. Richardson encouraged his men to be industrious, sometimes by putting half-a-crown among the types ■ a prize to him who came first in the morning, at others by sending fruit for the same purpose from the country. Agreeably to his natural bashfulness, he was apt to be reserved with strangers. Sir John Hawkins tells us, that he once happened to get into the Fulham stage when Richardson was in it (most likely he got in on purpose); and he endeavoured to bring the novelist into conversation, but could not succeed, and was vexed at it. But Sir John ■ one of that numerous class of persons, who, for reasons better known to others than to themselves,

"Deemen gladly to the badder end."

as the old poet says; and Richardson probably knew this pragmatical person, and did not want his acquaintance.

Johnson was among the visitors of Richardson in Salis-

bury Court. He confessed to Boswell, that although he had never much sought after anybody, Richardson was an exception. He had much respect for him, that he took part with him in his preposterous undervaluing of Fielding, whom he described in the comparison as a writer of manners, and sometimes as hardly any writer at all. And yet he told Boswell that he had read his *Amelia* through "without stopping:" and according to Mrs. Piozzi she was his favourite heroine. In the comparison of Richardson with Fielding, he was in the habit of opposing the nature of one to the manners of the other; but Fielding's manners are only superadded to his nature, not opposed to it, which makes all the difference. As to Richardson, he was so far gone upon this point, in a mixture of pique and want of sympathy, that he said, if he had not known who Fielding was, "he should have taken him for an ostler." Fielding, it is true, must have vexed him greatly by detecting the pettiness in the character of Pamela. Richardson, as a romancer, did not like to have the truth forced upon him, and thus was inclined to see nothing but vulgarity in the novelist. This must have been unpleasant to the Misses Fielding, the sisters, who were among the most intimate of Richardson's friends. Another of our author's visitors was Hogarth. It must not be forgotten that Richardson was kind to Johnson in money matters; and to use Mrs. Barbauld's phrase, had once "the honour" to be bail for him.

We conclude our notice, which, on the subject of so original a man, has naturally beguiled us into some length, with an interesting account of his manners and way of life, communicated by one of his female friends to Mrs. Barbauld. "My first recollection of him," says she, "was in his house in the centre of Salisbury Square, or Salisbury Court as it was then called; and of being admitted as a playful child into his study, where I have often seen Dr.

Young and others; and where I was generally caressed and rewarded with biscuits or *bonbons* of some kind or other; and sometimes with books, for which he, and some more of my friends, kindly encouraged a taste, even at that early age, which has adhered to me all my long life, and continues to be the solace of many ■ painful hour. I recollect that he used to drop in at my father's, for we lived nearly opposite, late in the evening to supper; when, ■ he would say, he had worked as long as his eyes and nerves would let him, and ■ come to relax with a little friendly and domestic chat. I even then used to creep to his knee and hang upon his words, for my whole family doated on him; and once, I recollect that at one of these evening visits, probably about the year 1753, I was standing by his knee when my mother's maid came to summon me to bed; upon which, being unwilling to part from him and manifesting some reluctance, he begged I might be permitted to stay a little longer; and, on my mother's objecting that the servant would be wanted to wait at supper (for, in those days of friendly intercourse and *real* hospitality, a decent maid-servant was the only attendant at *his own* and many creditable tables, where, nevertheless, much company was received), Mr. Richardson said, 'I am sure Miss P. is now so much a woman, that she does not want any one to attend her to bed, but will conduct herself with so much propriety, and put out her own candle so carefully, that she may henceforward be indulged with remaining with us till supper is served.' This hint and the confidence it implied, had such a good effect upon me that I believe I never required the attendance of ■ servant afterwards while my mother lived; and by such sort of ingenious and gentle devices did he use to encourage and draw in young people to do what was right. I also well remember the happy days I passed at his house at North



without her, domesticated ■ one of his own children. He used to pass the greatest part of the week in town; but when he came down, he used to like to have his family flock around him, when we all first asked and received his blessing, together with some small boon from his paternal kindness and attention, for he seldom met us empty-handed, and was by nature most generous and liberal.

“The piety, order, decorum, and strict regularity that prevailed in his family were of infinite use to train the mind to good habits and to depend upon its own resources. It has been one of the means which, under the blessing of God, has enabled me to dispense with the enjoyment of what the world calls pleasures, such as are found in crowds, and actually to relish and prefer the calm delights of retirement and books. As soon as Mrs. Richardson arose, the beautiful Psalms in Smith's Devotions were read responsively in the nursery, by herself and daughters standing in ■ circle: only the two eldest were allowed to breakfast with her and whatever company happened to be in the house, for they were seldom without. After breakfast, we younger ones read to her in turns the Psalms and Lessons for the day. We were then permitted to pursue our childish sports, or to walk in the garden, which I was allowed to do at pleasure; for, when my father hesitated upon granting that privilege for fear I should help myself to the fruit, Mrs. Richardson said, ‘No, I have so much confidence in her, that, if she is put upon honour, I ■ certain that she will not touch so much ■ ■ gooseberry.’ A confidence I dare safely aver that I never forfeited, and which has given me the power of walking in any garden ever since, without the smallest desire to touch any fruit, and taught ■ a lesson upon the restraint of appetite, which has been useful to me all my life. We all dined at one table, and generally drank tea and spent the evening in Mrs. Richardson's parlour, where the practice was for one of the young ladies to read while the rest sat with mute attention round ■ large table, and employed themselves in some kind of needle-work. Mr. Richardson generally retired to his study, unless there was particular company.

“These are trifling and childish anecdotes, and savour, perhaps you may think too much of egotism. They certainly can be of no further use to you than ■ they mark the extreme benevolence, condescension, and kindness of this exalted genius, towards young people; for, in general society, I know *he* has been accused ■ being of few words and of ■ particularly reserved turn. He<sup>s</sup> was, however, all his lifetime the patron and protector of the female sex. Miss. M. (afterwards Lady G.) passed many years in his family. She was the bosom friend and contemporary of my mother; and was so much considered ■ *enfant de famille* in Mr. Richardson’s house, that her portrait is introduced into a family piece.

“He had many *protégées*;—a Miss Rosine, from Portugal, was consigned to his care; but of her, being then at school, I never saw much. Most of the ladies that resided much at his house acquired a certain degree of fastidiousness and delicate refinement, which, though amiable in itself, rather disqualified them from appearing in general society to the advantage that might have been expected, and rendered an intercourse with the world uneasy to themselves, giving a peculiar air of shyness and reserve to their whole address; of which habits his own daughters partook, in a degree that has been thought by some ■ little to obscure those really valuable qualifications and talents they undoubtedly possessed. Yet this was supposed to be owing more to Mrs. Richardson than to him; who, though a truly good woman, had high and Harlowean notions of parental authority, and kept the ladies in such order, and at such ■ distance, that he often lamented, ■ I have been told by my mother, that they were not more open and conversable with him.

“Besides those I have already named, I well remember ■ Mrs. Donellan, a venerable old lady, with sharp piercing eyes; Miss Mulso, &c. &c.; Secker, Archbishop of Canterbury; Sir Thomas Robinson (Lord Grantham), &c. &c., who were frequent visitors at his house in town and country. The ladies I have named were often staying at North End, at the period of his highest glory and reputation; and in their company and

unbounded, as his manner of diffusing it was delicate and refined." \*

Richardson was buried in the nave of St. Bride's Church, and a stone was placed over his remains, merely recording his name, the year of his death, and his age. In this church were also interred Wynken de Worde, the famous printer; the bowels of Sackville, the poet, whom we shall presently have occasion to mention again; and Sir Richard Baker, the author of the well known book of English Chronicles. De Worde resided in Fleet Street.

Between Water Lane and the Temple, and leading out of Fleet Street by a street formerly called White Friars, which has been rebuilt, and christened Bouverie Street, is one of these precincts which long retained the immunities derived from their being conventual sanctuaries, and which naturally enough became as profane as they had been religious. The one before us originated in a monastery of White Friars, an order of Carmelites, which formerly stood in Water Lane, and it acquired an infamous celebrity under the slang title of Alsatia. The claims, however, which the inhabitants set up to protect debtors from arrest, seem to have originated in a charter granted to them by James I, in 1608. For some time after the Reformation and the demolition of the old monastery, Whitefriars was not only a sufficiently orderly district, but one of the most fashionable parts of the city. Among others of the gentry, for instance, who had houses here at this period, was Sir John Cheke, King Edward VI.'s tutor, and afterwards Secretary of State. The reader of our great modern novelist has been made almost well acquainted with the place in its subsequent state of degradation and lawlessness, as if he had walked through

it when its bullies were in full blow. The rags of their Dulcineas hang out to dry, ■ if you saw them in ■ Dutch picture; and the passages are redolent of beer and tobacco. The sanctuary of Whitefriars is now extremely shrunk in its dimensions; and the inhabitants retain but ■ shadow of their privileges. The nuisance, however, existed ■ late as the time of William III., who put ■ end to it; and the neighbourhood is still of more than doubtful virtue. One alley, dignified by the title of Lombard Street, is of an infamy of such long standing, that it is said to have begun its evil courses long before the privilege of sanctuary existed, and to have maintained them up to the present moment. The Carmelites complained of it, and the neighbours complain still. In the *Dramatis Personæ* to Shadwell's play called the *Squire of Alsatia*, we have a set of characters so described as to bring us, one would think, sufficiently acquainted with the leading gentry of the neighbourhood; such ■

“ *Cheatley*. A rascal, who by reason of debts dares not stir out of *White-fryers*, but there inveigles young heirs in tail, and helps them to goods and money upon great disadvantages; is bound for them, and shares for them till he undoes them. A lewd, impudent, debauch'd fellow, very expert in the *cant* about the town.

“ *Shamwell*. Cousin to the Belfonds; an heir, who being ruined by Cheatley, is made ■ decoy-duck for others: not daring to stir out of Alsatia, where he lives: is bound with Cheatley for heirs, and lives upon 'em a dissolute, debauched life.

■ *Capt. Hackman*. A block-head bully of Alsatia; a cowardly, impudent, blustering fellow; formerly ■ sergeant in Flanders, run from his colours, retreated into *White-fryers* for ■ very small debt, where by the Alsatians he is dubbed ■ Captain, marries one that lets lodgings, sells cherry-brandy, &c.

“ *Scrapeall*. A hypocritical, repeating, praying, psalm-singing, precise fellow, pretending to great piety, ■ godly knave, who

joins with Cheatley, and supplies young heirs with goods and money."

But Sir Walter, besides painting the place itself as if he had lived in it (vide *Fortunes of Nigel*, vol. ii.), puts these people in action, with a spirit beyond any thing that Shadwell could have done, even though the dramatist had a bit of the Alsatian in himself — at least ■ far ■ drinking could go, and a flood of gross conversation.

Infamous, however, as this precinct was, there were some good houses in it, and some respectable inhabitants. The first Lord Sackville lived there; another inhabitant was Ogilby, who was a decent man, though a bad poet, and taught dancing; and Shirley another. It appears also to have been ■ resort of fencing-masters, which probably helped to bring worse company. They, themselves, indeed, were in no good repute. One of them, ■ man of the name of Turner, living in Whitefriars, gave rise to a singular instance of revenge recorded in the State Trials. Lord Sanquaire, a Scotch nobleman, in the time of James I., playing with Turner at foils, and making too great a show of his wish to put down a master of the art (probably with the insolence common to the nobility of that period), was pressed upon so hard by the man, that he received a thrust which put out one of his eyes. "This mischief," says Wilson, "was much regretted by Turner; and the baron, being conscious to himself that he meant his adversary no good, took the accident with as much patience ■ men that lose one eye by their own default use to do for the preservation of the other." "Some time after," continues this writer, "being in the court of the late great Henry of France, and the king (courteous to strangers), entertaining discourse with him, asked him, 'How he lost his eye:' He (cloathing his answer in ■ better shroud than



king replies, 'Doth the ■■■ live?' and that question gave an end to the discourse, but was the beginner of ■ strange confusion in his working fancy, which neither time nor distance could compose, carrying it in his breast some years after, till he came into England, where he hired two of his countrymen, Gray and Carliel, men of low and mercenary spirits, to murder him, which they did with a case of pistols in his house in Whitefriars many years after." \* For many years — read five, — enough, however, to make such a piece of revenge extraordinary. Gray and Carliel were among his followers. Gray, however, did not assist in the murder. His mind misgave him; and Carliel got another accomplice, named Irweng. "These two, about seven o'clock in the evening (to proceed in the words of Coke's report), came to ■ house in the Friars, which Turner used to frequent, ■ he came to his school, which was near that place, and finding Turner there, they saluted one another; and Turner with one of his friends sat at the door asking them to drink; but Carliel and Irweng, turning about to cock the pistol, came back immediately, and Carliel, drawing it from under his coat, discharged it upon Turner, and gave him ■ mortal wound near the left pap; so that Turner, after having said these words, 'Lord have mercy upon me! I am killed,' immediately fell down. Whereupon Carliel and Irweng fled, Carliel to the town, and Irweng towards the river; but mistaking his way, and entering into ■ court where they sold wood, which was no thoroughfare, he was taken. Carliel likewise fled, and so did also the baron of Sanchar. The ordinary officers of justice did their utmost, but could not take them; for, in fact, as appeared afterwards, Carliel fled

---


into Scotland, and Gray towards the sea, thinking to go to Sweden, and Sanchar hid himself in England." \*

James, who had shown such favour to the Scotch as to make the English jealous, and who also hated an ill-natured action, when it was not to do good to any of his favourites, thought himself bound to issue a promise of reward for the arrest of Sanquire and the others. It was successful; and all three were hung, Carliel and Irweng in Fleet Street, opposite the great gate of Whitefriars (the entrance of the present Bouverie Street), and Sanquire in Palace Yard, before Westminster Hall. He made a singular defence, very good and penitent, and yet remarkably illustrative of the cheap rate at which plebeian blood was held in those times; and no doubt his death was a great surprise to him. The people, not yet enlightened on these points, took his demeanour in such good part, that they expressed great pity for him, till they perceived that he died a Catholic!

This and other pretended sanctuaries were at length put down by an act of parliament passed about the beginning of the last century. It is curious that the once lawless domain of Alsatia should have had the law itself for its neighbour; but Sir Walter has shown us, that they had more sympathies than might be expected. It was a local realisation of the old proverb of extremes meeting. We now step out of this old chaos into its quieter vicinity, which, however, was not always as quiet as it is now. The Temple, as its name imports, was once the seat of the Knights Templars, an order at once priestly and military, originating in the crusades, and whose business it was to defend the Temple at Jerusalem. How they degenerated, and what sort of vows they were in the habit of making, instead of those of chastity and humility,

the modern reader need not be told, after the masterly pictures of them in the writer from whom we have just taken another set of ruffians. The Templars were dissolved in the reign of Edward II., and their house occupied by successive nobles, till it came into the possession of the law, in whose hands it was confirmed "for ever" by James I. We need not enter into the origin of its division into two parts, the Inner and Middle Temple. Suffice to say, that the word Middle, which implies a third Temple, refers to an outer one, or third portion of the old buildings, which does not appear to have been ever occupied by lawyers, but came into possession of the celebrated Essex family, whose name is retained in the street where it was situated, on the other side of Temple Bar. There is nothing remaining of the ancient buildings but the church built in 1185, which is a curiosity justly admired, particularly for its effigies of knights, some of whose cross legs indicate that they had either been to the Holy Land, or have been supposed to or vowed to go thither. One of the band is ascertained to have been Geoffrey de Magnavile, Earl of Essex, who was killed at Benwell in Cambridgeshire, in 1148. Among the others are supposed to be the Marshals, first, second, and third Earls of Pembroke, who all died in the early part of the thirteenth century. But even these have not been identified upon any satisfactory grounds; and with regard to some of the rest, not so much ■ ■ probable conjecture has been offered.

As it is an opinion still prevailing, that these cross-legged knights are Knights Templars, we have copied below the most complete information respecting them which we have hitherto met with. And the passage is otherwise curious.\*



TOMBS OF KNIGHTS IN TEMPLE CHURCH.

The two Temples, or law colleges, occupy a large space of ground between Whitefriars and Essex Street;

---

cross-legged monuments," says Dr. Nash, "that they were all erected to the memory of Knights Templars. Now to me it is very evident that not one of them belonged to that order; but, as Mr. Habington, in describing this at Alve church, hath justly expressed it, to Knights of the Holy Voyage. For the order of Knights Templars followed the rule of the Canons regular of St. Austin, and, as such, were under a vow of celibacy. Now there is scarcely one of these monuments which is certainly known for whom it is erected; but it is as certain, that the person it represented was a married man. The Knights Templars always wore a white habit, with a red cross on the left shoulder. I believe, not a single instance can be produced of either the mantle or cross being carried on any of these monuments, which would be a strong proof

Fleet Street bounding them on the north, and the river on the south. They compose an irregular mass of good substantial houses, in lanes and open places, the houses being divided into chambers, or floors for separate occupants, some of which are let to persons not in the profession. The garden about forty years ago was enlarged, and ■ muddy tract under it, on the side of the Thames, converted into a pleasant walk. This garden is still not very large, but it deserves its name both for trees and flowers. There is a descent into it after the Italian fashion, from a court with ■ fountain in it, surrounded with trees, through which the view of the old walls and

---

omitted, as by it they were distinguished from all other orders, had these been really designed to represent Knights Templars. Lastly, this order ■■■ not confined to England only, but dispersed itself all over Europe: yet it will be very difficult to find one cross-legged monument any where out of England; whereas they would have abounded in France, Italy, and elsewhere, had it been a fashion peculiar to that famous order. But though, for these reasons, ■ cannot allow the cross-legged monuments to have been for Knights Templars, yet they had some relation to them, being the memorials of those zealous devotees, who had either been in Palestine, personally engaged in what was called the Holy War, or had laid themselves under a vow to go thither, though perhaps they were prevented from it by death. Some few, indeed, might possibly be erected to the memory of persons who had made pilgrimages there merely out of private devotion. Among the latter, probably, was that of the lady of the family of Mepham, of Mepham in Yorkshire, to whose memory a cross-legged monument was placed in ■ chapel adjoining to the one collegiate church of Howden, in Yorkshire, and is at this day remaining, together with that of her husband, ■ the ■■■ tomb. As this religious madness lasted no longer than the reign of Henry III. (the tenth and last crusade being published in the year 1268), and the whole order of Knights Templars was dissolved by Edward II., military expeditions to the Holy Land, as well as devout pilgrimages there, had their period by the year 1312; consequently none of those cross-legged monuments are of a later date than the reign of Edward II., ■ beginning of Edward III., nor of an earlier than that of King Stephen, when these expeditions first took place in this kingdom." — *History and Antiquities of Worcestershire*, fol. vol. i. p. 21. Since Dr. Nash's article appeared, it has been discovered that the



buttresses of the Middle Temple Hall is much admired. But a poet's hand has touched the garden, and made it bloom with roses above the real. It is the scene in Shakspeare, of the origin of the factions of York and Lancaster.

PLANTAGENET.

"Since you are tongue-ty'd, and so loth to speak,  
In dumb significance proclaim your thoughts ;  
Let him that is a true born gentleman,  
And stands upon the honour of his birth,  
If he suppose that I have pleaded truth,  
From off this brier pluck a white rose with me.

SOMERSET.

Let him that is no coward nor no flatterer,  
But dare maintain the party of the truth,  
Pluck a red rose from off this thorn with me.

WARWICK.

I love no colours ; and, without all colour  
Of base insinuating flattery,  
I pluck this white rose with Plantagenet.

SUFFOLK.

I pluck this red rose with young Somerset ;  
And say withal I think he held the right."

There were formerly rooks in the Temple trees, a colony brought by Sir Edward Northey, a well-known lawyer in Queen Anne's time, from his grounds at Epsom. It was a pleasant thought, supposing that the colonists had no objection. The rook is a grave legal bird, both in his coat and habits ; living in communities, yet to himself ; and strongly addicted to discussions of *quid* and *tuum*. The neighbourhood, however, appears to have been too much for him ; for, upon inquiring the spot, we were told that there had been no rooks for many years.

The oldest mention of the Temple as a place for lawyers has been commonly said to be found in a passage of

Chaucer, who is reported to have been of the Temple himself. It is in his character of the Manciple, or Steward, whom he pleasantly pits against his learned employers, as outwitting even themselves :

“ A gentle manciple ■ there of a temple,  
Of which achatours (purchasers) mighten take ensemple,  
For to ben wise in buying of vitaille.  
For whether that be paid, or took by taille,  
Algate he waited so in his achate,  
That he was ay before in good estate  
Now is not that of God a full fair grace,  
That such a lewèd (ignorant) mannès wit shall pass  
The wisdom of a heap of learned men? ”\*

Spenser, in his epic way, not disdaining to bring the homeliest images into his verse, for the sake of the truth in them, speaks of

——“ those *bricky* towers  
The which on Thames’ broad aged back do ride,  
Where now the studious lawyers have their bowers :  
There whilom wont the Templar Knights to bide,  
Till they decayed through pride.”†

The “studious lawyers,” in their towers by the water side, present ■ quiet picture. Yet in those times, it seems, they were apt to break into overt actions of vivacity, ■ little excessive, and such as the habit of restraint inclines people to, before they have arrived at years of discretion. In Henry VIII.’s time the gentlemen of the Temple were addicted to “shove and slip-groats,”‡ which became

---

\* Prologue to the Canterbury Tales. We quote no edition, because where we could we have modernised the spelling; which is ■ justice to this fine old author in a quotation, in order that nobody may pass it over. With regard to Chaucer being of the Temple, and to his beating the Franciscan in Fleet Street, all which is reported depends upon the testimony of a Mr. Buckley, who, according to Speght, had seen ■ Temple record to that effect.

† Prothalamion.

forbidden them under ■ penalty : and, in the age in which Spenser wrote, so many encounters had taken place, of ■ dangerous description, that Templers were prohibited from carrying any other weapon into the hall (the dining room), “than ■ dagger or knife,” — “as if,” says Mr. Malcolm, “those were not more than sufficient to accomplish unpremeditated deaths.”\* We are to suppose, however, that gentlemen would not kill each other, except with swords. The dagger, or carving knife, which it was customary to carry about the person in those days, was for the mutton.†

A better mode of recreating and giving vent to their animal spirits, was the custom prevalent among the lawyers at that period of presenting masques and pageants. They were great players, with a scholarly taste for classical subjects; and the gravest of them did not disdain to cater in this way for the amusement of their fellows, sometimes for that of crowned heads. The name of Bacon is to be found among the “getters up” of ■ show at Gray’s Inn, for the entertainment of the sovereign; and that of Hyde, on a similar occasion, in the reign of Charles I.

A masque has come down to us written by William Browne, a disciple of Spenser, expressly for the society of

---

occasionally mentioned by the writers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, and probably were analogous to the modern pastime called Justice Jervis, or Jarvis, which is confined to common pot-houses, and only practised by such as frequent the tap-rooms.” — *Strutt’s Sports and Pastimes of the People of England*, 1828, chap. i. sect. xix. It is played with half-pence, which are jerked with the palm of the hand from the edge of a table, towards certain numbers described upon it.

\* *Londinium Redivivum*, vol. ii. p. 290.

† Sir John Davies, who ■ afterwards Lord Chief Justice of the King’s Bench, and wrote a poem on the Art of Dancing (so lively ■ the gravity of those days!) “bastinadoed ■ a man at dinner in the Temple Hall, for which he was expelled. The man probably deserved it, for Davies had ■ fine nature; and he went back again by favour of the excellent Lord Ellesmere.

which he was a member, and entitled the *Inner Temple Masque*. It is upon the story of Circe and Ulysses, and is worthy of the school of poetry out of which he came. Beaumont wrote another, called the *Masque of the Inner Temple and Gray's Inn*. A strong union has always existed between the law and the belles-lettres, highly creditable to the former, or rather naturally to be expected from the mode in which lawyers begin their education, and the diversity of knowledge which no men are more in the way of acquiring afterwards. Blackstone need not have written his farewell to the Muses. If he had been destined to be a poet, he could not have taken his leave; and, as an accomplished lawyer, he was always within the pale of the *literæ humaniores*. The greatest practical lawyers, such as Coke and Plowden, may not have been the most literary, but those who have understood the law in the greatest and best spirit have; and the former, great as they may be, are yet but ■ servants and secretaries to the rest. They know where to find, but the others know best how to apply. Bacon, Clarendon, Selden, Somers, Cowper, Mansfield, were all men of letters. So are the Broughams, Campbells, and Talfourds of the present day. Pope says, that Mansfield would have been another Ovid. This may be doubted; but nobody should doubt that the better he understood ■ poet, the fitter he was for universality of judgment. The greatest lawyer is the greatest legislator.

The "pert Templar," of whom we hear so much between the reigns of the Stuarts and the late king, came up with the growth of literature and the coffee-houses. Everybody then began to write or to criticise; and young men, brought up in the mootings of points, and in the confidence of public speaking, naturally pressed among the foremost. Besides, ■ variety of wits had issued from the Temple in the reign of Charles and his brother, and their successors in lodging took themselves for their heirs.

coffee-houses by this time had become cheap places to talk in. They were the regular morning lounge and evening resource; and every lad who had dipped his finger and thumb into Dryden's snuff-box, thought himself qualified to dictate for life. In Pope's time these pretensions came to be angrily rejected, partly, perhaps, because none of the reigning wits, with the exception of Congreve, had had a Temple education.

"Three college sophs, and three pert Templars came,  
The same their talents, and their tastes the same;  
Each prompt to query, answer, and debate,  
And smit with love of poetry and prate."\*

We could quote many other passages to the same purpose, but we shall come to one presently which will suffice for all, and exhibit the young Templar of those days in all the glory of his impertinence. At present the Templars make no ■■■■ pretensions than other well-educated men. Many of them are still connected with the literature of the day, but in the best manner and with the soundest views; and if there is no pretension to wit, there is the thing itself. It would be endless to name all the celebrated lawyers who have had to do with the Temple. Besides, we shall have to notice the most eminent of them in other places, where they passed ■ greater portion of their lives. We shall therefore confine ourselves to the mention of such ■ have lived in it without being lawyers, or thrown ■ grace over it in connexion with wit and literature.

Chaucer, as we have just observed, is thought, upon slight evidence, to have been of the Temple. We know not who the Mr. Buckley was, that says he saw his name in the record; and the name, if there, might have been that of some other Chaucer. The name is said to be not unfrequent in records under the Norman dynasty. We



are told by Thynne, in his *Animadversions* on Speght's edition of the poet's works (published a few years ago from the manuscript by Mr. Todd, in his *Illustrations of Chaucer and Gower*), that "it is most certain to be gathered by circumstances of records that the lawyers were not in the Temple until towards the latter part of the reign of King Edward III., at which time Chaucer was a grave man, holden in great credit, and employed in embassy." "So that, methinketh," adds the writer, "he should not be of that house ; and yet, if he then were, I should judge it strange that he should violate the rules of peace and gravity in those years."

The first English tragedy of any merit, *Gorbuduc*, was written in the Temple by Thomas Norton and Thomas Sackville, afterwards the celebrated statesman, and founder of the title of Dorset. He was author of a noble performance, the *Induction for the Mirrour of Magistrates*, in which there is a foretaste of the allegorical *gusto* of Spenser. Raleigh was of the Temple ; Selden, who died in Whitefriars ; Lord Clarendon ; Beaumont ; two other of our old dramatists, Ford and Marston (the latter of whom was lecturer of the Middle Temple) ; Wycherly, whom it is said the Duchess of Cleveland used to visit, in the habit of a milliner ; Congreve, Rowe, Fielding, Burke, and Cowper. Goldsmith was not of the Temple, but he had chambers in it, died there, and was buried in the Temple church. He resided, first in the Library Staircase, afterwards in King's Bench Walk, and finally at No. 2, Brick Court, where he had a first floor elegantly furnished. It was in one of the former lodgings that, being visited by Dr. Johnson, and expressing something like a shamefaced hope that he should soon be in lodgings better furnished, Johnson, says Boswell, "at the same time checked him, and paid him a handsome compliment, implying that person of talent who had been

attention to such distinctions. — ‘Nay, sir, never mind that: *Nil te quæsiveris extra.*’ \* (It is only yourself that need be looked for.) He died in Brick Court. It is said that when he was on his death-bed, the landing-place was filled with inquirers, not of the most mentionable description, who lamented him heartily, for he was lavish of his money as he went along Fleet Street. We are told by one of the writers of the life prefixed to his works, (probably Bishop Percy, who contributed the greater part of it,) that “he was generous in the extreme, and so strongly affected by compassion, that he has been known at midnight to abandon his rest in order to procure relief and an asylum for a poor dying object who was left destitute in the streets.” This, surely, ought to be praise to no man, however benevolent: but it is, in the present state of society. However, the offices of the good Samaritan are now reckoned among the things that may be practised as well as preached, without diminution of a man’s reputation for common sense; and this is a great step. We will here mention, that Goldsmith had another residence in Fleet Street. He wrote his *Vicar of Wakefield* in Wine Office Court. Of the curious circumstances under which this delightful novel was sold, various inaccurate accounts have been given. The following is Boswell’s account, taken from Dr. Johnson’s own mouth.

“I received one morning,” said Johnson, “a message from poor Goldsmith, that he was in great distress, and, ■ it ■ not in his power to come to me, begging that I would come to him ■ soon as possible. I sent him ■ guinea, and promised to come to him directly. I accordingly went to him as soon ■ I was dressed, and found that his landlady had arrested him for his rent, at which he was in ■ violent passion. I perceived that he had already changed my guinea, and had ■ bottle of Madeira and ■ glass before him. I put the cork into the bottle,

desired he would be calm, and began to talk to him of the means by which he might be extricated. He then told me that he had a novel ready for the press, which he produced to me. I looked into it, and saw its merit; told the landlady I should soon return, and having gone to a bookseller, sold it for sixty pounds. I brought Goldsmith the money, and he discharged his rent, not without rating his landlady in a high tone for having used him so ill." \*

Johnson himself lived for some time in the Temple. It was there that he was first visited by his biographer, who took rooms in Farrar's Buildings in order to be near him. His appearance and manners on this occasion, especially our readers are now of the party, are too characteristic to be omitted. "His chambers," says Boswell, "were the first floor of No. 1. Middle Temple Lane, — and I entered them with an impression given me by the Rev. Dr. Blair, of Edinburgh, who had been introduced to him not long before, and described his having 'found the giant in his den,' an expression which, when I came to be pretty well acquainted with Johnson, I repeated to him, and he was diverted at this picturesque account of himself. ■ \*

"He received me very courteously; but it must be confessed that his apartment, and furniture, and morning dress, were sufficiently uncouth. His brown suit of clothes looked very rusty; he had on a little shrivelled unpowdered wig, which too small for his head; his shirt-neck and knees of his breeches were loose; his black worsted stockings ill-drawn up; and he had a pair of unbuckled shoes by way of slippers. But all these slovenly particularities were forgotten the moment he began to talk. Some gentlemen, whom I do not recollect, were sitting with him; and when they went away, I also rose; but he said to me, 'Nay, don't go.' — 'Sir,' said I, 'I am afraid that I intrude upon you. It is benevolent to allow me to sit and hear you.' He seemed pleased with this compliment which I sincerely paid him, and answered, 'Sir, I am obliged to any

■ who visits me.' " \* (He meant that it relieved his melancholy.)

It was in ■ dress of this sort and without his hat that he ■ seen rushing one day after two of the highest-bred visitors conceivable, in order to hand one of them to her coach. These were his friend Beauclerc, of the St. Albans family, and Madame de Boufflers, mother (if we mistake not) of the Chevalier de Boufflers, the celebrated French wit. Her report, when she got home, must have been overwhelming ; but she ■ clever and amiable, like her son, and is said to have appreciated the talents of the great uncouth. Beauclerc, however, must repeat the story : —

"When Madame de Boufflers," says he, "was first in England, she was desirous to ■ Johnson. I accordingly went with her to his chambers in the Temple, where she was entertained with his conversation for ■ time. When our visit ■ over, she and I left him, and were got into Inner Temple Lane, when all at once I heard a noise like thunder. This was occasioned by Johnson, who, it seems, on a little recollection, had taken it into his head that he ought to have done the honours of his literary residence to a foreign lady of quality ; and eager to show himself ■ ■ of gallantry, was hurrying down the stairs in violent agitation. He overtook us before we reached the Temple-gate, and brushing in between me and Madame de Boufflers, seized her hand and conducted her to the coach. His dress was a rusty-brown morning suit, a pair of old shoes by way of slippers, ■ little shrivelled wig sticking on the top of his head, and the sleeves of his shirt and the knees of his breeches hanging loose. A considerable crowd of people gathered round, and were not a little struck by his singular appearance." †

It was in the Inner Temple Lane one night, being seized with a fit of merriment at something that touched

\* Boswell, as above, vol. i. p. 378.

† Boswell's Life of Johnson, eighth edit. 1816, vol. ii. p. 421.

his fancy, not without the astonishment of his companions, who could not see the joke, that Johnson went roaring all the way to the Temple-gate; where, being arrived, he burst into such a convulsive laugh, says Boswell, that in order to support himself he "laid hold of one of the posts at the side of the foot-pavement, and sent forth peals so loud, that in the silence of the night, his voice seemed to resound from Temple-bar to Fleet-ditch. This most ludicrous exhibition," continues his follower, "of the awful, melancholy, and venerable Johnson, happened well to counteract the feelings of sadness which I used to experience when parting from him for a considerable time. I accompanied him to his door, where he gave me his blessing." \*

Between the Temple-gates, at one time, lived Bernard Lintot, who was in no better esteem with authors than the other great bookseller of those times, Jacob Tonson. There is a pleasant anecdote of Dr. Young's addressing him a letter by mistake, which Bernard opened, and found it begin thus:—"That Bernard Lintot is so great a scoundrel."—"It must have been very amusing," said Young, "to have seen him in his rage: he was a great sputtering fellow." †

Between the gates and Temple-bar, but nearer to the latter, was the famous Devil Tavern, where Ben Jonson held his club. Messrs. Child, the bankers, bought it in 1787, and the present houses were erected on its site. We believe that the truly elegant house of Messrs. Hoare, their successors, does not interfere with the place on which it stood. We rather think it was very near to Temple-bar, perhaps within a house or two. The club-room, which was afterwards frequently used for balls, was

---

\* Boswell, as above, vol. ii. p. 271.

† Spence's *Anecdotes*, Singer's edit. p. 355.



called the Apollo, and ■■■ large and handsome, with a gallery for music. Probably the house had originally been ■ private abode, of ■■ consequence. The *Leges Convivales*, which Jonson wrote for his club, and which ■■■ to be found in his works, are composed in his usual style of elaborate and compiled learning, not without ■ taste of that dictatorial self-sufficiency, which, notwithstanding all that has been said by his advocates, and the good qualities he undoubtedly possessed, forms an indelible part of his character. "Insipida poemata," says he, "nulla recitantur" (Let nobody repeat to ■ insipid poetry); ■ if all that he should read of his own must infallibly be otherwise. The club at the Devil does not appear to have resembled the higher one at the Mermaid, where Shakspeare and Beaumont used to meet him. ■ most probably had it all to himself. This is the tavern mentioned by Pope :

"And each true Briton is to Ben so civil,  
He swears the Muses met him at the Devil."

It was in good repute at the beginning of the last century. "I dined to-day," says Swift, in one of his letters to Stella, "with Dr. Garth and Mr. Addison at the Devil Tavern, near Temple-bar, and Garth treated : and it is well I dine every day, else I should be longer making out my letters ; for we are yet in a very dull state, only enquiring every day after new elections, where the Tories carry it among the new members six to one. Mr. Addison's election has passed easy and undisputed ; and I believe if he had ■ mind to be chosen king, he would hardly be refused."\* Yet Addison was a Whig. Addison had not then had his disputes with Pope and others ; and his intercourse, till his sincerity became doubted, was very delightful. It is impossible to read of those famous wits dining together

\* Swift's Works, *ut supra*, vol. iv. p. 41.

and not lingering upon the occasion a little, and wishing we could have heard them talk. Yet wits have their uneasiness, because of their wit. Swift was probably not very comfortable at this dinner. He was then beginning to feel awkward with his Whig friends; and Garth, in the previous month of September, had written a defence of Godolphin, the ousted minister, which was unhand-somely attacked in the *Examiner* by their common acquaintance Prior, himself formerly a Whig.

There was a multitude of famous shops and coffee-houses in this quarter, all of which make a figure in the *Tatler* and other works, such as Nando's coffee-house; Dick's (still extant as Richard's); the Rainbow (which is said to have been indicted in former times for the nuisance of selling coffee); Ben Tooke's (the bookseller); Lintot's; and Charles Mather's, *alias* Bubble-boy, the Toyman, who, when Sir Timothy Shallow accuses him of selling him a cane "for ten pieces, while Tom Empty had a good a one for five," exclaims, "Lord! Sir Timothy, I am concerned that you, whom I took to understand canes better than any body in town, should be so overseen! Why, Sir Timothy, yours is a true *jambee*, and esquire Empty's only a plain dragon." \*

The fire of London stopped at the Temple Exchange coffee-house; a circumstance which is recorded in an inscription, stating the house to have been the last of the houses burnt, and the first restored. The old front of this house was taken down about a century ago; but

---

\* *Tatler*, No. 142. According to the author of a lively rattling book, conversant with the furniture of old times, Arbuthnot was a great amateur in sticks. "My uncle," says he, "was universally allowed to be as deeply skilled in caneology as any one, Dr. Arbuthnot not excepted, whose science on important questions was quoted even after his death; for his collection of the various headed sticks and canes, from the time of

its being rebuilt, the stone with the inscription was replaced.

But we must now cross over the way to Shire Lane, which is close to Temple Bar ■ the opposite side.

Here "in ancient times," says Maitland, writing in the middle of the last century, "were only posts, rails, and ■ chain, such as are now at Holborn, Smithfield, and White-chapel bars. Afterwards there was ■ house of timber erected across the street, with a narrow gateway, and an entry on the south side of it under the house." The present gate was built by Wren after the great fire, but although the work of so great a master, is hardly worth notice as a piece of architecture. It must be allowed that Wren could do poor things as well as good, even when not compelled by a vestry. As the last of the city gates, however, we confess we should be sorry to see it pulled down, though we believe there is ■ general sense that it is in the way. If it were handsome or venerable, we should plead hard for it, because it would then be a better thing than a mere convenience. The best thing we know of it is a jest of Goldsmith's; and the worst, the point on which the jest turned. Goldsmith was coming from Westminster Abbey, with Dr. Johnson, where they had been looking at the tombs in Poets' Corner, and Johnson had quoted a line from Ovid:

"Forsitan et nostrum ■ miscebitur istis."

(Perhaps, some day, our ■ may mix with theirs.)

"When we got to Temple Bar," says Johnson, "Goldsmith stopped me, pointed to the heads upon it, and silyly whispered to me ('in allusion,' says Boswell, 'to Dr. Johnson's supposed political opinions, and perhaps to his own,')

"Forsitan et nostrum ■ miscebitur istis.'"

These heads belonged to the rebels who were executed for rising in favour of the Pretender. The brutality of such spectacles, which outrage the last feelings of mortality, and as often punish honest mistakes as any thing else, is not likely to be repeated. Yet such an effect has habit in reconciling men's minds to the most revolting, and sometimes the most dangerous customs, that here were two Jacobites; one of whom made ■ jest of what we should now regard with horror. However, Johnson must often have felt bitterly as he passed there; and the jesting of such men is frequently nothing but salve for ■ wound.

Shire Lane still keeps its name, and we hope, however altered and improved, it will never have any other; for here, at the upper end, is described as residing, old Isaac Bickerstaff, the Tatler, the more venerable but not the more delightful double of Richard Steele, the founder of English periodical literature. The public-house called the Trumpet, now known as the Duke of York, at which the Tatler met his club, is still remaining. At his house in the lane, he dates a great number of his papers, and receives many interesting visitors; and here it was that he led down into Fleet Street that immortal deputation of "twaddlers" from the country, who, as a celebrated writer has observed, hardly seem to have settled their question of precedence to this hour.\*

In Shire Lane is said to have originated the famous Kit-Kat Club, which consisted of "thirty-nine distinguished noblemen and gentlemen, zealously attached to the Protestant succession of the house of Hanover." "The club," continues ■ note in Spence by the editor, "is supposed to have derived its name from Christopher Katt, ■ pastry-cook, who kept the house where they dined, and excelled in making mutton-pies, which always formed a

---

part of their bill of fare; these pies, on account of their excellence, were called Kit-Kats. The summer meetings were sometimes held at the Upper Flask on Hampstead Heath." ■

"You have heard of the Kit-Kat Club," says Pope to Spence. "The master of the house where the club met ■ Christopher Katt; Tonson was secretary. The day Lord Mohun and the Earl of Berwick were entered of it, Jacob said he saw they were just going to be ruined. When Lord Mohun broke down the gilded emblem on the top of his chair, Jacob complained to his friends, and said a man who would do that, would cut ■ man's throat. So that he had the good and the forms of the society much at heart. The paper was all in Lord Halifax's handwriting of a subscription of four hundred guineas for the encouragement of good comedies, and was dated 1709, ■ after they broke up. Steele, Addison, Congreve, Garth, Vanbrugh, Manwaring, Stepney, Walpole, and Pultney, were of it; so was Lord Dorset and the present Duke. Manwaring, whom we hear nothing of now, was the ruling man in all conversations; indeed, what he wrote had very little merit in it. Lord Stanhope and the Earl of Essex were also members. Jacob has his own, and all their pictures, by Sir Godfrey Kneller. Each member gave his, and he is going to build a room for them at Barn Elms." †

It is from the size at which these portraits were taken (a three-quarter length), that the word Kit-Kat came to be applied to pictures. The society afterwards met in higher places; but humbleness of locality is nothing in these matters. The refinement consists in the company, and in whatever they choose to throw a grace over, whether venison or beef. The great thing is, not the bill of fare, but, as Swift called it, the "bill of company."

We cross to the south side of the street again, and come to Mrs. Salmon's. It is ■ curious evidence of the fluctu-

■ Spence's Anecdotes, by Singer, p. 337.

† Ibid.



ation of the great tide in commercial and growing cities, that, a century ago, this immortal old gentlewoman, renowned for her wax-work, gives as a reason for removing from St. Martin's-le-Grand to Fleet Street, that it was "a more convenient place for the coaches of the quality to stand unmolested."\* Some of the houses in this quarter are of the Elizabethan age, with floors projecting over the others, and looking pressed together like burrows. The inmates of these humble tenements (unlike those of great halls and mansions) seem as if they must have had their heights taken, and the ceiling made to fit. Yet the builders were liberal of their materials. Over the way, near the west corner of Chancery Lane, stood an interesting specimen of this style of building, in the house of the famous old angler, Isaac Walton.

Walton's was the second house from the lane, the corner house being an inn, long distinguished by the sign of the Harrow. He appears to have long lived here, carrying on the business of a linen-draper about the year 1624. Another person, John Mason, a hosier, occupied one-half of the tenement. Walton afterwards removed to another house in Chancery Lane, a few doors up from Fleet Street, on the west side, where he kept a sempster's, or milliner's shop.

A great deal has been said lately of the merits and demerits of angling, and Isaac has suffered in the discussion, beyond what is agreeable to the lovers of that gentle pleasure. Unfortunately the brothers of the angle do not argue ingenuously. They always omit the tortures suffered by the principal party, and affect to think you affected if you urge them; whereas their only reason for avoiding the point is, that it is not to be defended. If it is, we may defend, by equal abuse of reason, any

amusement which is to be obtained at another being's expense ; and ■ evil genius might angle for ourselves, and twitch us up, bleeding and roaring, into an atmosphere that would stifle us. But fishes do not roar ; they cannot express any sound of suffering ; and therefore the angler chooses to think they do not suffer, more than it is convenient to him to fancy. Now it is a poor sport that depends for its existence on the want of a voice in the sufferer, and of imagination in the sportsman. Angling, in short, is not to be defended on any ground of reflection ; and this is the worst thing to say of Isaac ; for he was not unaware of the objections to his amusement, and he piqued himself upon being contemplative.

Anglers have been defended upon the ground of their having had among them so many pious men ; but unfortunately men may be selfishly ■ well ■ nobly pious ; and even charity itself may be practised, ■ well as cruelty deprecated, upon principles which have ■ much greater regard to a man's own safety and future comfort, than any thing which concerns real Christian beneficence. Doubtless there have been many good and humane men anglers, as well as many pleasant men. There have also been some very unpleasant ones — Sir John Hawkins among them. They make ■ well-founded pretension to a love of nature and her scenery ; but it is ■ pity they cannot relish it without this pepper to the poor fish. Walton's book contains many passages in praise of rural enjoyment, which affect ■ almost like the fields and fresh air themselves, though his brethren have exalted it beyond its value ; and his lives of his angling friends, the Divines, have been preposterously over-rated. If angling is to be defended upon good and manly grounds, let it ; it is no longer to be defended ■ any other. The best thing to be said for it (and the instance is worthy of reflection) is, that anglers have been brought up in the belief of its

innocence, and that an inhuman custom is too powerful for the most humane. The inconsistency is to be accounted for on no other grounds; nor is it necessary or desirable that it should be. It is a remarkable illustration of what Plato said, when something was defended on the ground of its being a trifle, because it was custom. "But custom," said he, "is no trifle." Here, among persons of a more equivocal description, are some of the humanest men in the world, who will commit what other humane men reckon among the most inhuman actions, and make an absolute pastime of it. Let one of their grandchildren be brought up in the reverse opinion, and see what he will think of it. This, to be sure, might be said to be only another instance of the effect of education; but nobody, the most unprejudiced, thinks it a bigotry in Shakspeare and Steele to have brought us to feel for the brute creation in general; and whatever we may incline to think for the accommodation of our propensities, there will still remain the unanswered and always avoided argument, of the dumb and torn fish themselves, who die agonised, in the midst of our tranquil looking on, and for no necessity.

John Whitney, author of the *Genteel Recreation, or the Pleasures of Angling*, a poem printed in the year 1700, recommends the lovers of the art to bait with the eyes of fish, in order to decoy others of the same species. A writer in the *Censura Literaria* exclaims, "What a Nero of Anglers doth this proclaim John Whitney to have been! and how unworthy to be ranked as a lover of the same pastime, which had been so interestingly recommended by Isaac Walton, in his *Contemplative Man's Recreation*." \*

But Isaac's contemplative ■■■■ can content himself with impaling live worms, and jesting about the tender-

ness with which he treats them, — using the worm, quoth Isaac, “as if you loved him.” Doubtless John thought himself as good ■ ■ ■ Isaac. He poetizes, and is innocent with the best of them, and probably would not have hurt a dog. However, it must be allowed that he had less imagination than Walton, and was more cruel, inasmuch as he could commit ■ cruelty that was not the custom. Observe, nevertheless, that it was the customary cruelty which led to the new one. Why must these contemplative men commit any cruelty at all? The writer of the article in the *Censura* was, if we mistake not, one of the kindest of human beings, and yet he could see nothing erroneous in torturing a worm. “A good man,” says the Scripture, “is merciful to his beast.” Therefore “holy Mr. Herbert” very properly helps a horse out of a ditch, and is the better for it all the rest of the day. Are we not to be merciful to fish as well as beasts, merely because the Scripture does not expressly state it? Such are the inconsistencies of mankind, during their very acquirement of beneficence.

On the other side of the corner of Chancery Lane was born a man of genius and benevolence, who would not have hurt ■ fly — Abraham Cowley. His father was a grocer ; himself, one of the kindest, wisest, and truest gentlemen that ever graced humanity. He has been pronounced by one, competent to judge, to have been “if not a great poet, ■ great man.” But his poetry is what every other man’s poetry is, the flower of what was in him ; and it is at least so far good poetry, as it is the quintessence of amiable and deep reflection, not without a more festive strain, the result of his sociality. Pope says of him —

“Forgot his epic, nay pindaric art ;  
Yet still we love the language of his heart.”\*

His prose is admirable, and his character of Cromwell a masterpiece of honest enmity, more creditable to both parties than the zealous royalist was aware. Cowley, notwithstanding the active part he took in politics, never ceased to be a child at heart. His mind lived in books and bowers,—in the sequestered “places of thought;” and he wondered and lamented to the last, that he had not realised the people he found there. His consolation should have been, that what he found in himself was an evidence that the people exist.

Chancery Lane, “the most ancient of any to the west,” having been built in the time of Henry the Third, when it was called New Lane, which was afterwards altered to Chancellor’s Lane, is the greatest legal thoroughfare in England. It leads from the Temple, passes by Sergeants’ Inn, Clifford’s Inn, Lincoln’s Inn, and the Rolls, and conducts to Gray’s Inn. Of the world of vice and virtue, of pain and triumph, of learning and ignorance, truth and chicanery, of impudence, violence, and tranquil wisdom, that must have passed through this spot, the reader may judge accordingly. There all the great and eloquent lawyers of the metropolis must have been, at some time or other, from Fortescue and Littleton, to Coke, Ellesmere, and Erskine. Sir Thomas More must have been seen going down with his weighty aspect; Bacon with his eye of intuition; the coarse Thurlow; and the reverend elegance of Mansfield. In Chancery Lane was born the celebrated Lord Strafford, who was sent to the block by the party he had deserted, the victim of his own false strength and his master’s weakness. It is a curious evidence of the secret manners of those times, which are so often contrasted with the licence of the next reign, that Clarendon, in speaking of some love letters of this lord, a married man, which transpired during his trial,



said had he found any love letters between Lady Carlisle and Pym? Of Southampton Buildings, on the site of which lived Shakspeare's friend, Lord Southampton, we shall speak immediately; and we shall notice Lincoln's Inn when we come to the western portion of Holborn. But we may here observe, that on the wall of the Inn, which is in Chancery Lane, Ben Jonson is said to have worked, at the time he was compelled to assist his father-in-law at his trade of bricklaying. In the intervals of his trowel, he is said to have handled his Horace and Virgil. It is only a tradition, which Fuller has handed down to us in his *Worthies*; but tradition is valuable when it helps to make such a flower grow upon an old wall.

Sergeants' Inn, the first leading out of Chancery Lane, near Fleet Street, has been what its name implies for many generations. It was occasionally occupied by the Sergeants as early as the time of Henry the Fourth, when it was called Farringdon's Inn, though they have never, we believe, held possession of the place but under tenure to the bishops of Ely, or their lessees. Pennant confounds this inn with another of the same name, now no longer devoted to the same purpose, in Fleet Street.\* Sergeants' Inn in Fleet Street was reduced to ruins in the great fire, but was soon after rebuilt in a much more uniform style than before. It continued after this to be occupied by the lawyers in 1730, when the whole was taken down, and the present court erected. The office of the Amicable Annuitant Society, on the east side of the court, occupies the site of the ancient hall and chapel. All the judges, as having been Sergeants-at-law before their elevation to the bench, have still chambers in the inn in Chancery Lane. The windows of this house are filled with the

\* Pennant, *ut supra*, p. 172.

armorial bearings of the members, who, when they are knighted, are emphatically *equites aurati* (knights made golden), at least as far ■ rings are concerned, for they give rings on the occasion, with mottoes expressive of their sentiments upon law and justice. As to the *equites*, learned "knights" or horsemen (till "knight" be restored to its original meaning—servant) will never be anything but an anomaly, especially since the brethren no longer even ride to the Hall as they used. The arms of the body of Sergeants are ■ golden shield with an ibis upon it; or, to speak scientifically, "Or, an Ibis proper;" to which Mr. Jekyll might have added, for motto, "*In medio tutissimus.*" The same learned punster made an epigram upon the oratory and scarlet robes of his brethren, which may be here repeated without offence, as the Sergeants have had among them some of the best as well ■ most tiresome of speakers :

"The Sergeants are ■ grateful race;  
 Their dress and language show it;  
 Their purple robes from Tyre we trace,  
 Their arguments go to it."

One of the customs which used to be observed so late as the reign of Charles I. in the creation of sergeants, was for the new dignitary to go in procession to St. Paul's, and there to choose his pillar, as it was expressed. This ceremony is supposed to have originated in the ancient practice of the lawyers taking each his station at one of the pillars in the cathedral, and there waiting for clients. The legal sage stood, it is said, with pen in hand, and dexterously noted down the particulars of every man's case on his knee.

Clifford's Inn leading out of Sergeants' Inn into Fleet Street and Fetter Lane, is ■ called from the noble family of De Clifford, who granted it to the students-at-law in the

though now applied only to law places, and the better sort of public-houses in which travellers are entertained, formerly signified a great house, mansion, or family palace. So Lincoln's Inn, the mansion of the Earls of Lincoln; Gray's Inn, of the Lords Gray, &c. The French still use the word *hôtel* in the same sense. ■ Inn once made as splendid a figure in our poetry, as the palaces of Milton :

“Now whenas Phœbus, with his fiery waine,  
Unto his inne began to draw apace ;”\*

says Spenser ; and his disciple Browne after him, —

“Now had the glorious ■ tane up this inne.”†

There are three things to notice in Clifford's Inn : its little bit of turf and trees ; its quiet ; and its having been the residence of Robert Pultock, author of the curious narrative of *Peter Wilkins*, with its Flying Women. Who he was, is not known ; probably a barrister without practice ; but he wrote an amiable and interesting book. As to the sudden and pleasant quiet in this little inn, it is curious to consider what a small remove from the street produces it. But even in the back room of ■ shop in the main street, the sound of the carts and carriages becomes wonderfully deadened to the ear ; and ■ remove, like Clifford's Inn, makes it remote or nothing.

The garden of Clifford's Inn forms part of the area of the ROLLS, so called from the records kept there, in rolls of parchment. It is said to have been the house of an eminent Jew, forfeited to the crown ; that is to say, it ■ most probably taken from him, with all that it contained, by Henry III., who made it ■ house for converts from the owner's religion. These converted Jews, most likely none of the best of their race (for board and lodging are

\* Faerie Queen, book vi. canto iii.

† Britannia's Pastorals, book i. song iii.

not arguments to the scrupulous), appear to have been so neglected, that the number of them soon came to nothing, and Edward III. gave the place to the Court of Chancery to keep its records in. There is a fine monument in the chapel to ■ Dr. Young, one of the masters, which, according to Vertue, was executed by Torregiano, who built the splendid tomb in Henry VII.'s Chapel. Sir John Trevor, infamous for bribery and corruption, also lies here. "Wisely," says Pennant, "his epitaph is thus confined : 'Sir J. T. M.R. 1717.' Some other masters," he adds, "rest within the walls ; among them Sir John Strange, but without the quibbling line,

'Here lies an honest lawyer, that is Strange.' ■

Another master of the Rolls, who did honour to the profession, was Sir Joseph Jekyll, recorded by Pope ■  
an

—————"odd old Whig,  
Who never changed his principles or wig."

When Jekyll came into the office, many of the houses were rebuilt, and to the expense of ten of them he added, out of his own purse, ■ much ■ 350*l.* each house ; observing, that "he would have them built ■ strong and as well as if they were his own inheritance." \* The Master of the Rolls is ■ great law dignitary, a sort of under-judge in Chancery, presiding in a court by himself, though his most ostensible office is to take care of the records in question. He has ■ house and garden on the spot, the latter secluded from public view. The house, however, has not been used ■ ■ residence by the present holder of the office or his predecessor. ■

Between Chancery and Fetter Lane is the new church of St. Dunstan's in the West—a great improvement

handsome fret-work of its steeple. The old building was eminent for the two wooden figures of wild men, who, with a gentleness not to be expected of them, struck the hour with a little tap of their clubs. At the same time they moved their arms and heads, with ■ like avoidance of superfluous action. These figures were put up in the time of Charles II., and were thought not to confer much honour on the passengers who stood "gaping" to see them strike. But the passengers might surely be as alive to the puerility as any one else. An absurdity is not the least attractive thing in this world. They who objected to the gapers, probably admired more things than they laughed at. ■ It must be remembered also, that when the images were set up, mechanical contrivances were much rarer than they are now. Two centuries ago, St. Dunstan's Churchyard, as it was called, being the portion of Fleet Street in front of the church, was famous for its booksellers' shops. The church escaped the great fire, which stopped within three houses of it, and consequently was one of the most ancient sacred edifices in London. It was supposed to have been built about the end of the fourteenth century, but had undergone extensive repairs. Besides the clock with the figures, it was adorned by a statue of Queen Elizabeth which stood in ■ niche over the east end, and had been transferred thither about the middle of last century from the west side of old Ludgate, which was then removed.

The only repute of Fetter Lane in the present days is, or was, for sausages. But at one time it is said to have had the honour of Dryden's presence. The famous Praise God Barebones also, it seems, lived here, in a house for which he paid forty pounds ■ year, as he stated in his examination on a trial in the reign of Charles II.\* He paid the above rent, he says "except during the war;" that is,



we suppose, during the confusion of the contest between the King and the Parliament, when probably this worthy contrived to live rent free. In this neighbourhood also dwelt the infamous Elizabeth Brownrigg, who was executed in 1767 for the murder of one of her apprentices. Her house, with the cellar in which she used to confine her starved and tortured victims, and from the grating of which their cries of distress were heard, was one of those on the east side of the lane, looking into the long and narrow alley behind, called Flower-de-Luce Court. It was some years ago in the occupation of a fishing-tackle maker.

Johnson once lived in Fetter Lane, but the circumstances of his abode there have not transpired. We now, however, come to a cluster of his residences in Fleet Street, of which place he is certainly the great presiding spirit, the *Genius loci*. He was conversant for the greater part of his life with this street, ■■■ fond of it, frequented its Mitre Tavern above any other in London, and has identified its name and places with the best things he ever said and did. It was in Fleet Street, we believe, that he took the poor girl up in his arms, put her to bed in his own house, and restored her to health and her friends; an action sufficient to redeem ■ million of the asperities of temper occasioned by disease, and to stamp him, in spite of his bigotry, a good Christian. Here, at all events, he walked and talked, and shouldered wondering porters out of the way, and mourned, and philosophised, and was "a good-natured fellow" (as he called himself), and roared with peals of laughter till midnight echoed to his roar.

"We walked in the evening," says Boswell, "in Greenwich Park. He asked me, I suppose by way of trying my disposition, 'Is not this very fine?' Having no exquisite relish of the beauties of nature, and being ■■■ delighted with the busy

hum of men, I answered, 'Yes, sir; but not equal to Fleet Street.' *Johnson.* 'You are right, sir.' " ■

Boswell vindicates the taste here expressed by the example of ■ "very fashionable baronet," who, on his attention being called to the fragrance of ■ May evening in the country, observed, "This may be very well, but I prefer the smell of ■ flambeau at the playhouse." The baronet here alluded to ■ ■ Sir Michael le Fleming, who, by way of comment on his indifference to fresh air, died of ■ apoplectic fit while conversing with Lord Howick (the late Earl Grey), at the Admiralty.† However, Johnson's *ipse dixit* was enough. He wanted neither Boswell's vindication, nor any other. He was melancholy, and glad to be taken from his thoughts; and London furnished him with ■ endless flow of society.

Johnson's abodes in Fleet Street were in the following order:—First, in Fetter Lane, then in Boswell Court, then in Gough Square, in the Inner Temple Lane, in Johnson's Court, and finally, and for the longest period, in Bolt Court, where he died. His mode of life, during a considerable portion of his residence in these places, is described in ■ communication to Boswell by the Rev. Dr. Maxwell, assistant preacher at the Temple, who was intimate with Johnson for many years, and who spoke of his memory with affection.

"About twelve o'clock," says the doctor, "I commonly visited him, and found him in bed, or declaiming over his tea, which he drank very plentifully. He generally had ■ levee of morning visitors, chiefly ■ of letters; Hawkesworth, Goldsmith, Murphy, Langton, Steevens, Beauchamp, &c. &c., and sometimes learned ladies; particularly, I remember, ■ French lady of wit and fashion doing him the honour of a visit. He seemed to me to be considered ■ ■ kind of public oracle, whom

\* Boswell, ■ *supra*, vol. i. p. 441.

† Melancthon, *supra*, p. 221.

every body thought they had a right to visit and consult ; and, doubtless, they were well rewarded. I never could discover how he found time for his compositions. He declaimed all the morning, then went to dinner at a tavern, where he commonly staid late, and then drank his tea at some friend's house, over which he loitered a great while, but seldom took supper. I fancy he must have read and wrote chiefly in the night ; for I can scarcely recollect that he ever refused going with me to a tavern, and he often went to Ranelagh, which he deemed a place of innocent recreation

■ He frequently gave all the silver in his pocket to the poor, who watched him between his house and the tavern where he dined. He walked the streets at all hours, and said he was never robbed, for the rogues knew he had little money, nor had the appearance of having much.

“ Though the most accessible and communicative man alive, yet when he suspected that he was invited to be exhibited, he constantly spurned the invitation.

“ Two young women from Staffordshire visited him when I ■ present, to consult him on the subject of Methodism, to which they were inclined. ‘ Come (said he), you pretty fools, dine with Maxwell and me at the Mitre, and we will talk over that subject ;’ which they did ; and after dinner he took one of them on his knees, and fondled them for half an hour together.” \*

This anecdote is exquisite. It shows, that however impatient he was of having his own superstitions canvassed, he was loth to see them inflicted on others. He is here a harmless Falstaff, with two innocent damsels on his knees, in lieu of Mesdames Ford and Page.

In Gough Square, Johnson wrote part of his Dictionary. He had written the Rambler, and taken his high stand with the public before. “ At this time,” says Barber, his servant, “ he had little for himself, but frequently sent money to Mr. Shiels when in distress.” (Shiels was one

---

\* Boswell, vol. ii. p. 117.

of his amanuenses in the dictionary.) His friends and visitors in Gough Square are ■ good specimen of what they always were,—a miscellany creditable to the largeness of his humanity. There was Cave, Dr. Hawkesworth, Miss Carter, Mrs. Macauley (two ladies who must have looked strangely at one another), Mr. (afterwards Sir Joshua) Reynolds, Langton, Mrs. Williams (a poor poetess whom he maintained in his house), Mr. Levett (an apothecary on the same footing), Garrick, Lord Orrery, Lord Southwell, and Mrs. Gardiner, wife of ■ tallow chandler on Snow-hill,—“not in the learned way,” said Mr. Barber, “but a worthy good woman.” With all his respect for rank, which doubtless he regarded as a special dispensation of Providence, his friend Beauclerk’s notwithstanding \*, Johnson never lost sight of the dignity of goodness. He did not, however, confine his attentions to those who were noble or amiable; though we ■■■ to suppose, that every body with whom he chose to be conversant had some good quality or other; unless, indeed, he patronised them as the Duke of Montague did his ugly dogs, because nobody would if he did not. The great secret, no doubt, was, that he was glad of the company of any of his fellow-creatures who would bear and forbear with him, and for whose tempers he did not care as much as he did for their welfare. And he was giving alms; which was ■ catholic part of religion, in the proper sense of the word.

“He nursed,” says Mrs. Thrale, in her superfluous style, “*whole nests* of people in his house, where the lame, the blind, the sick, and the sorrowful found ■ sure retreat from all the evils whence his little income could secure them; and commonly spending the middle of the week at our house, he kept

---

\* Beauclerk, of the St. Alban’s family, ■■■ a descendant of Charles II., whom he resembled in face and complexion, for which Johnson by no means liked him the less.

his numerous family in Fleet Street upon a settled allowance ; but returned to them every Saturday to give them three good dinners and his company, before he ■■■■ back to ■■ on the Monday night, treating them with the same, or perhaps more, ceremonious civility, than he would have done by as many people of fashion, making the Holy Scripture thus the rule of his conduct, and only expecting salvation as he was able to obey its precepts.”\*

Johnson’s female inmates were not like the romantic ones of Richardson.

“ We surely cannot but admire,” says Boswell, “ the benevolent exertions of this great and good man, especially when we consider how grievously he was afflicted with bad health, and how uncomfortable his home was made by the perpetual jarring of those whom he charitably accommodated under his roof. He has sometimes suffered me to talk jocularly of his group of females, and call them his *seraglio*. He thus mentions them, together with honest Levett, in one of his letters to Mrs Thrale : ‘ Williams hates every body ; Levett hates Desmoulins, and does not love Williams ; Desmoulins hates them both ; Poll loves none of them.’ ”†


Of his residence in Inner Temple Lane we have spoken before. He lived there six or seven years, and then removed to Johnson’s Court, No. 7., where he resided for ten. Johnson’s Court is in the neighbourhood of Gough Square. It was during this period that he accompanied his friend Boswell to Scotland, where he sometimes humorously styled himself “ Johnson of that ilk ” (that same, or Johnson of Johnson), in imitation of the local designations of the Scottish chiefs. In 1776, in his sixty-seventh year, still adhering to the neighbourhood, he removed into Bolt Court, No. 8., where he died eight years after, on the 13th December, 1784. In Bolt

---

\* Anecdotes of Samuel Johnson, &c. Allman, 1822, p. 69.



Court he had a garden, and perhaps in Johnson's Court and Gough Square: which we mention to show how



JOHNSON'S HOUSE IN BOLT COURT.

tranquil and removed these places were, and convenient for a student who wished, nevertheless, to have the bustle of London at hand. Maitland (one of the compilers upon Stow), who published his history of London in 1739, describes Johnson and Bolt Courts as having

“good houses, well inhabited;” and Gough Square he calls fashionable. ■

Johnson was probably in every tavern and coffee-house in Fleet Street. There is one which has taken his name, being styled, *par excellence*, “Doctor Johnson’s Coffee-house.” But the house he most frequented was the Mitre tavern, on the other side of the street, in ■ passage leading to the Temple. It was here, as we have seen, that he took his two innocent theologians, and paternally dandled them out of their misgivings on his knee. The same place was the first of the kind in which Boswell met him. “We had a good supper,” says the happy biographer, “and port wine, of which he then sometimes drank a bottle.” (At intervals he abstained from all fermented liquors for a long time.) “The orthodox, high-church sound of the Mitre, the figure and manner of the celebrated Samuel Johnson, the extraordinary power and precision of his conversation, and the pride arising from finding myself admitted as his companion, produced a variety of sensations, and a pleasing elevation of mind beyond what I had before experienced.”† They sat till between one and two in the morning. He told Boswell at that period that “he generally went abroad at about four in the afternoon, and seldom came home till two in the morning. I took the liberty to ask if he did not think it wrong to live thus, and not to make more use of his great talents. He owned it was ■ bad habit.”

The next time, Goldsmith was with them, when Johnson made a remark which comes home to every body, namely, that granting knowledge in some cases to produce unhappiness, “knowledge *per se* was an object

---

Johnson’s Court runs into Gough Square, ■ a place lately built with very handsome houses, and well inhabited by persons of fashion.”—

which every one would *wish* to attain, though, perhaps, he might not take the trouble necessary for attaining it." One of his most curious remarks followed, occasioned by the mention of Campbell, the author of the *Hermippus Redivivus*, on which Boswell makes ■ no less curious comment. "Campbell," said Johnson, "is a good man, ■ pious man. I am afraid he has not been in the inside of ■ church for many years; but he never passes a church without pulling off his hat. This shows that he has good principles." On which, says Boswell in a note, "I am inclined to think he was misinformed ■ to this circumstance. I own I am jealous for my worthy friend Dr. John Campbell. For though *Milton* could without remorse absent himself from public worship, *I cannot*." \*

It was at their next sitting in this house, at which the Rev. Dr. Ogilvie, a Scotch writer, ■■ present, that Johnson made his famous joke, in answer to that gentleman's remark, that Scotland has a great many "noble wild prospects." *Johnson*. "I believe, sir, you have ■ great many. Norway, too, has noble, wild prospects; and Lapland is remarkable for prodigious, noble, wild prospects. But, sir, let me tell you, the noblest prospect which ■ Scotchman ever sees, is the high road that leads him to England!" "This unexpected and pointed sally," says Boswell, "produced ■ roar of applause. After all, however\* (he adds), those who admire the rude grandeur of nature, cannot deny it to Caledonia." †

Johnson had the highest opinion of ■ tavern, as ■ place in which a man might be comfortable, if he could any where. Indeed, he said that the man who could not enjoy himself in a tavern, could be comfortable nowhere. This, however, is not to be taken to the letter. *Extrêmes meet*; and Johnson's uneasiness of temper led him into

■ ■ Boswell, vol. i. p. 400.

† Id. p. 408.

the gayer necessities of Falstaff. However, it is assuredly no honour to a man, not to be able to "take his ease at his inn." "There is no private house," said Johnson, talking on this subject, "in which people can enjoy themselves ■ well ■ at a capital tavern. Let there be ever so great a plenty of good things, ever so much grandeur, ever so much elegance, ever so much desire that every body should be easy, in the nature of things it cannot be: there must always be some degree of care and anxiety. The master of the house is anxious to entertain his guests; the guests are anxious to be agreeable to him; and no man, but a very impudent dog indeed, can as freely command what is in another man's house ■ if it were his own. Whereas, at ■ tavern, there is a general freedom from anxiety. You are sure you are welcome; and the more noise you make, the more trouble you give, the more good things you call for, the welcomer you are. No servants will attend you with the alacrity which waiters do, who are incited by the prospect of an immediate reward in proportion as they please. No, sir, there is nothing which has yet been contrived by man, by which so much happiness is produced, ■ by ■ good tavern or inn." He then repeated with great emotion Shenstone's lines:

"Whoe'er has travelled life's dull round,  
Where'er his stages may have been,  
May sigh to think he still has found  
The warmest welcome at an inn."\*

"Sir John Hawkins," says Boswell in ■ note on this passage, "has preserved very few *memorabilia* of Johnson." There is, however, to be found in his bulky tome, a very excellent one upon this subject. "In contradiction to those who, having ■ wife and children, prefer domestic

enjoyments to those which ■ tavern affords, I have heard him assert, that *a tavern chair was the throne of human felicity*. ‘As ■■ (said he) as I enter the door of a tavern, I experience an oblivion of care, and ■ freedom from solicitude: when I am seated, I find the master courteous, and the servants obsequious to my call, anxious to know and ready to supply my wants: wine there exhilarates my spirits, and prompts me to free conversation, and an interchange of discourse with those whom I most love; I dogmatise, and ■■ contradicted; and in this conflict of opinion and sentiments I find delight.’ ”

The following anecdote is highly to Johnson’s credit, and equally worthy every one’s attention. “Johnson was known to be so rigidly attentive to the truth,” says Boswell, “that even in his common conversation the slightest circumstance was mentioned with exact precision. The knowledge of his having such ■ principle and habit made his friends have a perfect reliance on the truth of every thing that he told, however it might have been doubted if told by many others. As an instance of this I may mention an odd incident, which he related as having happened to him one night in Fleet Street. ‘A gentlewoman (said he) begged I would give her my ■■ to assist her in crossing the street, which I accordingly did; upon which she offered ■■ a shilling, supposing me to be the watchman. I perceived that she was somewhat in liquor.’ This, if told by most people, would have been thought an invention; when told by Johnson, it was believed by his friends, ■■ much as if they had seen what passed.” \*

The gentlewoman, however, might have taken him for the watchman without being in liquor, if she had no eye to discern ■ great man through his uncouthness. Davies, the bookseller, said, that he “laughed like ■ rhinoceros.”

---

\* Boswell, vol. ii. p. 455.



It may be added he walked like a whale ; for it was rolling rather than walking. "I met him in Fleet Street," says Boswell, "walking, or rather, indeed, moving along ; for his peculiar march is thus described in a very just and picturesque manner, in a short life of him published very soon after his death :— 'When he walked the streets, what with the constant roll of his head, and the concomitant motion of his body, he appeared to make his way by that motion independent of his feet.' That he was often much stared at," continues Boswell, "while he advanced in this manner, may be easily believed ; but it was not safe to make sport of one so robust as he was. Mr. Langton saw him one day, in a fit of absence, 'oy a sudden start, drive the load off a porter's back, and walk forwards briskly, without being conscious of what he had done. The porter was very angry, but stood still, and eyed the huge figure with much earnestness, till he was satisfied that his wisest course was to be satisfied and take up his burthen again.'"

There is another remark on Fleet Street and its superiority to the country, which must not be passed over. Boswell, not having Johnson's reasons for wanting society, was a little overweening and gratuitous on this subject ; and on such occasions the doctor would give him a knock. "It was a delightful day," says the biographer ; "as we walked to St. Clement's church, I again remarked that Fleet Street was the most cheerful scene in the world ; 'Fleet Street,' said I, 'is in my mind more delightful than Tempè.' *Johnson.* 'Ay, sir, but let it be compared with Mull.'"

The progress of knowledge, even since Johnson's time, has enabled us to say without presumption, that we differ with this extraordinary person on many important points,

■ Boswell, vol. iv. p. 77.

† Id. vol. iii. p. 327.


without ceasing to have the highest regard for his character. His faults were the result of temperament; perhaps his good qualities and his powers of reflection were, in some measure, ■ too; but this must be the case with all men. Intellect and beneficence, from whatever causes, will always command respect; and we may gladly compound, for their sakes, with foibles which belong to the common chances of humanity. If Johnson has added nothing very new to the general stock, he has contributed (especially by the help of his biographer) ■ great deal that is striking and entertaining. He ■ ■ ■ admirable critic, if not of the highest things, yet of such as 'could be determined by the exercise of ■ masculine good sense; and one thing he did, perhaps beyond any man in England, before or since—he advanced, by the powers of his conversation, the strictness of his veracity, and the respect he exacted towards his presence, what may be called the personal dignity of literature. The consequence has been, not exactly what he expected, but certainly what the great interests of knowledge require; and Johnson has assisted men, with whom he little thought of co-operating, in setting the claims of truth and beneficence above all others.


East from Fetter Lane, on the same side of the street, is Crane Court—the principal house in which, facing the entry, was that in which the Royal Society used to meet, and where they kept their museum and library before they removed to their late apartments in Somerset House. The society met in Crane Court up to a period late enough to allow us to present to our imaginations Boyle and his contemporaries prosecuting their eager inquiries and curious experiments in the early dawn of physical science, and afterwards Newton presiding in the noontide glory of the light which he had shed over nature.

## CHAP. IV.

## THE STRAND.

Ancient State of the Strand. — Butcher Row. — Death of Lee, the dramatic Poet. — Johnson at an Eating-House. — Essex Street. — House and History of the favourite Earl of Essex. — Spenser's Visit there. — Essex, General of the Parliament. — Essex Head Club. — Devereux Court. — Grecian Coffee-House. — Twining the accomplished Scholar. — St. Clement Danes. — Clement's Inn. — Falstaff and Shallow. — Norfolk, Arundel, Surrey, and Howard Streets — Norfolk House. — Essex's Ring and the Countess of Nottingham. — William Penn. — Birch. — Dr. Brocklesby. — Congreve, and his Will. — Voltaire's Visit to him. — Mrs. Bracegirdle. — Tragical End of Mountford the Player. — Ancient Cross. — Maypole. — New Church of St. Mary-le-Strand. — Old Somerset House. — Henrietta Maria and her French Household. — Waller's Mishap at Somerset Stairs. — New Somerset House. — Royal Society, Antiquarian Society, and Royal Academy. — Death of Dr. King. — Exeter Street. — Johnson's first Lodging in London. — Art of living in London. — Catherine Street. — Unfortunate Women. — Wimbledon House. — Lyceum and Beef-steak Club. — Exeter Change. — Bed and Baltimore. — The Savoy. — Anecdotes of the Duchess of Albemarle. — Beaufort Buildings. — Lillie the Perfumer. Aaron Hill. — Fielding. — Southampton Street. — Cecil and Salisbury Streets. — Durham House. — Raleigh. — Pennant on the Word Place or Palace. — New Exchange. — Don Pantaleon Sa. — The White Milliner. — Adelphi. — Garrick and his Wife. — Beauclerc. — Society of Arts, and Mr. Barry. — Bedford Street. — George, Villiers, and Buckingham Streets. — York House and Buildings. — Squabble between the Spanish and French Ambassadors. — Hungerford Market. — Craven Street. — Franklin. — Northumberland House. — Duplicity of Henry, Earl of Northampton. — Violence of Lord Herbert of Cherbury. — Percy, Bishop of Dromore. — Pleasant Mistake of Goldsmith.



**L**N going through Fleet Street and the Strand, we seldom think that the one is named after a rivulet, now running under ground, and the other from its being  the banks of the river Thames. As little do most of us fancy that there was once a line of noblemen's houses on the one side, and that, at the

same time, all beyond the other side, to Hampstead ■ Highgate, was open country, with the little hamlet of St. Giles's in a copse. So late ■ the reign of Henry VIII. we have ■ print containing the village of Charing. Citizens used to take ■ evening stroll to the well now in St. Clement's Inn.

In the reign of Edward III. the Strand was ■ open country road, with ■ mansion here and there, on the banks of the river Thames, most probably ■ castle or strong-hold. In this state it no doubt remained during the greater part of the York and Lancaster period. From Henry VIIth's time the castles most likely began to be exchanged for mansions of a more peaceful character. These gradually increased; and in the reign of Edward VI. the Strand consisted, on the south side, of ■ line of mansions with garden walls; and ■ the north, of a single row of houses, behind which all was field. The reader is to imagine wall all the way from Temple Bar to Whitehall, on his left hand, like that of Kew Palace, or a succession of Burlington Gardens; while the line of humbler habitations stood on the other side, like ■ row of servants in waiting.

As wealth increased, not only the importance of rank diminished, and the nobles were more content to recollect James's advice of living in the country (where, he said, they looked like ships in a river, instead of ships ■ sea), but the value of ground about London, especially ■ the river side, was so much augmented, that the proprietors of these princely mansions were not unwilling to turn the premises into money. The civil ■ had given another jar to the stability of their abodes in the metropolis; and in Charles the Second's time the great houses finally gave way, and were exchanged for streets and wharfs. An agreeable poet of the last century lets ■ know that he used to think of this great change in going up the Strand.

• “Come, Fortescue, sincere, experienc'd friend,  
Thy briefs, thy deeds, and e'en thy fees suspend;

Come, let us leave the Temple's silent walls ;  
 Me, business to my distant lodging calls ;  
 Through the long Strand together let us stray ;  
 With thee conversing, I forget the way.  
 Behold that narrow street which steep descends,  
 Whose building to the slimy shore extends ;  
 Here Arundel's fam'd structure rear'd its fame :  
 The street alone retains the empty name.  
 Where Titian's glowing paint the canvas warmed,  
 And Raphael's fair design with judgment charmed,  
 Now hangs the bellman's song ; and pasted here  
 The coloured prints of Overton appear.  
 Where statues breathed, the works of Phidias' hands,  
 A wooden pump, or lonely watch-house, stands.  
 There Essex' stately pile adorned the shore,  
 There Cecil's, Bedford's, Villiers',—now no more."\*

As the aspect in this quarter is so different from what it was, and the quarter is one of the most important in the metropolis, we may add what Pennant has written on the subject :—

"In the year 1353, that fine street the Strand was an open highway, with here and there a great man's house, with gardens to the water's side. In that year it was so ruinous, that Edward III., by an ordinance, directed a tax to be raised upon wool, leather, wine, and all goods carried to the staple at Westminster, from Temple Bar to Westminster Abbey, for the repair of the road ; and that all owners of houses adjacent to the highway should repair as much as lay before their doors. Mention is also made of a bridge to be erected near the royal palace at Westminster, for the conveniency of the said staple ; but the last probably meant a wharf rather than stairs for the landing of the goods, which I find sometimes went by the name of a bridge.

"There was no continued street here till about the year 1533 ; before that it entirely cut off Westminster from London, and nothing intervened except the scattered houses, and a



village, which afterwards gave ■■■■ to the whole. St. Martin's stood literally in the fields. But about the year 1560 a street was formed, loosely built, for all the houses on the south side had great gardens to the river, were called by their owners' names, and in after times gave ■■■■ to the several streets that succeeded them, pointing down to the Thames; each of them had stairs for the conveniency of taking boat, of which many to this day bear the names of the houses. As the court ■■■■ for centuries either at the palace at Westminster, or Whitehall, ■■■■ boat was the customary conveyance of the great to the presence of their sovereign. The north side ■■■■ ■■■■ mere line of houses from Charing-cross to Temple Bar; all beyond ■■■■ country. The gardens which occupied part of the site of Covent Garden were bounded by fields, and St. Giles's was ■■■■ distant country village. These are circumstances proper to point out, to show the vast increase of our capital in little ■■■■ than two centuries."\*

The aspect of the Strand, on emerging through Temple Bar, is very different from what it was forty years ago. "A stranger who had visited London in 1790, would ■■■■ his return in 1804," says Mr. Malcolm, "be astonished to find a spacious area (with the church nearly in the centre) on the site of Butcher Row, and some other passages undeserving of the name of streets, which were composed of those wretched fabrics, overhanging their foundations, the receptacles of dirt in every corner of their projecting stories, the bane of ancient London, where the plague, with all its attendant horrors, frowned destruction on the miserable inhabitants, reserving its forces for the attacks of each returning summer."†

The site of Butcher Row, thus advantageously thrown open, is called Pickett Street, after the alderman who projected the improvements. Unfortunately they turned out to be on too large a scale; that is to say, the houses were

\* Pennant, *ut supra*, p. 139.

found to be too large and expensive for the right side of the Strand in this quarter; the tide of traffic between the city and Westminster flowing the other side of the way. The consequence is, that the houses are under-let, and that something of the old squalid look remains in the turning towards Clement's-Inn, in spite of the pillared entrance.

Butcher Row, however squalid, contained houses worth eating and drinking in. Johnson frequented an eating-house there; and, according to Oldys, it was "in returning from the Bear and Harrow in Butcher Row, through Clare Market, to his lodgings in Duke Street, that Lee, the dramatic poet, overladen with wine, fell down (on the ground, ■ some say, — according to others, on a bulk), and was killed, or stifled in the snow. He was buried in the parish church of St. Clement Danes, aged about thirty-five years." \* "He was a very handsome as well as ingenious man," says Oldys, "but given to debauchery, which necessitated a milk diet. When some of his university comrades visited him, he fell to drinking out of all measure, which flying up into his head caused his face to break out into those carbuncles which were afterwards observed there; and also touched his brain, occasioning that madness so much lamented in ■ rare ■ genius. Tom Brown says, he wrote, while he was in Bedlam, a play of twenty-five acts; and Mr. Bowman tells me that, going once to visit him there, Lee showed him a scene, 'in which,' says he, 'I have done a miracle for you.' 'What's that?' said Bowman. 'I have made you a good priest.'"

Oldys mentions another of his mad sayings, but does not tell us with whom it passed.

"I've seen an unscrewed spider spin ■ thought,  
And walk away upon the wings of angels!"

'What say you to that, doctor?' ■ Ah, marry, Mr. Lee, that's

superfine indeed. The thought of a winged spider may catch sublime readers of poetry ■■■■ than his web, but it will need a commentary in prose to render it intelligible to the vulgar."\*

Lee's madness does not appear to have been melancholy, otherwise these anecdotes would not bear repeating. There are various stories of the origin of it; but, most probably, he had an over-sanguine constitution, which he exasperated by intemperance. Though he died so young, the author of *A Satyr on the Poets* gives us to understand that he was corpulent.

"Pembroke loved tragedy, and did provide  
For the butchers' dogs, and for the whole Bank-side :  
The bear was fed ; but dedicating Lee  
Was thought to have a greater paunch than he."†

This Pembroke, who loved ■ bear-garden, was the seventh earl of that title. His daughter married the son of Jeffries. Lee, on a visit to the earl at Wilton, is said to have drunk so hard, that "the butler feared he would empty the cellar." The madness of Lee is almost visible in his swelling and overladen dramas; in which, however, there is a good deal of true poetic fire, and ■ vein of tenderness that makes us heartily pity the author.

The social Boswell, in speaking of Johnson's eating-house in Butcher Row, does not approve of establishments of that sort. We shall see, by-and-by, that he was wrong.

"Happening to dine," says he, "at Clifton's eating-house in Butcher Row, I was surprised to see Johnson come in and take his seat at another table. The mode of dining, or rather being fed, at such houses in London, is well known to many to be peculiarly unsocial, as there is ■■■ ordinary or united company, but each person has his own mess, and is under no

\* Censura Literaria, vol. i. p. 176.

† State Papers, vol. ii. p. 149.

obligation to hold any intercourse with any one. A liberal and full-minded man, however, who loves to talk, will break through this churlish and unsocial restraint. Johnson and an Irish gentleman got into a dispute concerning the cause of some part of mankind being black. 'Why, sir, (said Johnson,) it has been accounted for in three ways: either by supposing that they are the posterity of Ham, who was cursed; or that God at first created two kinds of men, one black and another white; or that, by the heat of the sun, the skin is scorched, and so acquires a sooty hue. This matter has been much canvassed among naturalists, but has never been brought to any certain issue.' What the Irishman said is totally obliterated from my mind; but I remember that he became very warm and intemperate in his expressions; upon which Johnson rose, and quietly walked away. When he had retired, his antagonist took his revenge, as he thought, by saying, 'He has a most ungainly figure, and an affectation of pomposity, unworthy of a man of genius.' " \*

The ungainly figure might have been pardoned by the Irishman; who, we suppose, was equally fiery and elegant. As to Johnson's pompous manner, the most excusable part of it originated, doubtless, in his having decided opinions. The rest may have been an instinct of self-defence, arising from the "ungainly figure," not without a sense of the dignity of his calling. He certainly lost nothing by it, upon the whole. At all events, one is willing to think the best of what was accompanied by so much excellence. Affectation it was not; for nobody despised pretension of any kind more than he did. Johnson was a sort of born bishop in his way, with high judgments and cathedral notions lording it in his mind; and *ex cathedrâ* he accordingly spoke.

In Butcher Row, one day, Johnson met, in advanced life, a fellow-collegian, of the name of Edwards, whom he

had not seen since they were at the university. Edwards annoyed him by talking of their age. "Don't let us discourage one another," said Johnson. It was this Edwards, a dull but good man, who made that *naïve* remark, which was pronounced by Burke and others to be an excellent trait of character: — "You are a philosopher, Dr. Johnson," said he: "I have tried in my time to be a philosopher; but, I don't know how, cheerfulness was always breaking in."\*

Before we come to St. Clement's, we arrive, on the left-hand side of the way, at Essex Street; a spot once famous for the residence of the favourite Earl of Essex. We have mentioned an Outer Temple, which originally formed a companion to the Inner and Middle Temples, the whole constituting the tenements of the knights. This Outer Temple stretched beyond Temple Bar into the ground now occupied by Essex Street and Devereux Court; and after being possessed (Dugdale supposes) by the Prior and Canons of the Holy Sepulchre, was transferred by them, in the time of Edward III., to the Bishops of Exeter, who occupied it till the reign of Henry VI., and called it Exeter House. Sir William Paget (afterwards Lord Paget) then had it, and did "re-edify the same," calling it Paget Place. After this it was occupied by the Duke of Norfolk, who was executed for his dealings with Mary, Queen of Scots; then by Dudley, Earl of Leicester, the favourite, who called it Leicester House, and bequeathed it to his "son, Sir Robert;" and then by the other favourite, Leicester's son-in-law, Essex, from whom it retained the name of Essex House. It was occasionally tenanted by men of rank till some time after the Restoration, when it was pulled down, and the site converted into the present street and court. The only remnant of

---

\* Boswell, vol. iii. p. 331.



it supposed to exist is the present Unitarian Chapel, which, before it became such, was called Essex House, and latterly contained an auction room.\*

The repose enjoyed in this precinct since the Restoration has been like silence after a succession of storms, for the house was of a turbulent reputation. The first bishop who had it after the Templars, being a favourite of Edward II., was seized by the mob, hurried to Chancery, where they beheaded him, and then carried back a corpse, and buried in a heap of sand at his door. Lord Paget got into trouble, together with his friend the Duke of Somerset, who was accused of intending to assassinate Northumberland and others at this house. Norfolk possessed it while he formed his designs on Mary, Queen of Scots, for which he was brought to the scaffold; Leicester was always having some ill design or other—perhaps poisoned a visitor or so occasionally (for he is said to have thought nothing of that gentle expediency); and Essex made the house famous by standing a siege in it against the troops of his mistress. The siege was not long, nor any of his actions in the business very wise, though he was a man of an exalted nature. Essex got into his troubles partly from heat and ambition, partly from the inferior and more cunning nature of some of his rivals at court. There is no doubt that all these causes, together with his confidence in Elizabeth's inability to proceed to extremities, conspired

---

\* Dugdale's *Antiquities of Westminster*. Heraldic MS. in the Museum, quoted in *Londinium Redivivum* (vol. ii. p. 282.). Brydges's *Collins's Peerage*. Belsham's *Life of Lindsey*.—We have been thus minute in tracing the occupancies of this house, from the interest excited by some of the members connected with it. Pennant says, upon the authority of the Sydney Papers, that Leicester bequeathed it to his son-in-law, which appears probable, since the latter possessed it. Perhaps the herald

to lead him into rebellion. His first offence that we hear of, next to ■ general petulance of manner, which the queen's own mixture of fondness and petulance was calculated enough to provoke, ■■■ a quarrel with some young lords for her favour ; the second, his joining the expedition to Cadiz without leave ; and the third, his marriage with the daughter of Sir Francis Walsingham : for Elizabeth never thought it proper that her favourites should be married to any thing but her "fair idea."

His next dispute with her, which was on the subject of an assistant in the affairs of Ireland, to which he was going as lord deputy, terminated in the singular catastrophe of his receiving from her a box on the ear ; with the encouraging addition of bidding him "Go and be hanged." It is said to have been occasioned by his turning his back upon her. He clapped his hand to his sword, and swore he would not have put up with such an insult from her father. His fall is generally dated from this circumstance, and it is thought he never forgave it. But surely this is not a correct judgment : for the blow which might have been intolerable from the hand of a king, implied, in its very extravagance, something not without flattery and self-abasement from that of a princess. It was as if Elizabeth had put herself into the situation of a termagant wife. The quarrel preceded the violence. Essex went to Ireland against the rebels, but apparently with great unwillingness, calling it, in ■ letter to the queen, the "cursedest of all islands," and insinuating that the best thing that could happen both to please her and himself was the loss of his life in battle. The conclusion of this letter is a remarkable instance of the mixture of romance with real life in those days. It is in verse, terminating with the following pastoral sentiment. Essex wishes he could live like a hermit, "in some unhaunted desert most obscure"

"From all society, from love and hate  
 Of worldly folk; then should he sleep secure,  
 Then wake again, and yield God every praise,  
 Content with hips and hawes, and bramble-berry;  
 In contemplation parting out his days,  
 And change of holy thoughts to make him merry.  
 Who when he dies, his tomb may be a bush,  
 Where harmless robin dwells with gentle thrush.  
 Your Majesty's exiled servant,  
 ROBERT ESSEX."

Think of this being a letter from a lord lieutenant of Ireland to his sovereign! Warton says, from the evidence of some sonnets preserved in the British Museum, that although Essex was "an ingenious and elegant writer of prose," he was no poet. There is an ungainliness in the lines we have just quoted, and he was probably too much given to action to be a poet; but there is something in him that relished of the truth and directness of poetry, when he had to touch upon any actual emotion. Poetry is nothing but the voluntary power to get at the inner spirit of what is felt, with imagination to embody it. It was supposed that Essex's enemies first got him into the office of lord lieutenant, and then took advantage of his impatience under it to ruin him. He was accused of tampering with the rebels, and meditating his return into England with the troops under his charge; with a view to which object he is said to have described his army as a force with which he "would make the earth to tremble as he went." He came over, with the passion of an injured man, and presented himself before the queen, who gave him a tolerable reception, but afterwards confined him to the house of the lord keeper. It was then, according to his confession before his death, that he first contemplated violent measures against the throne, though always short of treason. Before his libe-

ration, he was soured by his ineffectual attempts to renew his facility of admission to the presence chamber; and he let fall ■ expression which his enemies greedily seized at, to wit, that the "Queen grew old and cankered, and that her mind was become as crooked as her carcase." This was exactly in his style, which was off-hand and energetic, with a gusto of truth in it. Meantime he began to have his friends about him more than ever, and to affect ■ necessity for it; and a summons being sent him to attend the council, he was driven by anger and fear to decline it, and to fortify himself in his house. His chief and most generous companion on this occasion was Henry, Earl of Southampton, the friend of Shakspeare. There was ■ little resistance; and the Lord Keeper, with the Lord Chief Justice and the Earl of Worcester, coming to summon him to his allegiance, he locked them up in a room, on pretence of taking care of their persons, and then sallied through Fleet Street into the city, where he expected a rising in his favour; for he was the most popular noble, perhaps, that England had ever seen, and the city had been disgusted by repeated levies on its purse, under pretence of invasions from Spain: though, according to Essex, Spain had never been so much in favour. The levies, in truth, were made against himself. He was disappointed: heard himself proclaimed a traitor by sound of trumpet in Gracechurch Street, and after a little more scuffling on the part of his adherents, returned by water from Quenchithe, and surrendered himself; being partly moved, he said, by the "cries of ladies." It is clear that he did not know what to be at. He expected, most likely, every moment, that the queen's tenderness would interfere, fearful of seeing her once beloved favourite in danger. But the Cécils and others aided her good sense in keeping her quiet. Essex had certainly acted in a way incompatible with the duty of ■ subject, and such as no sovereign

could tolerate. He was tried in Westminster Hall, and convicted of an intention to seize the court and the Tower, to surprise the queen in her apartments, and then to summon a parliament for ■ “redress of grievances ;” which, he said, should give his enemies “a fair trial.” Southampton was acquitted, no doubt from a sense that he intended nothing but ■ romantic adherence to his friend.

How ■ man of Essex’s understanding could give into these preposterous attempts, it would be difficult to conceive, if every day’s experience did not show how powerful a succession of little circumstances is to bring people into situations which themselves might have least looked for. Essex evidently expected pardon to the last. When Lord Grey’s name was read over among the peers who were to try him, he smiled and jogged the elbow of Southampton, for offending whom Grey had been punished. He was at his ease throughout the trial. He said to the Attorney General (Coke), who had told him in the course of his speech that he should be “Robert the Last” of an earldom, instead of “Robert the First” of a kingdom — “Well, Mr. Attorney, I thank God you are not my judge this day, you are so uncharitable.”

“*Coke.* Well, my lord, we shall prove you anon, what you are ; which your pride of heart, and aspiring mind, hath brought you unto.

“*Essex.* Ah, Mr. Attorney, lay your hand upon your heart, and pray to God to forgive ■ *both.*” \*

And when sentence was passed, though it is not true that he refused to ask for mercy, for he did it after the best fashion of his style, “kneeling (he said) upon the very knees of his heart,” yet he seemed to threaten Elizabeth, in ■ tender way, with his resolution to die. She left



him, like a politic sovereign, to his fate ; but is thought never to have recovered it, as ■ friend. The romantic story of her visiting the Countess of Nottingham, who had kept back a ring which Essex sent her after his condemnation, of her shaking her on her death-bed, and crying out that " God might forgive, but she could not," is more and more credited as documents transpire. The ring, it is said, had been given to Essex, with a promise that it should serve him in need under any circumstances, if he did but send it. It is supposed that the non-appearance of it hurt the proud heart of Elizabeth, and finally allowed her to let him die. Yet she was a great sovereign, and might have suffered the law to take its course, with whatever sorrow. She was jealous of her reputation with the old and cool-headed lords about her. When the death, however, had taken place, she might have fancied otherwise. Something preyed strongly on her mind towards her decease, which happened within two years after his execution. She refused to go to bed for ten days and nights before her death, lying upon the carpet with cushions about her, and absorbed in the profoundest melancholy. To be sure, this may have been disease. A princess like Elizabeth, possessed of sovereign power, which had been sharply exercised on some doubtful occasions, might have had misgivings when going to die. Two certain causes of regret she must have had for Essex. She must have been well aware that she had alternately encouraged and irritated him over much ; and she must have known that he was a better man than many who assisted in his overthrow, and that if he had been less worthy of regard, he probably would have survived her, as they did.

It may easily be imagined that Essex was ■ ■■■■ for whom a strong affection might be entertained. He excited interest by his character, and could maintain it by

his language. In every thing he did there was a certain excess, but on the liberal side. When a youth, he plunged into the depths of rural pleasures and books; he was lavish of his money and good word for his friends; he said everything that came uppermost, but then it was worth saying, only his enemies were not as well pleased with it as his friends, and they never forgot it: in fine, he was romantic, brave, and impassioned. He is so like a *preux chevalier*, that till we call to mind other gallant knights who have not been handsome, we are somewhat surprised to hear that he was not well made, and that nothing is said of his face but that it looked reserved, — a seeming anomaly, which deep thought sometimes produces in the countenances of open-hearted men. These were no hindrances, however, to the admiration entertained of him by the ladies; and he was so popular with authors and with the public, that Warton says he could bring evidence of his scarcely ever quitting England or even the metropolis, on the most frivolous enterprise, without a pastoral or other poetical praise of him, which was sold and sung in the streets. He was the friend of Spenser, most likely of Shakspeare too, being the friend of Southampton. Spenser was well acquainted with Essex House. In his '*Prothalamion*,' published in 1596, he has left interesting evidence of his having visited Leicester there; and he follows up the record with a panegyric on Leicester's successor, which was probably his first hint to Essex that he was still in want of such assistance as he had received from his father-in-law. The two passages taken together render the hint rather broad, and such as would make one a little jealous for the dignity of the great poet, were not the manners of that time different in this respect from what they are now. Speaking of the Temple, in the lines quoted in our last chapter, he goes on to say,

"Next whereunto there stands ■ statcly place,  
 Where oft I gayned giftes and goodly grace  
 Of that great lord, which therein wont to dwell.  
 Whose want too well now feels my friendless case :  
 But, ah ! here fits not well  
 Olde woes, but ioyes, to tell  
 Against the bridale daye, which is not long :  
 Sweet Themmes ! runne softly till I end my song.

Yet therein now doth lodge a noble peer,  
 Great England's glory, and the world's wide wonder,  
 Whose dreadful name late through all Spaine did thunder,  
 And Hercules' two pillars standing near  
 Did make to quake and feare :  
 Faire branch of honor, flower of chevalrie !  
 That fillest England with thy triumph's fame,  
 Joy have thou of thy noble victorie."

Essex no doubt took the poet at his word, both for his panegyric and his hint : for it was he that gave Spenser his funeral in Westminster, and he was not of a spirit to treat a great poet, as poets have sometimes been treated — with neglect in their lifetime, and self-complacent monuments to them after their death.

We shall close this notice (in which we have endeavoured to concentrate all the interest we could) of the once great and applauded Essex, whose memory long retained its popularity, and gave rise to several tragedies, with a letter of his to the Lord Keeper Egerton, in which there is one of his finest sentiments, expressed with his most passionate felicity. Egerton's eldest son had accompanied Essex into Ireland, and died there, which is the subject of the letter. As Spenser's death also happened just before the earl set out for that country, at ■ moment when he might have been of political ■ well as poetical use to him (for Spenser was ■ politician, and had been

among the friends alluded to, part of the regret may have been for him :

“ Whatt can you receave from ■ cursed country butt vnfortunate newes ? whatt can be my stile (whom heaven and earth are agreed to make a martyr) butt ■ stile of mourning ? nott for myself thatt I smart, *for I wold I had in my hart the sorrow of all my frends*, but I mourn that my destiny is to overlive my decest frendes. Of y<sup>r</sup> losse yt is neither good for me to write nor you to reade. But ■ protest I felt myself sensibly dismembered, when I lost my frend. Shew y<sup>r</sup> strength in lyfe. Lett me, yf yt be God’s will, shew yt in taking leave of the world, and hasting after my frends. Butt I will live and dy

More y<sup>r</sup> lp’s then any  
man’s living,  
Essex.

“ *Arbrackan, this last day of August* ” [1599].

“ Little,” \* says Mr. Todd, “ did the generous but unfortunate Essex then imagine, that the learned statesman, to whom this letter of condolence was addressed, would be directed very soon afterwards to issue an order for his execution. The original warrant, to which the name of Elizabeth is prefixed, is now in the possession of the Marquis of Stafford ; and the queen has written her name, not with the firmness observable in numerous documents existing in the same and other collections, but with apparent tremor and hesitation.”

In Essex House was born another Robert, Earl of Essex, son of the preceding, well known in history as general of the Parliament. He was a child when his father died ; and was in the hands, first, of his grandmother, Lady Walsingham, and, secondly, of Henry Saville (afterwards Sir Henry), under whose severe discipline he was educated at Eton. We mention these circumstances, because they tended to keep him in that Presbyterian interest, which his father patronised out of ■ love of

\* Todd’s edit. of Spenser, vol. i. p. cxli.

toleration and popularity. Perhaps, also, they did him no good with his wives; for he married two, and was singularly unfortunate in both. To the first, Lady Frances Howard, he was betrothed when a boy. He travelled, returned, and married her, with little love on his own side, and none on hers. Her connexion with Car, Earl of Somerset, and all the infamy, crime, and wretchedness it brought upon her, are well known. Her best excuse, which is the ordinary one in cases of great wickedness (and it is a comfort to human nature that it is so), is, that she was a great fool. Her dislike of her first husband was not, perhaps, the least excusable part of her conduct, first, because she was a child like himself when they were betrothed; and secondly, because his second wife appears to have liked him no better. The latter was divorced also. After this, Essex took to a country retirement, and subsequently to an active part in the Civil Wars, during which his love of justice and affability to his inferiors rendered him extremely popular. He was of equivocal service, however, to the parliament. He was a better general than politician, not of a commanding genius in any respect, and was suspected, not without reason, of an overweening desire to accommodate matters too much, partly out of ignorance of what the nature of the quarrel demanded, and partly from an affectation of playing the part of an amicable dictator for his own aggrandisement. So the parliament got rid of him by the famous self-denying ordinance. Clarendon says, that when he resigned his commission, the whole parliament went the day following to Essex House, to return him thanks for his great services; but a late historian of the commonwealth says, there is no trace of this compliment on the journals.\* Next year they

---

\* Godwin's History of the Commonwealth, vol. i. p. 410.



attended him to his grave. Essex's character was a prose-copy of his father's, with the love and romance left out.

Dr. Johnson, the year before he died, founded in Essex Street one of his minor clubs. The Literary Club did not meet often enough for his want of society, was too distant, and perhaps had now become too much for his conversational ambition. He wanted a mixture of inferior intellects to be at ease with. Accordingly, this club, which was held at the Essex Head, then kept by a servant of Mr. Thrane, was of a more miscellaneous nature than the other, and made no pretension to expense. One cannot help smiling at the modest and pensive tone of the letter which Johnson sent to Sir Joshua, inviting him to join it. "The terms are lax, and the expenses light. We meet thrice a-week; and he who misses, forfeits two-pence."\* This stretch of philosophy seems to have startled the fashionable painter, who declined to become a member. When we find, however, in the list the names of Brocklesby, Horsley, Daines Barrington, and Windham, Boswell has reason to say that Sir John Hawkins's charge of its being a "low ale-house association" appears to be sufficiently obviated. But the names might have been subscribed out of civility without any further intention. The club, nevertheless, was in existence when Boswell wrote, and went on, he says, happily. Johnson said of him, when he was proposed, "Boswell is a very *clubable* man."

In Devereux Court, through which there is a passage round into the Temple, is the Grecian Coffee House, supposed to be the oldest in London. We should rather say the revival of the oldest, for the premises were burnt down and rebuilt. The Grecian was the house from which Steele proposed to date his learned articles in the *Tatler*.

---

\* Boswell, vol. iv. p. 276.

In this court are the premises of the eminent tea-dealers, Messrs. Twining, the front of which, surmounted with its stone figures of Chinese, has an elegant appearance in the Strand. We notice the house, not only on this account, but because the family have to boast of a very accomplished scholar, the translator of the *Poetics* of Aristotle. Mr. Twining was contemporary with Gray and Mason at Cambridge; and besides his acquirements as a linguist (for, in addition to his knowledge of Greek and Latin, he wrote French and Italian with idiomatic accuracy), was a musician so accomplished as to lead the concerts and oratorios that were performed during term-time, when Bate played the organ and harpsichord. He was also a lively companion, full of wit and playfulness, yet so able to content himself with country privacy, and so exemplary a clergyman, that for the last forty years of his life he scarcely allowed himself to be absent from his parishioners more than a fortnight in a year.

The church of St. Clement Danes, which unworthily occupies the open part of the Strand, to the west of Essex Street, was the one most frequented by Dr. Johnson. It is not known why this church was called St. Clement *Danes*. Some think because there was a massacre of the Danes thereabouts; others because Harold Harefoot was buried there; and others, because the Danes had the quarter given them to live in, when Alfred the Great drove them out of London, the monarch at the same time building the church, in order to assist their conversion to Christianity. The name *St. Clement* has been derived with probability from the patron saint of Pope Clement III., a great friend of the Templars, to whom the church at one time belonged. St. Clement's was rebuilt towards the end of the century before last by Edward Pierce, under the direction of Sir Christopher Wren, but is a very incongruous ungainly edifice. Its best aspect is at

night-time in winter, when the deformities of its body are not seen, and the pale steeple rises with ■ sort of ghastliness of grandeur through the cloudy atmosphere. The chimes may still be heard at midnight, ■ Falstaff describes having heard them with Justice Shallow. If they did not execute one of Handel's psalm-tunes, we should take them to be the very same he speaks of, and conclude that they had grown hoarse with age and sitting-up; for to our knowledge they have lost some of their notes these twenty years, and the rest are falling away. A steeple should set a better example.

A few years back, when the improvements on the north side, in this quarter, had not been followed by those on the south, Gay's picture of the avenue between the church and the houses was true in all its parts. We remember the "combs dangling in our faces," and almost mourned their loss for the sake of the poet.

"Where the fair columns of St. Clement stand,  
Whose straiten'd bounds encroach upon the Strand;  
Where the low penthouse bows the walker's head,  
And the rough pavement wounds the yielding tread;  
Where not ■ post protects the narrow space,  
And, strung in twines, combs dangle in thy face;  
Summon at once thy courage, rouse thy care,  
Stand firm, look back, be resolute, beware.  
Forth issuing from steep lanes, the collier's steeds  
Drag the black load; another cart succeeds;  
Team follows team, crowds heap'd on crowds appear,  
And wait impatient till the road grow clear."

Everybody can testify to the truth of this description. A little patience, however, is well repaid by the sight of the noble creatures dragging up the loads. The horses of the colliers and brewers of London are worth notice at all times for the magnificence of their *build*. Gay pro-

countered. He cautions you how you lose your sword; and adds ■ pleasant mode of theft, practised in those times:—

“Nor is the flaxen wig with safety worn :  
High on the shoulder, in ■ basket borne,  
Lurks the sly boy, whose hands, to rapine bred,  
Plucks off the curling honours of thy head.” ■

Clement's Inn is named from the church. The device over the gate, of an anchor and the letter C, is supposed to allude to the martyrdom of St. Clement, who is said ■ have been tied to an anchor and thrown into the sea, by order of the Emperor Trajan.

“The hall is situated on the south side of ■ neat but small quadrangle. It is ■ Tuscan diminutive building, with ■ very large Corinthian door, and arched windows, erected in 1715. Another irregular area is surrounded by convenient houses, in which are the possessor's chambers. Part of this is ■ pretty garden, with ■ kneeling African, of considerable merit, supporting a dial, on the eastern side.”†

In Knox's *Elegant Extracts* are some lines on this negro, which have often been repeated :

“In vain, poor sable son of woe,  
Thou seek'st the tender tear ;  
For thee in vain with pangs they flow ;  
For mercy dwells not here.

---

\* Trivia; or the Art of Walking the Streets of London, book iii. Of a similar, and more perplexing facetiousness was the trick of extracting wigs out of hackney coaches. “The Thieves,” says the Weekly Journal (March 30. 1717), “have got such ■ villanous way now of robbing gentlemen, that they cut holes through the backs of hackney coaches, and take away their wigs, or fine head-dresses of gentlewomen; so a gentleman was served last Sunday in Tooley Street, and another but last Tuesday in Fenchurch Street; wherefore this may serve as a caution to gentlemen and gentlewomen that ride single in the night-time, to sit ■ the fore-seat, which will prevent that way of robbing.” — Malcolm's Anecdotes of the Manners and Customs of London during the Eighteenth Century, second edit. vol. i. p. 104.

† Londinium Redivivum, vol. ii.

From cannibals thou fledst in vain ;  
 Lawyers less quarter give ;  
 The first won't eat you till you're slain,  
 The last will do't alive."

This inn, like all the other inns of court, is of great antiquity. Dugdale states it to have been an inn of Chancery in the reign of Edward II. Some have conjectured, according to Mr. Moser, "that near this spot stood an inn, as far back as the time of King Ethelred, for the reception of penitents who came to St. Clement's Well ; that ■ religious house was in process of time established, and that the church rose in consequence." Be this as it may, the holy brotherhood was probably removed to some other institution ; the Holy Lamb, an inn on the west side of the lane, received the guests ; and the monastery was converted, or rather perverted, from the purposes of the gospel to those of the law, and was probably, in this profession, considered as a house of considerable antiquity in the days of Shakspeare ; for he, who with respect to this kind of chronology may be safely quoted, makes in the second act of Henry IV. one of his justices a member of that society :

"He must to the Inns of Court. I ■ of Clement's once myself, where they talk of Mad Shallow still."

A pump now covers St. Clement's Well. Fitzstephen, in his description of London, in the reign of Henry II., speaks of certain "excellent springs at ■ small distance" from the city, "whose waters are sweet, salubrious, and clear, and whose runnels murmur o'er the shining stones : among these," he continues, "Holywell, Clerkenwell, and St. Clement's Well may be esteemed the principal, ■ being much the most frequented, both by the scholars from the school (Westminster) and the youth from the city, when on a summer's evening they are disposed to take ■ airing."



Six hundred years and upwards have elapsed since Fitzstephen wrote. It is pleasant to think that the well has lasted so long, and that the place is still quiet.

The Clare family, who have left their name to Clare Market, appear to have occupied Clement's Inn during part of the reign of the Tudors. From their hands it reverted to those of the law. It is an appendage to the Inner Temple. We are not aware of any greater legal personage having been bred there, than the one just mentioned. Shallow takes delight in his local recollections, particularly of this inn. In one of the masterly scenes of this kind, Falstaff's corroboration of a less pleasant recollection, and Shallow's anger against the cause of it, after such a lapse of time, are very ludicrous.

"*Shallow.* Oh, Sir John, do you remember since ■ lay ■ night in the windmill in St. George's Fields?

"*Fals.* No more of that, good Master Shallow, no more of that.

"*Shal.* Ha, it was a merry night. And is Jane Nightwork alive?

"*Fals.* She lives, Master Shallow.

"*Shal.* She never could away with me.

"*Fals.* Never, never; she would always say she could not abide Master Shallow.

"*Shal.* By the mass, I could anger her to the heart. She ■ then ■ bonaroba. Doth she hold her own well?—and had Robin Nightwork by old Nightwork, before I came to Clement's Inn.

"*Silence.* That's fifty-five years ago.

"*Shal.* Ha, cousin Silence, that thou hadst seen that that this knight and I have seen! Ah, Sir John, said I well?

"*Fals.* We have heard the chimes at midnight, Master Shallow.

"*Shal.* That we have, that ■ have, that we have; in faith, Sir John, we have; our watchword was *Hem, boys!*

Come, let's to dinner; come, let's to dinner: O, the days that we have seen! Come, come." ■

The sites of Arundel, Norfolk, Surrey, and Howard Streets (the last of which crosses the others), were formerly occupied by the house and grounds originally constituting the town residence of the Bishop of Bath and Wells, then of the Lord High Admiral Seymour, and afterwards of the Howards, Earls of Arundel, from whom it came into possession of the Duke of Norfolk. It was successively called Bath's Inn (Hampton Place, according to some, but we know not why), Seymour Place, Arundel House, and Norfolk House. It was a wide low house, but according to Sully, who lodged in it when he was ambassador to James I., very convenient, on account of the multitude of rooms on the same floor.

In this house the Lord High Admiral, Thomas Seymour, brother of the Protector Somerset, in the reign of Edward VI., contrived to place the Princess (afterwards Queen) Elizabeth, with a design of possessing her person, and sharing her succession to the crown. No doubt is entertained of these views by the historians. Elizabeth was not averse to him, though he had lately married the Queen Dowager (Catherine Parr); and ■■■■ gossiping stories transpired of the evidences of their good will. Catherine's death increased the suspicion, and she herself expressed it on her death-bed. Seymour's ambition, however, shortly brought him to the scaffold, and saved us from ■ King Thomas I., who would probably, ■ Pennant thinks, have been a very bad one.

We have mentioned the Countess of Nottingham who withheld from Elizabeth the ring sent her by Essex. It was in this house she died. Her husband was ■ Howard,

---

\* Second Part of Henry IV. act 3. sc. 2.

and, probably, she was ■ a visit there. We take an opportunity, therefore, of relating the particulars of that romantic story, as collected by the accurate Dr. Birch, and repeated in the *Memoirs of the Peers of England during the reign of James I.* “The following curious story,” says the compiler of this work, “was frequently told by Lady Elizabeth Spelman, great grand-daughter of Sir Robert Carey, brother of Lady Nottingham, and afterwards Earl of Monmouth, whose curious memoirs of himself were published ■ few years ago by Lord Corke.”

“When Catherine, Countess of Nottingham, ■ dying (as she did, according to his lordship’s own account, about ■ fortnight before Queen Elizabeth), she sent to her Majesty to desire that she might see her, ■ order to reveal something to her Majesty without the discovery of which she could not die in peace. Upon the Queen’s coming, Lady Nottingham told her, that, while the Earl of Essex lay under sentence of death, he was desirous of asking her Majesty’s mercy, in the manner prescribed by herself, during the height of his favour; the Queen having given him ■ ring, which being sent to her as ■ token of his distress, might entitle him to her protection. But the earl, jealous of those about him, and not caring to trust any of them with it, as he was looking out of his window ■ morning, saw ■ boy, with whose appearance he was pleased; and engaging him by money and promises, directed him to carry the ring, which he took from his finger and threw down, to Lady Scroope, a sister of the Countess of Nottingham, and ■ friend of his lordship, who attended upon the Queen; and to beg of her that she would present it to her Majesty. The boy, by mistake, carried it to Lady Nottingham, who showed it to her husband, the admiral, an enemy of Lord Essex, in order to take his advice. The admiral forbid her to carry it, or return any answer to the message; but insisted upon her keeping the ring.

“The Countess of Nottingham, having made this discovery, begged the Queen’s forgiveness; but her Majesty answered, ‘God may forgive you, but I ■ can,’ and left the room with

great emotion. Her mind ■■■ ■ struck with the story that she never went into bed, ■■■ took any sustenance from that instant, for Camden is of opinion, that her chief reason for suffering the earl to be executed, was his supposed obstinacy in not applying to her for mercy." \*

"In confirmation of the time of the countess's death," continues the compiler, "it now appears from the parish register of Chelsea, extracted by Mr. Lysons (*Environs of London*, ii. 120.), that she died at Arundel House, London, Feb. 25., and ■■■ buried the 28th, 1603. Her funeral was kept at Chelsea, March 21.; and Queen Elizabeth died three days afterwards."

Clarendon gives a singular character of this house and its master when it was in possession of Thomas Howard, Earl of Arundel. He says that the earl

"Seemed to live, as it were, in another nation, his house being a place to which all people resorted, who resorted to no other place; strangers, or such as affected to look like strangers, and dressed themselves accordingly. He was willing to be thought a scholar, and to understand the most mysterious parts of antiquity, because he made a wonderful and costly purchase of excellent statues whilst in Italy and in Rome (some whereof he could never obtain permission to remove out of Rome, though he had paid for them), and had a rare collection of medals. As to all parts of learning, he was almost illiterate, and thought no other part of history so considerable as what related to his own family, in which, no doubt, there had been some very memorable persons. It cannot be denied that he had in his own person, in his aspect and countenance, the appearance of a great man, which he preserved in his gait and motion. He wore and affected a habit very different from that of the time, such as ■■■ had

---

\* Birch's *Negotiations*, p. 206, 207., quoted in the work above mentioned, p. 189. Whenever ■■■ quote from any authorities but the original, we beg the reader to bear in mind, first, that we always notice our having done so: and, secondly, that we make a point of comparing the originals

only beheld in pictures of the most considerable men; all which drew the eyes of most, and the reverence of many, towards him, as the image and representative of the ancient nobility, and native gravity of the nobles, when they had been most venerable; but this was only his outside, his nature and true humour being much disposed to levity and delights, which indeed were very despicable and childish."

The marbles here mentioned, now at Oxford, were collected at Arundel House. This character from the pen of Clarendon has been thought too severe. Perhaps the earl had given the noble historian ■ repulse when he was nothing but plain Mr. Hyde; for personal resentments of this sort are apparent in his writings. The last Duke of Norfolk but one, who wrote anecdotes on the Howard family, asks how the man who collected the Oxford marbles could be the slave of such family self-love as Clarendon describes, and how it was that he held the first places in the state, and the most important commissions abroad. It is well-known, however, that ■ ■■■■ may do all this, and yet be more fortunate than wise. Arundel was certainly proud, if not dull; and the proudest men are not apt to be the brightest. It was he that, in ■ dispute with Lord Spenser, in the Upper House, when the latter spoke of the treason of the earl's ancestors, said "My lord, my lord, while my ancestors were plotting treason, yours were keeping sheep." He little thought that his marbles would help to bring about a time, when an historian, by no means indifferent to rank and title, should regard ■ romantic poem ■ the "brightest jewel" in a ducal coronet, and that coronet be ■ Spenser's.\*

At the south-west corner of Norfolk Street lived at one time the famous Penn, who from being a coxcomb in his youth became a quaker and a founder of a state.

---

\* We allude to the celebrated saying of Gibbon respecting the Fairy Queen.



However, his coxcombry was ■ falling off from early seriousness. His father was a rough admiral, who could not for the life of him conceive why his son should relapse into a preciseness so unlike the rest of the world, and so unfitted to succeed at court. Voltaire says\*, that young Penn (for he was little more than twenty years of age) appeared suddenly before his father in ■ quaker dress, and to the old man's astonishment and indignation said, without moving his hat, "Friend Penn, how dost thee do?" But, according to more serious biographers, the change was not so sudden. The hat, however, was ■ great matter of contention between them, the admiral wishing to stipulate that his son should uncover to the king (Charles II.), the king's brother, and himself; but Penn having recourse to "fasting and supplication," found that his hat was not to be moved. These were the weaknesses of a young enthusiast. His enthusiasm remained for greater purposes; but he is understood to have grown wiser with regard to the rest, though he continued a quaker for life. Penn, though ■ legislator, never seems to have given up a taste for good living. His appearance in the portraits of him, notwithstanding his garb, is fat and festive; and he died of apoplexy.

In the same house, we believe, that had been occupied by Penn †, resided an author who must not be passed over in ■ work of this kind; to wit, the indefatigable and honest antiquary, Dr. Birch. He came of ■ Quaker stock. Birch astonished his friends by going a great deal into company; but the secret of his uniting sociality with labour, was his early rising. This, which appears to be one of the main secrets of longevity, ought to have

---

\* In his Letters on the English Nation. But we quote from memory.

kept him older, for he died at the age of sixty-one ; but he was probably festive as well ■ social, and should have taken more exercise. Being a bad horseman, he ■■ thrown on the Hampstead road, and killed on the spot ; but the doctors were uncertain whether apoplexy had not ■ hand in the disaster. In speaking of Birch, nobody should omit ■ charming billet, written to him by his first wife, almost in the article of death. The death took place within ■ year after their marriage, and was accelerated by childbed.

“ This day I return you, my dearest life, my sincere hearty thanks for every favour bestowed on your most faithful and obedient wife,  
HANNAH BIRCH.”\*

“ July 31. 1729.”

In Norfolk Street, for upwards of thirty years, lived Dr. Brocklesby, the friend and physician of Dr. Johnson. Physicians of this class may, *par excellence*, be styled the friends of men of letters. They partake of their accomplishments, understand their infirmities, sympathise with their zeal to do good, and prolong their lives by the most delicate and disinterested attentions. Between no two professions has a more liberal and cordial intimacy been maintained than between literature and medicine. Brocklesby was an honour to the highest of his calling.

“ In the course of his practice,” we are told that “ his advice, as well as his purse, was ever accessible to the poor, as well as to men of merit who stood in need of either. Besides giving his advice to the poor of all descriptions, which he did with an active and unwearied benevolence, he had always upon his list two or three poor widows, to whom he granted small annuities ; and who, on the quarter-day of receiving their stipends, always partook of the hospitalities of his table. To his relations, who wanted his assistance in their business or professions, he was

---

\* See his life in Chalmers's General Biographical Dictionary, vol. v.

not only liberal, but so judicious in his liberalities as to supersede the necessity of ■ repetition of them. To his friend Dr. Johnson (when it was in agitation amongst his friends to procure ■ enlargement of his pension, the better to enable him to travel for the benefit of his health), he offered an establishment of one hundred pounds per year during his life; and upon Dr. Johnson's declining it (which he did in the most affectionate terms of gratitude and friendship), he made him a second offer of apartments in his own house, for the more immediate benefit of medical advice. To his old and intimate friend Edmund Burke, he had many years back bequeathed by will the sum of one thousand pounds; but recollecting that this event might take place (which it afterwards did) when such a legacy could be of no service to him, he with that judicious liberality for which he was always distinguished, gave it to him in advance, '*ut pignus amicitiae*:' it was accepted as such by Mr. Burke, accompanied with a letter, which none but a man feeling the grandeur and purity of friendship like him could dictate."\*

If it be dangerous in the present condition of society, to incur pecuniary obligations, particularly for those who are more qualified to think than to act, and who may ultimately startle to find themselves in positions in which they can neither prove the benefit done them, nor the good feelings which allowed them to receive it, nobody can doubt the generosity of such a man as Brocklesby; who, so far from being a mere patron, jealous of being obliged himself, was equally ■ prepared to receive kindness as to show it. Proposing, just before he died, to go down to Burke's house at Beaconsfield, and somebody hinting to him the danger of being fatigued, and of lying out of his own bed, he replied with his usual calmness, "My good friend, I perfectly understand your hint, and am thankful to you for it; but where's the difference, whether I die at ■ friend's house, at ■ inn, or in a postchaise? I hope I ■ every way prepared for

---

\* General Biographical Dictionary, 8vo. 1812, vol. vii.

such an event, and perhaps it is well to elude the expectation of it." This [REDACTED] said like a man, and a friend. Brocklesby was not one who would cant about giving trouble at such a moment,—the screen of those who hate to be troubled; neither would he grudge a friend the melancholy satisfaction of giving him a bed to die in. He better understood the first principles which give light and life to the world, and left jealousy and misgiving to the vulgar.

Dr. Brocklesby died at his house in the street above mentioned, and was buried in the churchyard. Lee was buried, "at St. Clement Danes;" probably, therefore, in the churchyard also. There are now in that spot some trees, by far the best things about the church. The reader may imagine them to shade the places where the poet and the physician lie.

Arundel or Norfolk House, after the great fire, became the temporary place of meeting for the Royal Society, previously to its return to Gresham College. It was pulled down on their leaving it, the century before last, and the streets before mentioned built in its room. They appear to have been favourite places of residence with persons connected with the drama. Congreve lived in Surrey Street, Mountford the player in Norfolk Street, Mrs. Bracegirdle in Howard Street, and Mrs. Barry somewhere near her.

Congreve died where he had lived (Jan. 29. 1728-9), after having been for several years afflicted with blindness and gout; of which, however, he seems to have made the best he could, by the help of good sense and naturally good spirits. If his wits ever failed him, it was in the propensity to a love of rank and fashion, which, in spite of all that he had [REDACTED] in the world, never forsook him. It originated probably in the need he thought he had of them, when he first set out in life. The

finest ■ of ■ of his cast does not rise above ■ graceful selfishness. It was most probably in Surrey Street (for he had come to the "verge of life"), that he had ■ visit paid him by Voltaire, who has recorded the disgust given him by ■ ebullition of his foppery: for the Frenchman had ■ great admiration of him ■ ■ writer. "Congreve spoke of his works," says Voltaire, "as of trifles that were beneath him; and hinted to me, in our first conversation, that I should visit him upon no other foot than upon that of ■ gentleman, who led a life of plainness and simplicity. I answered, *that had he been so unfortunate ■ to be a mere gentleman*, I should never have come to ■ him; and I ■ very much disgusted at so unseasonable ■ piece of vanity."\* Our readers will admire the fineness of this rebuke.

But the most glaring instance of this propensity was his leaving the bulk of his fortune to a duchess, when he had ~~poor~~ relations in want of it.

"Having lain in state," says Johnson, "in the Jerusalem Chamber, he was buried in Westminster Abbey, where ■ monument is erected to his memory by Henrietta, Duchess of Marlborough, to whom, for reasons either not known or not mentioned, he bequeathed ■ legacy of about ten thousand pounds, the accumulation of attentive parsimony, which, though to her superfluous and useless, might have given great assistance to the ancient family from which he descended; at that time, by the imprudence of his relation, reduced to difficulties and distress."†

"Congreve," says Dr. Young, "was very intimate for years with Mrs. Bracegirdle, who lived in the ■ street, his house very near hers; until his acquaintance with the young Duchess of Marlborough. He then quitted that house. The duchess showed ■ a diamond necklace (which Lady Di. used afterwards to wear), that cost ■ thousand pounds, and was

\* Letters on the English Nation.

† Life, in Chalmers's English Poets, p. 26.



purchased with the money Congreve left her. How much better would it have been to have given it to poor Mrs. Bracegirdle!"\*

Yet this dramatist, throughout his life, had had the good word of everybody. All parties praised him: all parties kept him in office (he had some places that are said to have produced him twelve hundred a year): Pope dedicated his *Iliad* to him; called him, after his death, *Ultimus Romanorum*; and added that "Garth, Vanbrugh, and he were the three most honest-hearted, real good men, of the Kit-Kat Club."†

The secret of this is, that Congreve loved above all things to be at his ease, and spoke politely of everybody. He had a bad opinion of mankind, as we may see by his comedies; and he made the best of it, by conversing with them as if he took heed of their claws. The only person, I believe, that he ever opposed, was Collier, who attacked the stage with more spirit than elegance, and who was at enmity with the whole world of wit and fashion. We are far from thinking with Collier, that the abuses of the stage outweigh the benefit it does to the world; nor do we think the world by any means so bad as Congreve supposed it, nor himself either: but it is useful to know the tendencies of those who have a habit of thinking otherwise.

Congreve's bequest created a good deal of gossip. Curll, the principal scandal-monger of those times, got up a catch-penny life of him, professing to be written by "Charles Wilson, Esq.," but supposed to be the work of Oldmixon. There is no relying upon Charles Wilson; but, from internal evidence, we may take his word occasionally; and we may believe him when he says that the duchess and her friends were alarmed at the threatened

\* \* Spence's Anecdotes, p. 376.

† Idem, p. 46.

book. The picture which he draws of her manner has also an air like a woman of quality. She had demanded ■ sight of the documents on which the book was founded; and being refused, asked what authority they had, and what pieces contained in it were genuine. "Upon being civilly told there would be found several essays, letters, and characters of that gentleman's writing," says Mr. Wilson, "she, with a most affected, extraordinary, dramatic drawl, cried out, 'Not one single sheet of paper, I dare to swear.'"<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Wilson's own grand air in return is very amusing. He speaks of Arbuthnot's coming with "expresses," probably to Curll's; and adds, that if he be despatched with any more, "he may, if he please, come to me, who ■ as easily to be found in Great Russell Street, Bloomsbury, *when in town*, ■ he is in Burlington Gardens.—Cha. Wilson."

Mr. Wilson's book opens with ■ copy of the will, in which 500*l.* are left among the Congreves; about 500*l.* more to friends and domestics, &c. (not omitting 200*l.* to Mrs. Bracegirdle); and all the rest (with power to annul or increase the complimentary part of the legacies) to the Duchess of Malborough. We know not that anybody could have brought forward grounds for objecting to this will, had the duchess been poor herself; for his relations may or may not have had claims upon him,—relations, ■ such, not being of necessity friends, though it is generally fit that they should partake of the family prosperity. We except, of course, a man's immediate kindred, particularly those whom he has brought into the world. But here was ■ woman, rolling in wealth, and relatives

---

<sup>\*</sup> Memoirs of the Life, Writings, &c. of William Congreve, Esq., 1730; p. xi. Curll discreetly omits his ■ in the titlepage. [On reconsidering this interview (though ■ have ■ longer the book by us, and therefore speak from memory) we are doubtful, whether the lady ■

neither entirely forgotten, ■ yet, it seems, properly assisted. The bequest must, therefore, either have been ■ mere piece of vanity, or the consequence of habitual subjection to a woman's humours. The duchess was not ungrateful to his memory. She raised him, as we have seen, ■ monument; and it is related in Cibber's *Lives of the Poets*\*, we know not on what authority, that she missed his company so much, ■ to cause "an image of him to be placed every day on her toilet-table, to which she would talk as to the living Mr. Congreve, with all the freedom of the most *polite* and *unreserved* conversation." There is something very ludicrous in this way of putting a case, which might otherwise be affecting. It is as if there had been a sort of polite mania on both sides.

Congreve's plays are exquisite of their kind, and the excessive heartlessness and duplicity of some of his characters are not to be taken without allowance for the *ugly ideal*. There is something not natural, both in his characters and wit; and we read him rather to ■ how entertaining he can make his superfine ladies and gentlemen, and what a pack of sensual busybodies they are, like insects over a pool, than from any true sense of them ■ "men and women." As a companion he must have been exquisite to ■ woman of fashion. We can believe that the duchess, in ignorance of any tragic emotion but what was mixed with his loss, would really talk with a waxen image of him in a peruke, and think the universe contained nothing better. It was carrying wit and politeness beyond the grave. Queen Constance in Shakspeare makes grief put on the pretty looks of her lost child: the Duchess of Malborough made it put on a wig and jaunty air, such as she had given her friend in his monument in Westminster Abbey. No criticism on his plays could

---

\* *Lives of the Poets, &c.* by Mr. Cibber and others, 1753.

be more perfect. Congreve's serious poetry is ■ refreshment, from its extreme insipidity and common-place. Everybody is innocent in some corner of the mind, and has faith in something. Congreve had no faith in his fellow-creatures, but he had ■ scholar's (not a poet's) belief in nymphs and weeping fauns; and he wrote elegies full of them, upon queens and marquisses. If it be true that he wrote the character of Aspasia (Lady Elizabeth Hastings) in the *Tatler* (No. 42.), he had indeed faith in something better; for in that paper is not only given ■ admiring account of a person of very exalted excellence, but the author has said of her one of the finest things that a sincere heart could utter; namely, that "to love her was a liberal education." We cannot help thinking, however, that the generous and trusting hand of Steele is very visible throughout this portrait; and in the touch just mentioned, in particular.

The engaging manners of Mrs. Bracegirdle gave rise to a tragical circumstance in Howard Street—the death of Mountford her fellow player. Mrs. Bracegirdle, one of the most popular actresses of that time, was a brunette, not remarkable for her beauty, but so much so for the attractiveness superior to beauty, that Cibber calls her the "darling of the stage," and says it was ■ kind of fashion for the young men about town to have a tenderness for her. This general regard she preserved by setting ■ value on herself, not so ■ with actresses at that time as it has been since. Accordingly, some made honourable proposals, which were then still more remarkable. In Rowe's poems, there is ■ bantering epistle to an Earl of S——, advising him not to care for what people might think, but pursue his inclinations to that effect. Among others a Captain Hill made desperate love, professing the same intentions; but he was ■ of bad character, and the lady would have nothing to say to him. The captain,

like a proper coxcomb, took it in his head that nothing could have prevented his success, but some other person; and he fixed upon Mountford ■ the happy man. Mountford was the best lover and finest gentleman then on the stage, as Mrs. Bracegirdle was the most charming heroine; but it does not appear that Hill had any greater ground for his suspicion than their frequent performance in the same play, which, however, to a jealous man, must have been extremely provoking. They used to act Alexander and Statira together. In Mountford's Alexander, according to Cibber, there were seen "the great, the tender, the penitent, the despairing, the transported, and the amiable, in the highest perfection;" and "if anything," he said, "could excuse that desperate extravagance of love, that almost frantic passion," it was when Mrs. Bracegirdle was the Statira. Imagine ■ dark-souled fellow in the pit thinking himself in love with this Statira, and that the passion between her and the Alexander was real. This play was acted a few nights before the catastrophe which we are about to relate.

Hill was intimate with another man of bad character, Lord Mohun; who agreed to assist him in carrying off Mrs. Bracegirdle. The captain had often said that he would be "revenged" upon Mountford; and dining with Lord Mohun on the day when they attempted the execution of their plot, he said, further, that he would "stab" him "if he resisted;" upon which Mohun said that he would "stand by his friend."

Mohun and Hill met at the playhouse at six o'clock, changed clothes there, and waited some time for Mrs. Bracegirdle; but not finding her come, they took ■ coach which they had ordered to be ready, drove towards her lodgings in Howard Street, and then back to Drury Lane, where they directed the coach to stop near Lord Clare's



girdle had been supping at a Mr. Page's, in Princes Street, Drury Lane. She came out, accompanied by her mother, brother, and Mr. Page, and ■■■ seized by Hill, who, with the aid of a number of soldiers, endeavoured to force her into the coach. In the coach was Lord Mohun, with seven or eight pistols. Old Mrs. Bracegirdle threw her arms round her daughter's waist; her other friends, and at length the passengers, interfered; and our heroine succeeded in getting into her lodgings in Howard Street, Hill and Mohun following them on foot. When they all came to the door, Hill would have spoken with Page, but the latter refused; and the door was shut. A witness, at the trial of Lord Mohun, deposed, that they knocked several times at the door, and then the captain entreated to beg pardon of Mrs. Bracegirdle for having affronted her, but in vain.

Hill and Mohun remained in the street. They sent to a tavern for a bottle of wine, and perambulated before the door with drawn swords. Mrs. Browne, the mistress of the house, came out to know what they did there; upon which Hill said that he would light upon Mountford some day or other, and that he would be revenged on him. The people in-doors, upon this, sent to Mountford's house in Norfolk Street, to inform his wife; and she despatched messengers to all the places where he was likely to be found, to warn him of his danger, but they could not meet with him. Meanwhile the constables and watchmen come up and ask the strangers what they mean. They say they are drinking a bottle of wine. Lord Mohun adds that he is ready to put up his sword; remarking, withal, that he is a "peer of the realm." Upon asking why the other gentleman did not put up his, his lordship tells them, that his friend had lost the scabbard. The watchmen, like "ancient and quiet watchmen," go away to the tavern to "examine who they ■■■;" and in the meantime Mount-

ford makes his appearance coming up the street. Mountford lived in Norfolk Street, but he turned out of the path that led to his own house, and was coming towards Mrs. Bracegirdle's—whether to her house, or to any other, does not appear. By this time two hours had elapsed. Mrs. Browne, who seems to have remained watching at the door, caught sight of Mountford, and hastened to warn him how he advanced. She was either not quick enough, or Mountford (which appears most likely) pressed on in spite of what she said, and, according to her statement, the following dialogue took place between him and Lord Mohun:—

“Your humble servant, my lord.”

“Your servant, Mr. Mountford.—I have a great respect for you, Mr. Mountford, and would have no difference between us; but there is a thing fallen out between Mr. Hill and Mrs. Bracegirdle.”

“My lord, has my wife disoblged your lordship? if she has, she shall ask your pardon. But Mrs. Bracegirdle is no concern of mine: I know nothing of this matter; I come here by accident. But I hope your lordship will not vindicate Hill in such actions as these are.”

Upon this, according to Mrs. Browne's statement, Hill bade Mountford draw; which the other said he would, but whether he received his wound before or after she could not tell, owing to its being night-time.

Another female witness, who lived next door, gives the dialogue as follows. Lord Mohun begins:—

“Mr. Mountford, your humble servant. I am glad to see you” (embracing him).

“Who is this? my Lord Mohun?”

“Yes, it is.”

“What bringeth your lordship here at this time of night?”

“I suppose you were sent for, Mr. Mountford?”

“No, indeed; I came by chance.”

“You have heard of the business of Mrs. Bracegirdle?”

*Hill* (interfering). “Pray, my lord, hold your tongue.

This is not a convenient time to discuss this business." (On saying which, the witness adds, that he would have drawn Mohun away.)

*Mountford.* "I am very sorry, my lord, to see that your lordship should assist Captain Hill in so ill an action as this: pray let me desire your lordship to forbear."

As soon as he had uttered these words Hill, according to the witness, came up and struck Mountford a box on the ear; upon which the latter demanded with an oath, "what that was for;" and then she gives a confused account of the result, which was the receipt of a mortal wound by the poor actor. It was agreed that Mountford's sword was not drawn in the first instance, and that Hill's was; and the question was settled by the dying deposition of Mountford, who stated several times over, that Lord Mohun offered him no violence, but that Hill struck him with his left hand, and then ran him through the body, before he had time to draw in defence.

Mountford died next day. Hill fled at the time, and we hear no more of him. Mohun was tried for his life, but acquitted, for want of evidence, of malice prepense. The truth is, he was a great fool, and Hill appears to have been another. The captain himself, probably, did not know what he intended, though his words would have hung him had he been caught. They were a couple of box-lobby swaggerers, who had heated themselves with wine; and Hill, who told the constables "they might knock him down if they liked," and was for drawing Mohun away on Mountford's appearance, was most likely overcome with rage and jealousy at hearing the latter speak of him with rebuke. Mohun was at that time very young. He never ceased, however, hankering after this sort of excitement to his dulness, till he got killed in a duel about an estate with the Duke of Hamilton, who was at the same time mortally wounded. Swift, in

a letter about it, calls Mohun a "dog." Pennant says, that when his body was taken home bleeding (to his house in Gerrard Street), Lady Mohun was very angry at its being flung "upon the best bed."\*

In front of the spot now occupied by St. Mary-le-Strand, commonly called the New Church, anciently stood a cross, at which, says Stowe, "in the year 1294, and other times, the justices itinerant sat without London." In the place of this cross was set up a May-pole, by a blacksmith named John Clarges, whose daughter Ann became the wife of Monk, Duke of Albemarle. It was for a long time in a state of decay, and having been taken down in 1713, a new one was erected opposite Somerset House. This second May-pole had two gilt balls and a vane on the summit, and was decorated on holidays with flags and garlands. The races in the "Dunciad" take place

"Where the tall May-pole overlook'd the Strand."

It was removed in 1718, probably being thought in the way of the new church, which was then being finished. Sir Isaac Newton begged it of the parish, and afterwards sent it to the rector of Wanstead, who set it up in Wanstead Park to support the then largest telescope in Europe. The gift of John Clarges came a day too late. In old times, May had been a great holiday in the streets of London. We shall speak further of it when we come to the parish of St. Andrew Undershaft, so called from a May-pole higher than the church. But though the holiday returned with the Restoration, it never properly recovered the disuse occasioned by the civil wars, and the contempt thrown on it by the spirit

---

\* Pennant's London, *ut supra*, p. 124. Swift's Letters to Stella. The particulars of the case ■ taken from Howell's State Trials, vol. xii. 947.

of puritanism. We gained too many advantages by the thoughtfulness generated in those times to quarrel with their mistakes; and have ■ doubt that the progress of knowledge to which they gave an impulse, will bring back the advantages they omitted by the way.\*

The New Church, or, more properly, the Church of St. Mary-le-Strand, was built by Gibbs, the architect of St. Martin-in-the-Fields. It was one of the "fifty," improperly so called, that are said to have been built in the reign of Queen Anne; for though fifty were ordered, the number was not completed. The old church in this quarter, which stood at a little distance to the south, was removed by the Protector Somerset, to make way for Somerset House, and has never been restored. The parishioners went to the neighbouring churches. The New Church is in the pretty, over-ornamented style, very different from that of St. Martin's with its noble front; and though far better than St. Clement's, and as superior to many places of worship built lately † as art is superior to ignorance, yet it surely is not worthy of its advantageous situation. It is one of those toys of architecture, which have been said to require glass cases. For the superfluous height of the steeple, Gibbs offered an excuse. A column was to have been erected near the church in honour of Queen Anne, but, as the queen died, she was no longer thought deserving the column, and the architect was

---

\* "Captain Baily, said to have accompanied Raleigh in his last expedition to Guiana, employed four hackney coaches, with drivers in liveries, to ply at the May-pole in the Strand, fixing his own rates, about the year 1634. • Baily's coaches ■ to have been the first of what are now called hackney-coaches; ■ term at that time applied indiscriminately to all coaches let for hire." — The favourite Buckingham, about the year 1619, introduced the sedan. The post-chaise, invented in France, was introduced by Mr. Tull, ■ of the well-known writer on husbandry. The stage first came in about the year 1775; and mail-coaches appeared in 1785. — See a note to the *Tatler*, ■ above, vol. iv. p. 415.



ordered to make a steeple with the materials, whereas he had intended only a belfry. Now, to render the steeple fitting, the church should have had ■ wider base; but the structure was already begun, and there was no changing the plan of it. It might be still argued, that the steeple should not have been made so high: but then, what was to be done with the stones? This, in the mouth of parish virtù, was a triumphant reply. After all, however, the artist need not have spoilt his church with ornament. He said, that being situated in ■ very public place, “the parishioners” spared no cost to beautify it; but to beautify ■ church is not to make it a piece of confectionery.\*

Somerset House occupies the site of a princely mansion built by Somerset the Protector, brother of Lady Jane Seymour, and uncle to King Edward VI. His character is not sufficiently marked to give any additional interest to the spot. He was great by accident; lost and gained his greatness, according as others acted upon it; and ultimately resigned it on the scaffold. The house he left became the property of the crown, and was successively in possession of Queen Elizabeth and of the queens of James I., Charles I., and Charles II.

The rooms in this house witnessed many joyous scenes and many anxious ones. Somerset had not long inhabited it when he was taken to the scaffold. Elizabeth, in her wise economy, lent it to her cousin Lord Hunsdon, whom she frequently visited within its walls.

During its occupation by James’s queen, Anne of Denmark (from whose family it was called Denmark House),

---

\* The faults of the New Church are, that it is too small for the steeple; that it is divided into two stories, which make it still smaller; that the entablature on the north and south parts is too frequently interrupted; that pediments are “affectedly put over each projection;” in a word, that a little object is cut up into too many little parts, and rendered fantastic with embellishment. See the opinions of Gwynn, Ralph, and Malton, quoted in Brayley’s *London and Middlesex*, vol. iv. p. 199.

Wilson says, that a constant masquerade was going on, the queen and her ladies, "like many sea-nymphs, or nereids," appearing in various dresses, "to the ravishment of the beholders." \*

Here began the struggle for mastery between Charles I. and Henrietta Maria, which terminated in favour of the latter, though the king behaved himself manfully at first. Henrietta had brought over with her a meddling French household, which, after repeated grievances, his Majesty was obliged to send "packing." He summoned them all together one evening in the house, and addressed them as follows : —

"Gentlemen and ladies,

"I am driven to that extremity, I am personally come to acquaint you, that I very earnestly desire your return into France. True it is, the deportment of some amongst you hath been very inoffensive to me; but others again have dallied with my patience, and so highly affronted me, as I cannot, and will not, longer endure it." †

"The King's address implicating no one, was immediately followed by a volley of protestations of innocence. An hour after he had delivered his commands, Lord Conway announced to the foreigners, that early in the morning carriages and carts and horses would be ready for them and their baggage. Amidst a scene of confusion, the young bishop (he was scarcely of age) protested that this was impossible; that they owed debts in London, and that much was due to them. On the following day, the *procureur-general* of the Queen flew to the keeper of the great seal at the privy council, requiring admission to address his Majesty, then present at his council, on matters important to himself and the Queen. This being denied, he exhorted them to maintain the Queen in all her royal prerogatives; and he was answered, 'So we do.'

\* Life of James I. quoted in Pennant, p. 155.

† L'Estrange's Life of Charles I., quoted in D'Israeli's Commentaries on the Life and Reign of Charles I., vol. ii. p. 218.

"Their prayers and disputes served to postpone their departure. Their conduct during this time was not very decorous. It appears, by a contemporary letter-writer, that they flew to take possession of the Queen's wardrobe and jewels. They did not leave her ■ change of linen, since it was with difficulty her Majesty procured one. Every one now looked to lay his hand ■ what he might call his own. Every thing he could touch ■ a perquisite. One extraordinary\*expedient ■ that of inventing bills to the amount of ten thousand pounds, for articles and other engagements in which they had entered for the service of the Queen, which her Majesty acknowledged, but afterwards confessed that the debts were fictitious."\*

"In truth," continues the writer, "the breaking up of this French establishment was ruinous to the individuals who had purchased their places at the rate of life annuities." Charles now grew indignant, and sent the following letter to Buckingham:—

■ Steenie†,

"I have receaved your letter by Dic Greame (Sir Richard Grahame). This is my answer: I command you to send all the French away to-morrow out of the towne, if you can by fair meanes (but stike not long in disputing), otherways force them away, dryving them away lyke ■ manie wilde beastes, until ye have shipped them, and so the devil goe with them. Let me heare no answer, but of the performance of my command. So I rest.

"Your faithful, constant, loving friend,

"C. R."

"Oaking,

"The seventh of August, 1626."

"This order put ■ end to the delay, but the King paid the

\* L'Estrange's Life of Charles I.

† Steenie, — ■ familiarisation of Stephen. The ■ ■ given Buckingham by James I., in reference to the beauty of St. Stephen, whose face, during his martyrdom, is described in the New Testament as shining like that of an angel.

debts, the fictitious ones and all—at the cost, as it appears, of fifty thousand pounds. Even the haughty beauty, Madame St. George, was presented by the king on her dismissal with several thousand pounds and jewels.”

Still the French could not go quietly. “The French bishop,” says D’Israeli, “and the whole party having contrived all sorts of delays to avoid the expulsion, the yeomen of the guard were sent to turn them out of Somerset House; whence the juvenile prelate, at the same time making his protest and mounting the steps of the coach, took his departure ‘head and shoulders.’ In a long procession of near forty coaches, *after four days tedious travelling*, they reached *Dover*; but the spectacle of these impatient foreigners so reluctantly quitting England, gesticulating their sorrows or their quarrels, exposed them to the derision, and stirred up the prejudices, of the common people. As Madame St. George, whose vivacity is always described as extremely French, was stepping into the boat, one of the mob could not resist the satisfaction of flinging a stone at her French cap. An English courtier who was conducting her, instantly quitted his charge, ■■■ the fellow through the body, and quietly returned to the boat. The man died on the spot, but no further notice appears to have been taken of the inconsiderate gallantry of the English courtier.”

Henrietta had a magnificent Catholic chapel in Somerset House, and a cloister of Capuchins. The former has given occasion to some interesting descriptions of papal show and spectacle in the commentaries just quoted.\*

Cromwell’s body lay in state at Somerset House, ■■■ Monk’s did afterwards, probably ■■■ that account.

Pepys, the prince of gossips, gives an edifying picture of the presence chamber in this palace, when the queens

---

\* See the account of the Paradise of Glory, in vol. ii. p. 225. ~

of the two Charleses were there together, ■ little after the Restoration :

“Meeting Mr. Pierce the chyrurgeon,” says he, “he took me into Somerset House, and there carried me into the queene-mother’s presence chamber, where she was with our own queene sitting on her left hand, whom I did never see before, and though she be not very charming, yet she hath a good, modest, and innocent look, which is pleasing. Here I also saw Madame Castlemaine; and, which pleased me most, Mr. Crofts, the king’s bastard, a most pretty sparke of about fifteen years old, who, I perceive, do hang much upon my Lady Castlemaine, and is always with her; and, I hear, the queenes both are mighty kind to him. By and by, in comes the king, and anon the duke and his duchesse; so that they being all together, was such a sight, as I never could almost have happened to see, with so much ease and leisure. They staid till it was dark and then went away; the king and his queene, and my Lady Castlemaine and young Crofts, in ■ coach, and the rest in other coaches. Here were great stores of great ladies, but very few handsome. The king and queene were very merry; and he would have made the queene-mother believe that his queene was with child, and said that she said so, and the young queene answered, ‘you lye;’ which was the first English word that I ever heard her say: which made the king good sport.”\*

After this we shall not wonder at the following : —

“30th (Dec. 1662). Visited Mrs. Ferrer and staid talking with her a good while, there being ■ little proud, ugly, talking little lady there, that was much crying up the queene-mother’s court at Somerset House above our own queen’s; there being before her no allowance of laughing and the mirth that is at others; and, indeed, it is observed that the greatest court now-a-days is there.”†


---

\* Memoirs of Samuel Pepys, Esq. 2nd edition, vol. i. p. 309.

† Id. p. 357.



The following print represents Old Somerset House, as it appeared in the reign of Charles II. We have seen, but in vain endeavoured to procure for this book, ■



scarce one by Hollar, in which the towers in the back ground mark out the front in the Strand, and a tall May-pole to the right was the May-pole of John Clarges. The front, looking on the river, was added by Charles II. Inigo Jones was the architect. In Hollar's print it gives us a taste of the banquetting room at Whitehall in its elevation, and in the harmonies of the windows and pilasters. Below is a portico; and there is another to the right. The chapel, with an enclosure to the left, was the Catholic one; the houses by it, the cloisters of the Capuchins. There was a figure walking in the chapel garden, whom, by his gesticulating arm, we might imagine to be the queen's confessor, studying his to-morrow's sermon, or thinking how he shall get the start of the king's chaplain in saying grace. A curious scene of this kind is worth extracting. "Once," Mr. D'Israeli informs us, "when the king and queen were dining together in the presence, Hacket being to say grace, the queen's confessor would have anticipated him, and an indecorous

race was run between the Catholic priest and the Protestant chaplain, till the latter shoved him aside, and the king pulling the dishes to him, the carvers performed their office. Still the confessor, standing by the queen, was on the watch to be before Hacket for the after-grace, but Hacket again got the start. The confessor, however, resounded the grace louder than the chaplain, and the king, in great passion, instantly rose, taking the queen by the hand." The bowling-green that we read of is probably between the two rows of trees to the right, in front of the right portico (the left, if considered from the house). The garden is in the most formal style of the parterre, where

—— "each alley has his brother,  
And half the platform just reflects the other ;"

a style, however, not without its merits, particularly in admitting so many walks among the flowers, and inviting a pace up and down between the trees. Milton, though he made a different garden for his Eden, spoke of "trim gardens," as enjoyed by "retired leisure." In this back front were the apartments of the court. The scene we have just been reading in Pepys must have passed in one of them. Here Charles the First's widow lived with her supposed husband, the Earl of St. Alban's ; though she was not so constant to the place as Waller prophesied she would be. She had been used to too much power as a queen, and found she had too little as a dowager. Poor Catherine remained as long as she could. She lived here till she returned to Portugal, in the reign of William III. Speaking of Waller, we must not quit the premises without noticing a catastrophe that befel him at the water-gate, or Somerset-stairs (also, by the way, the work of Inigo Jones). Waller, according to Aubrey, had but "a tender weak body, but was always very temperate." —— (we know not who this is) "made

him damnable drunk at Somerset House, where, at the water stayres, he fell down, and had a cruel fall. "Twas ■ pity to use such a sweet ■■■ so inhumanly."\* Waller, who, notwithstanding his weak body, lived to be old, was ■ water-drinker; but he had ■ poet's wine in his veins, and was excellent company. Saville said, "that nobody should keep him company without drinking, but Ned Waller."

Subsequently to Catharine's departure, old Somerset House was chiefly used as ■ residence for princes from other countries when on a visit. It was pulled down towards the end of the last century, and the present structure erected by Sir William Chambers, but left unfinished. The unfinished part, which is towards the east, is now in a state of completion, as the King's College. The only memorial remaining of the old palace and its outhouses is in the wall of a house opposite the shop of Mr. Limbird in the Strand, where the sign of a lion still survives a number of other signs, noticed in a list made at the time, and common at that period to houses of all descriptions.

The area of New Somerset House occupies a large space of ground, the basement of the back-front being in the river. Three sides of it are appropriated to ■ variety of public offices, connected with trade, commerce, and civil economy; and the front was lately dignified by the occupancy of the Royal and Antiquarian Societies and the Royal Academy of Painting. The structure was an ambitious one on the part of the architect, and upon the whole is elegant but timid. There is a look of fragility in it. It has the extent, but not the majesty, of a national emporium. Rules are violated in some instances for the sake of trifles, as is the case of pillars "standing on nothing and supporting nothing;" and in others, it would seem out of ■ dread of the result, as in the instance of the huge basement over the water, supporting a cupola, which is petty in the com-

---

■ Lives and Letters, ■ above.

parison. Sir William did well in wishing to have ■ imposing front towards the river ; but he might have had another towards the Strand, nobler than the present one. The lower part is nothing better than ■ pillared coachway. However, the front of the story is, perhaps, the best part of the whole building. It presents a graceful harmony in the proportions.

The Royal Society, which originated in the college rooms of Dr. Wilkins, afterwards-bishop of Chester, met, when it was incorporated, at Old Gresham College in Aldersgate Street ; then at Arundel House (on account of the fire) ; then returned to Gresham College ; and, after a variety of other experiments upon lodging, was settled by the late king in New Somerset House. This society, on its foundation, was much ridiculed by the wits. Though its ends were great, it naturally busied itself with little things ; pragmatistical and pedantic persons naturally enough got mixed up with it ; some of its members had foibles of enthusiasm and pedantry, which were easily confounded with their capacities ; and the jokes were most likely encouraged by the king (Charles II.), who, though fond of scientific experiments, and wearing a grave face in presence of the learned body (of which he declared himself ■ member), was not a man to forego such an opportunity of jesting. Wilkins wrote ■ book to show that ■ man might go to the moon ; and the ethical common-places of Boyle (who was as great a natural philosopher as he was a poor moralist) were the origin of Swift's *Essay on the Tritical Faculties of the Mind*. Then there was the good Evelyn with his hard words, wondering sentimentally at every thing ; and jolly Pepys marvelling like Sancho Panza. The readers of Pepys's *Diary* have been surprised at his not liking *Hudibras*. Perhaps one reason was, that Butler was the greatest of the jesters against the society. It was impossible not to

laugh at the jokes, in which he charges them with attempting to

“ Search the moon by her own light ;  
To take an inventory of all  
Her real estate and personal ; —  
To measure wind, and weigh the air,  
And turn a circle to a square ;  
And in the braying of an ass,  
Find out the treble and the bass ;  
If mares neigh *alto*, and a cow  
In double diapason low.” \*

Evelyn got angry, and pretended to be calm. Cowley expressed his anger with a generous indignation. The following passage in his *Ode to the Society* concludes with a fine, appropriate simile. “ Mischief and true dishonour,” says he,

—————“ fall on those  
Who would to laughter and to scorn expose  
So virtuous and so noble a design,  
So human for its use, for knowledge so divine.  
The things which these proud men despise and call  
Impertinent, and vain, and small,  
Those smallest things of Nature let me know,  
Rather than all their greatest actions do !  
Whoever would deposed Truth advance  
Into the throne usurped from it,  
Must feel at first the blows of Ignorance,  
And the sharp points of envious Wit.  
So, when, by various turns of the celestial dance  
In many thousand years  
A star, so long unknown, appears,  
Though Heaven itself ■■■■ beauteous by it grow,  
It troubles and alarms the world below,  
Does to the wise a star, to fools ■ meteor, show.” †

\* See three Poems in his *Genuine Remains*. — *Chalmers's British Poets*, vol. viii. p. 187.

† *British Poets*, vol. vii. p. 101.



Perhaps a part of the jealousy against the Royal Society arose from a notion which has since become not uncommon, that bodies of this nature, incorporated by kings, are calculated rather to limit inquiry, than to enlarge it. Without stopping to discuss this point, we shall merely observe, that the real greatness of all such bodies, like those of nations themselves, must arise from the greatness of individuals; and that whether the bodies give any lustre to them or not, there is no denying that the individuals give lustre to the bodies. When Sir Isaac Newton became president, jesting ceased.

It is pleasant to think, while passing Somerset House, in the midst of the noise of a great thoroughfare, that philosophical speculation is, perhaps, going on within those graceful walls; that in the midst of all sorts of new things, sight is not lost of the venerable beauties of old; and that art, as well as philosophy, is considering what it shall do for our use and entertainment. The Antiquarian Society originated as far back as the sixteenth century (about the year 1580), and held its first sittings in a room in the Herald's College; but it did not receive a charter till the year 1751. Neither Elizabeth nor James would give it one, fearful, perhaps, of bringing up discussions on matters connected with politics and religion. Elizabeth has now become one of the most interesting of its heroines. There is no society, we think, more likely to increase with age, and to outgrow half-witted objection. The growth of time adds daily to its stock; and as reflecting men become interested in behalf of ages to come, they naturally turn with double sympathy towards the periods that have gone by, and to the multitudes of beating hearts that have become dust. We should like to see the society in a venerable building of its own, raised in some quiet spot, with trees about it, and with painted windows reflecting light through old heraldry.


The Royal Academy of Painters, now removed to Trafalgar Square, first met in Saint Martin's Lane, under the title of the Society of Artists of Great Britain. They had a division among them, which gave rise to the establishment as it now stands ; and are a flourishing body, we believe, in point of funds. Of the deceased members who have done them honour, we shall speak when we come to their abodes.

The Turk's Head Coffeehouse, near Somerset House, was frequented by Dr. Johnson.

In a lodging opposite Somerset House, died the facetious Dr. King, whom we have mentioned in speaking of Doctors' Commons. He had been residing in the house of a friend in the garden-grounds between Lambeth and Vauxhall, where he stuck so close to his books and bottle, that he began to decline with the autumn, and shut himself up from his friends. Lord Clarendon, who resided in Somerset House, and was his relation, sent his sister to fetch him to a lodging he had prepared for him over the way, where he died before the lapse of many hours, while all the world were busy with the meats and mince-pies he had so often celebrated ; for it was Christmas-day. Dr. King was the author of ■ *Art of Cookery*, in which he pleasantly bantered a learned Kitchener of his time ; though no man had a livelier relish of their subjects than he. But he wished the relish to be lively in others. At least, he wished them to be *leviter in modo*, if *graviter in re*. Though occasionally coarse, he had the right style of banter, and was of use to the Tories. In return, they would have been of use to him, if his habits would have let them. Swift procured him the place of Gazetteer ; but he soon got rid of it.

The precinct called the Savoy was anciently the seat of Peter, Earl of Savoy, who came into England to visit

whether the house was built or appointed for him, but on his death it became the property of the queen, who gave



it to her second son Edmund, afterwards Earl of Lancaster; and from his time the Savoy was reckoned part and parcel of the earldom and honour of Lancaster, afterwards the duchy. Henry VII. converted the palace into an hospital for the poor; and it remained so till the time of Charles II.; though the master and other officers, by an abuse which grew into a custom, appear to have had no regular inmates, except themselves. The poor were to apply, as it might happen; and what they got, depended on the generosity of the master. In answer to a question put by government in the reign of Queen Anne, it was stated by the lawyer and four chaplains, that "the statutes relating to the reception of the poor had not been observed within the memory of man."\* Charles II. put wounded soldiers and sailors into the hospital; and since his time, it appears to have been used for the reception of

---

\* *Londinium Redivivum*, vol. iv. p. 410.

soldiers and prisoners. Latterly, it was a prison for deserters.

The Savoy was the scene of a conference in Charles II.'s reign, between the church and the Presbyterians, in which possession was proved to be nine points of the Gospel, as well as law. The Presbyterians thought so when it was their turn to rule, and would have thought so again; and the progress of genuine Christianity has been a gainer by the mild sway of the Church of England.

In the chapel was buried old Gawen Douglas, the Chaucer of Scotland; and Anne Killegrew, celebrated by Dryden's ode for her poetry and painting. She was the daughter of one of the masters, Dr. Henry Killegrew, brother of the famous jester, and himself a man of talent.

Mrs. Anne Killegrew,

A grace for beauty, and a muse for wit,

had probably the honour, some day, of dining with her washerwoman's daughter, in the guise of Duchess of Albemarle; for John Clarges, the blacksmith, who lived in the Savoy, had a wife who was a washerwoman, and the washerwoman had a daughter, who took linen to Monk, when he was in the Tower, and married him. It is not commonly known that the validity of this marriage was contested. Upon the trial of an action at law between the representatives of Monk and Clarges, some curious particulars, says an article in the *Gentleman's Magazine*, came out respecting the family of the duchess.

"It appeared that she was the daughter of John Clarges, a farrier, in the Savoy, and farrier to Colonel Monk, in 1632. She was married in the church of St. Lawrence Pountney, to Thomas Ratford, son of Thomas Ratford, late a farrier, servant to Prince Charles, and resident in the Mews. She had a daughter who was born in 1634, and died in 1638. Her husband and she lived at the Three Spanish Gypsies, in the

New Exchange, and sold wash-balls, powder, gloves, and such things, and she taught girls plain work. About 1647, she, being a sempstress to Colonel Monk, used to carry him linen.' In 1648 her father and mother died. In 1649, she and her husband 'fell out and parted.' But no certificate from any parish register appears, reciting his burial. In 1652, she was married in the church of St. George, Southwark, to 'General George Monk;' and in the following year was delivered of a son, Christopher, (afterwards the second and last Duke of Albemarle), who was suckled by Honour Mills, who sold apples, herbs, oysters, &c. One of the plaintiff's witnesses swore, 'that a little before the sickness, Thomas Ratford demanded and received of him the sum of twenty shillings; that his wife saw Ratford again after the sickness, and a second time after the Duke and Duchess of Albemarle were dead.' A woman swore, 'she saw him on the day his wife (then called Duchess of Albemarle) was put into her coffin, which was after the death of the duke her second husband, who died the 3d of January, 1669-70.' And a third witness swore, that he saw Ratford about July 1660.' In opposition to this evidence, it was alleged, that 'all along, during the lives of Duke George and Duke Christopher, this matter was never questioned,' that the latter was universally received as only son of the former, and that 'this matter had been thrice before tried at the bar of the King's Bench, and the defendant had three verdicts.' A witness swore that ■ owed Ratford five or six pounds, which he had never demanded. And a man, who had married a cousin to the Duke of Albemarle, *had been told by his wife*, that Ratford *died five or six years* before the duke married. Lord Chief Justice Holt told the jury, 'If you are certain that Duke Christopher was born while Thomas Ratford was living, you must find for the plaintiff.—If you believe he ■ born after Ratford was dead, or that nothing appears what became of him after Duke George married his wife, you must find for the defendant.' A verdict ■ given for the defendant, who was only son to Sir Thomas Clarges, knight, brother to the illustrious duchess in question, who was created a baronet,



October 30, 1674, and ■■■ ancestor to the baronets of his name."\*

It does not appear on which of these accounts the jury found a verdict for the defendant,—whether because Ratford was dead, or because nothing had been heard of him; so that the duchess, after all, might have been no duchess. However, she carried it with as high ■ hand as if she had never been anything else, and Monk had been a blacksmith. There are some amusing notices of her in Pepys.

"8th (March, 1661-2). At noon, Sir W. Batten, Col. Slingsby, and I, by coach to the Tower, to Sir John Robinson's, to dinner, where great good cheer. High company, and among others the Duchess of Albemarle, who is ever a plain homely dowdy." †

"9th (Dec. 1665). My Lord Brouncker and I dined with the Duke of Albemarle. At table, the duchess, ■ very ill-looking woman, complaining of her lord's going to sea next year, said these cursed words:—'If my lord had been ■ coward, he had gone to sea no more; it may be then he might have been excused, and made an ambassador,' (meaning my Lord Sandwich). This made me mad, and I believe she perceived my countenance change, and blushed herself very much. I was in hopes others had not minded it, but my Lord Brouncker, after we came away, took notice of the words to me with displeasure." ‡

Lord Sandwich, the famous admiral, who has such light repute with posterity, was a relation of Pepys, and much connected with him in affairs. There does not appear to have been the least foundation for the duchess's charge; except, perhaps, that Sandwich had brains enough

---

■ Gentleman's Magazine for 1793, p. 88.

† Memoirs and Correspondence, as above, vol. i. p. 182.

‡ Vol. ii. p. 348.

to know the danger which he braved, while Monk knew nothing but how to fight and lie.

"4th (Nov. 1666). Pepys says, that Mr. Cooling tells him, "the Duke of Albemarle is grown ■ drunken sot, and drinks with nobody but Troutbecke, whom nobody else will keep company with. Of whom he told ■ this story; that once the Duke of Albemarle in his drink taking notice, as of a wonder, that Nan Hyde should ever come to be Duchess of York: 'Nay,' says Troutbecke, 'ne'er wonder at that, for if you will give me another bottle of wine, I will tell you as great, if not greater, miracle.' And what was that, but that our dirty Besse (meaning his duchess) should come to be Duchess of Albemarle."\*

"4th (April, 1667). I find the Duke of Albemarle at dinner with sorry company, some of his officers of the army; dirty dishes and a nasty wife at table, and bad meat, of which I made but an ill dinner. Colonel Howard asking how the Prince (Rupert) did (in the last fight), the Duke of Albemarle answering, 'Pretty well,' the other replied, 'But not so well as to go to sea again.'—'How!' says the duchess, 'what should he go for, if he were well, for there are no ships for him to command? And so you have brought your hogs to a fair market,' said she."†

"29th (March 1667-8). I do hear by several, that Sir W. Pen's going to sea do dislike the Parliament mightily, and that they have revived the Committee of Miscarriages, to find something to prevent it; and that he being the other day with the Duke of Albemarle, to ask his opinion touching his going to sea, the duchess overheard and ■ into him; and asked W. Pen how he durst have the confidence to go to sea again to the endangering of the nation, when he knew himself such a coward as he was; which, if true, is very severe."‡

The habit of charging cowardice against the first officers of the time, which was not confined to the duchess, is characteristic of the grossness of that period, the refine-

\* Vol. iii. p. 75.

† Id. p. 185.

‡ Vol. iv. p. 81.

ments of which were entirely artificial and modish. No people talked or acted more grossly than the finest gentlemen of the day, or believed more ill of one another; and it was not to be expected that the uneducated should be behindhand with them.

The Duchess of Albemarle is supposed to have had ■ considerable hand in the Restoration. She was a great loyalist, and Monk was afraid of her; so that it is likely enough she influenced his gross understanding, when it did not exactly know what to be at. Aubrey says, that her mother was one of the “five women barbers.” How these awful personages came up, we know not, — but he has quoted a ballad upon them:—

‘ Did you ever hear the like,  
Or ever hear the fame,  
Of five women barbers,  
That lived in Drury Lane?’\*

After all, the father, John Clarges, must have been a man of substance in his trade, to be enabled to set up the enormous May-pole which we see in the picture. But this did not prevent the daughter from growing up vulgar and foul-mouthed, and a very different person from the *Belles Ferronières* of old.

The Savoy, on the one side, with its Gothic gate and flint wall, and the splendid mansion called Exeter House on the other, appear in former times to have narrowed the highway hereabouts, as much as Exeter ’Change did lately.

At the corner of Beaufort Buildings flourished Mr. Lillie, the perfumer so often mentioned in the *Tatler*. He was secretary to Mr. Bickerstaff’s Court of Honour, in Shire Lane, where people had actions brought against them for pulling out their watches while their superiors

---

\* Granger’s Biographical History of England, 1824, vol. v. p. 359.

were talking ; and for brushing feathers off a gentleman's coat, with a cane "value fivepence." Lillie published two volumes of Contributions, of which the *Tatler* had made no use. We believe they had no merit. In Beaufort Buildings lived Aaron Hill, and at one time Fielding.

Southampton Street, a little to the west, on the other side of the way, has been much inhabited by wits and theatrical people. Congreve once lived there, Mrs. Bracegirdle, and Garrick. It was called Southampton Street from the noble family of that title, who are allied to the Bedford family, the proprietors.

On the ground of Cecil and Salisbury Streets, opposite Southampton Street, stood the mansion of Robert Cecil, first Earl of Salisbury, the cunning son of a wise father. It was he who, contriving to keep up to the last his interest with the Queen Elizabeth, and to oust his rivals, Essex and others, was the first to make secret terms with her successor James, and to prepare the way for his reception in England : of which, perhaps, Elizabeth was aware, when she lay moaning on the ground.

Where the Adelphi now stands, was Durham Place, originally a palace of the Bishops of Durham, who resigned it to Henry VIII. Henry made it the scene of magnificent tournaments. The Lord High Admiral Seymour caused the Mint to be established in this house, with a view to coin money for his designs on the throne. It was afterwards inhabited by Dudley, Earl of Northumberland, who here married his son to Lady Jane Grey. But its most illustrious tenant was Raleigh, to whom it was lent by Queen Elizabeth, and who lived in it during the attempt made at Essex House. The four turrets of the mansion, under the roof of which lived and speculated that romantic but equivocal person, have been marked out in an engraving from Hollar. Durham Place, though it got into royal hands during the fluctuation of religious

opinions, never seems to have been reckoned out of the pale of the bishopric of Durham; for Lord Pembroke bought it of that see in 1640, and pulled it down for the erection of houses on its site.

"Be it known," says the lively Pennant, speaking of the word 'place,' as applied to great mansions, and interpreted by him to mean palace, "that the word is only applicable to the habitations of princes, or princely persons, and that it is with all the impropriety of vanity bestowed on the houses of those who have luckily acquired money enough to pile on one another a greater quantity of stones or bricks than their neighbours. How many imaginary *parks* have been formed within precincts where deer were never seen! And how many houses misnamed *halls*, which never had attached to them the privilege of a manor."\*

This is true; but unless the words *palazzo* and *piazza* are traceable to the same root, *palatium*, (as perhaps they are), *place* does not of necessity mean *palace*; and palace certainly does not mean exclusively the habitation of princes or princely persons, (that is to say, supposing princeliness to exclude riches,) for in Italy, whence it comes, any large mansion may be called a palace; and many old palaces there were built by merchants. *Palatium*, it is true, with the old Romans, though it may have originally meant any house on Mount Palatine, yet in consequence of that place becoming the court end of the city, and containing the imperial palace, may have come ultimately to mean only a princely residence. Ovid uses it in that sense in his *Metamorphoses*.† But

\* Pennant, *ut supra*, p. 144.

† Where he likens Jupiter's house in the Milky Way to the palace of Augustus:—

■ Hic locus es, quem, si verbis audacia detur,  
Haud timeam magni dixisse Palatia coeli."

Lib. i. v. 175.

Which Sandys, by a felicitous conceit in the taste of his age (and of



custom is everything in these matters. Place is now used as a variety of term, either for ■ large house or street. Perhaps in both cases it ought to imply something of the look of a palace, or at least an openness of aspect analogous to that of ■ *square* — square in England, corresponding with *place*, *piazza*, and *plaza* on the Continent. The Piazza in Covent Garden, properly means the place itself, and not the portico.

“To the north of Durham Place, fronting the street,” says Pennant, “stood the *New Exchange*, which was built under the auspices of our monarch in 1608, out of the rubbish of the old stables of *Durham House*. The king, queen, and royal family, honoured the opening with their presence, and named it Britaine's Burse. It was built somewhat on the model of the Royal Exchange, with cellars beneath, ■ walk above, and rows of shops over that, filled chiefly with milliners, sempstresses, and the like. This ■■ a fashionable place of resort. In 1654, a fatal affair happened here. Mr. Gerard, ■ young gentleman, at that time engaged in ■ plot against Cromwell, was amusing himself in a walk beneath, when he was insulted by *Don Pantaleon de Saa*, brother to the Ambassador of Portugal, who, disliking the return he met with, determined on revenge. He came there the next day with a set of bravoës, who, mistaking another gentleman for Mr. Gerard, instantly put him to death, as he was walking with his sister in one hand and his mistress in the other. *Don Pantaleon* was tried, and with impartial justice condemned to the axe. Mr. Gerard, who about the same time was detected in the conspiracy, ■■ likewise condemned to die. By singular chance, both the rivals suffered on the scaffold, within a few hours of each other: Mr. Gerard with intrepid dignity; the *Portuguese* with all the pusillanimity of an assassin.

“Above stairs,” continues Pennant, “sat, in the character of

---

Ovid too), has transferred to the palace of Charles the First, and rendered still more applicable to the Milky Way:—

“This glorious roofe I would not doubt to call,

Had I but boldness giv'n me, Heaven's *White-Hall*.”

a milliner, the reduced Duchess of Tyrconnel, wife to Richard Talbot, Lord Deputy of Ireland, under James II.; a bigoted Papist, and fit instrument of the designs of the infatuated prince, who had created him Earl before his abdication, and after that, Duke of Tyrconnel. A female, suspected to have been his duchess, after his death, supported herself for a few days (till she was known and otherwise provided for) by the little trade of this place; but had delicacy enough to wish not to be detected. She sat in a white mask, and a white dress, and was known by the name of the White Widow. This Exchange has long since given way to a row of good houses, with uniform front, engraved in Mr. Nichols's *Progresses of Queen Elizabeth*, which form a part of the street."\*

The houses in the quarter behind these, built by the Earl of Pembroke, made way, sixty years back, for the present handsome set of buildings called the Adelphi, from the Messrs. Adam, brothers, who built it.† The principal front faces the Thames, and is almost the only public walk left for the inhabitants of London on the river side. The centre house was purchased, when new, by Garrick in 1771, and was his town house for the rest of his life. He died there about nine years after; but Mrs. Garrick possessed it till a late period. Mrs. Garrick had been a dancer in her youth, with ■ name as vernal as need be,—Mademoiselle Violette: she died a venerable old lady, at the age of ninety odd. Boswell has recorded ■ delightful day spent with Johnson and others at her house, the first time she re-opened it after Garrick's death. Sir Jeshua Reynolds was there, Mrs. Carter, Mrs. Boscawen, and others. "She looked well," says Boswell, "talked of

---

■ Pennant, p. 147.

† It was ■ joke, probably invented, against ■ late festive alderman, that some lover of Terence, at a public dinner, having toasted two royal brothers, who were present, under the title of the Adelphi (the Greek word for "brothers"), the Alderman said, that ■ they were on the subject of streets, ■ he would beg leave to propose 'Finsbury Square.'"

her husband with complacency; and while she cast her eyes at his portrait, which hung over the chimney-piece, said, that 'death was now the most agreeable object to her.' \* It is no dishonour to her, that her constitution was too good for her melancholy. She spoke enthusiastically of her husband to the last, and used to decide on theatrical subjects, by right of being his representative.

On the same terrace had lived their common friend Beauclerc. On coming away after the party just mentioned, Boswell tells us that Johnson and he stopped a little while by the rails of the Adelphi, looking at the Thames; "and I said to him," says Boswell, "with some emotion, that I was now thinking of two friends I had lost, who once lived in the buildings behind us, Beauclerc and Garrick." "Ay, sir, (said he tenderly,) and two such friends I cannot be supplied." †

When Beauclerc was labouring under the illness that carried him off, Johnson said to Boswell, in a faltering voice, that he "would walk to the extent of the diameter of the earth to save him." It does not appear what Beauclerc had in his nature to excite this tenderness; but it is observable, that Johnson had a kind of speculative regard for rakes and men of the town, if he thought them not essentially vicious. He seemed willing to regard them as evidences of the natural virtue of all men, bad as well as good, and of the excuse furnished for irregularity by animal spirits. It is not impossible even that he might have thought them rather conventionally than abstractedly vicious. He had a similar regard for Hervey, a great rake who was very kind to him. "Sir," said he, "if you call a dog 'Hervey,' I shall love him." At the same time it is not to be forgotten, that these rakes were fine gentlemen and men of birth; represen-

\* Boswell, iv. p. 102.

† Id. p. 106.

tatives, in some respect, of the licence assumed by authority. Beauclerc, however, like Hervey, had a taste for better things than he practised, and could love scrupulous men. Boswell has given an interesting account of his first intimacy with Johnson. Langton and Beauclerc had become intimate at Oxford. "Their opinions and mode of life," we are told, "were so different, that it seemed utterly impossible they should at all agree;" but Beauclerc "had so ardent a love of literature, so acute an understanding, such elegance of manners, and so well discerned the excellent qualities of Mr. Langton, a gentleman eminent not only for worth and learning, but for an inexhaustible fund of entertaining conversation, that they became intimate friends."

"Johnson, soon after this acquaintance began, passed ■ considerable time at Oxford. He at first thought it strange that Langton should associate so much with one who had the character of being loose, both in his principles and practice, but, by degrees, he himself was fascinated. Mr. Beauclerc's being of the St. Albans family, and having, in some particulars, ■ resemblance to Charles the Second, contributed, in Johnson's imagination, to throw a lustre upon his other qualities; and, in ■ short time, the moral, pious Johnson, and the gay, dissipated Beauclerc were companions. 'What ■ coalition!' said Garrick, when he heard of this: 'I shall have my old friend to bail out of the round-house.' But I can bear testimony that it was a very agreeable association. Beauclerc was too polite, and valued learning and wit too much, to offend Johnson by sallies of infidelity or licentiousness; and Johnson delighted in the good qualities of Beauclerc, and hoped to correct the evil. Innumerable were the scenes in which Johnson was amused by these young men. Beauclerc could take more liberty with him than any body with whom I ever saw him; but, on the other hand, Beauclerc was not spared by his respectable companion, when reproof was proper. Beauclerc had such a propensity to satire, that at times I have seen him

to him, 'You never open your mouth but with intention to give pain, and you have often given me pain, not from the power of what you said, but from seeing your intention.' At another time, applying to him, with a slight alteration, a line of Pope, he said—

"Thy love of folly, and thy scorn of fools"—

Every thing thou dost shows the one, and every thing thou say'st the other.' At another time he said to him, 'Thy body is all vice, and thy mind all virtue.' Beauclerc not seeming to relish the compliment, Johnson said, 'Nay, sir, Alexander the Great, marching in triumph into Babylon, could not have desired to have had more said to him.' \*

The streets in the Adelphi, — John, Robert, Adam, &c. are named from the builders. In this instance, the names are well bestowed; but the "fond attempt," on the part of bricklayers and builders in general to give a "deathless lot" to their names in the same way, is very idle. Wherever we go now-a-days, among the new buildings, especially in the suburbs, we meet with names that nobody knows anything about, nor ever will know. Probably, as knowledge increases, this custom will go out. With this exception, streets in the British metropolis have hitherto been named after royalty or nobility, or from local circumstances, or from saints. Saints went out with popery. The reader of the *Spectator* will recollect the dilemma which Sir Roger de Coverley underwent in his youth, from not knowing whether to ask, for Marylebone, or Saint Marylebone. In Paris they have streets named after men of letters. There is the *Quai de Voltaire*; and one of the most frequented thoroughfares in that metropolis, for it contains the Post-Office, is *Jean Jacques Rousseau Street*. It is not unlikely, that a similar custom will take place in England before long. A noble-

\* Boswell, vol. i. p. 225.



man, eminent for his zeal in behalf of the advancement of society, has called a road in his neighbourhood, Addison Road.\*

In John Street, Adelphi, are the rooms of the Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufactures, and Commerce. This society originated in 1753, at the suggestion of Mr. Shipley, an artist, and, as the title implies, is very miscellaneous in its object; perhaps too much so to make sufficient impression. It gives rewards for discoveries of all sorts, and for performances of youth in the fine arts. It is, however, one of those combinations of zealous and intelligent men, which have marked the progress of latter times, and which will have an incalculable effect on posterity. Its great room is adorned with the celebrated pictures of Mr. Barry, which he painted in order to refute the opinion that Englishmen had no genius for the higher department of art, no love of music, &c., nor ■ proper relish of anything, "even life itself." The statement of these positions was not so discreet as the paintings were clever. Mr. Barry was one of those impatient self-willed men who, with a portion of genuine power, think it greater than it is, and will not take the pains to make themselves masters of their own weapons. His pictures in the Adelphi, which are illustrations of the progress of society, are striking, ingenious, with great elegance here and there, and now and then an evidence of the highest feeling; as in the awful pity of the retributive angel who presides over the downfall of the wicked and tyrannical. But the colouring is bad and "foxy;" his Elysium is deformed with the heterogeneous dresses of all ages, William Penn talking in a wig and hat with Lycurgus, &c. (which, however philosophically such things might be regarded in another world, are not fitly presented to the eye in this);

---

\* Near Holland House, Kensington. Addison died in that house.

and by way of disproving the bad taste of the English in music, he has put Dr. Burney in a coat and toupee, floating among the water nymphs! The consequence is, that although these pictures are, perhaps, the best ever exhibited together in England by one artist, they fall short of what he intended to establish by them, as far as England is concerned.

Between Adam Street and George Street, on the other side of the Strand, is Bedford Street, the site of an old mansion of the Earls and Dukes of Bedford.

With George Street commence the precincts of an ancient "Inn," or palace, originally belonging to the Bishops of Norwich; then to Charles Brandon, Duke of Suffolk; then to the Archbishops of York, from whom it was called York House; then to the Crown, who let it to Lord Chancellor Egerton and to Bacon; then to the Duke of Buckingham, the favourite, who rebuilt it with great magnificence, and at whose death it was let to the Earl of Northumberland; and finally to the second Duke of Buckingham, who pulled it down and converted it into the present streets and alleys, the names of which contain his designation at full length, even to the sign of the genitive case, for there is an "*Of Alley*:" so that we have George, Villiers, Duke, Of, Buckingham.


Brandon, Duke of Suffolk, was the man who, on his marriage with Henry VIII.'s sister, appeared at a tournament on a horse that had a cloth half frieze and half gold, with that touching motto,—

Cloth of gold, do not thou despise,  
Though thou be matched with cloth of frize:  
Cloth of frize, be not thou too bold,  
Though thou be matched with cloth of gold.

Bacon belongs to Gray's Inn, and the second Duke of Buckingham to Wallingford House, where he chiefly re-

sided (on the site of the present Admiralty): but the reader, who should go down Buckingham Street, and contemplate the spot which Inigo Jones and the trees have beautified, will not fail to be struck with the many different spirits that have passed through this spot, — the romantic Suffolk; the correct Egerton; the earth-moving Bacon; the first Buckingham with a spirit equal to his fortunes; the second, witty but selfish, who lavished them away; and all the visitors, of so many different qualities, which these men must have had, crowding or calmly moving to the gate across the water, in quiet or in jollity, clients, philosophers, poets, courtiers, mistresses, gallant masques, the romance of Charles the First's reign, and the gaudy revelry of Charles II. A little spot remains, with a few trees, and a graceful piece of art, and the river flowing as calmly as meditation.

The only vestige now remaining of the splendid mansion of the Buckinghams is the Water-Gate at the



end of Buckingham Street, called York Stairs\*, and built by Inigo Jones. It has been much admired, and must have admitted, in its time, the entrance of many extraordinary persons.

York Buildings affords ■ another name, not unworthy to be added to the most useful and delightful of these, Richard Steele, who lived here, just before he retired into Wales. The place in his time was celebrated for ■ concert-room. We must not omit the termination of ■ curious dispute at the gate of York House, to which Pepys was a witness.

“30th (September 1661). This morning up *by moonshine*, at five o'clock,” (here was one of the great secrets of the animal spirits of those times), “to Whitehall, to meet Mr. More at the Privy Seale, and there I heard of a fray between the two ambassadors of Spaine and France, and that this day being the day of the entrance of ■ ambassador from Sweeden, they intended to fight for the precedence. Our king, I heard, ordered that no Englishman should meddle in the business, but let them do what they would. And to that end, all the soldiers in town were in arms all the day long, and some of the train bands in the city, and a great bustle through the city all the day. Then we took coach (which was the business I came for)

---

\* “York Stairs,” says the author of the ‘Critical Reviews of Public Buildings,’ quoted in ‘Brayley’s London and Middlesex,’ “form unquestionably the most perfect piece of building that does honour to Inigo Jones: it is planned in so exquisite a taste, formed of such equal and harmonious parts, and adorned with such proper and elegant decorations, that nothing can be censured or added. It is at once happy in its situation beyond comparison, and fancied in a style exactly suited to that situation. The rock-work, or rustic, can never be better introduced than in buildings by the side of water; and, indeed, it is ■ great question whether it ought to have been made ■ of anywhere else. On the side next the river appear the ■ of the Villiers family; and on the north front is inscribed their motto: *Fidei Coticula Crux*, — The Cross is the touch-stone of faith. On this side is a small terrace, planted with lime-trees; the whole supported by ■ rate raised upon the houses in the neighbouring streets; and being inclosed from the public, forms an agreeable promenade for the inhabitants.”

to Chelsey, to my Lord Privy Seale, and there got him to seal the business. Here I saw by daylight two very fine pictures in the gallery, that a little while ago I saw by night; and did also go all over the house, and found it to be the prettiest contrived house that ever I ■ in my life. So back again; and at Whitehall light, and saw the soldiers and people running up and down the streets. So I went to the Spanish ambassador's and the French, and there saw great preparations on both sides; but the French made the most noise and ranted most, but the other made no stir almost at all; so that I was afraid the other would have too great a conquest over them. Then to the wardrobe and dined there; and then abroad, and in Cheapside hear, that the Spanish hath got the best of it, and killed three of the French coach-horses and several men, and is gone through the city next to our king's coach; at which, it is strange to see how all the city did rejoice. And indeed, we do naturally all love the Spanish and hate the French. But I, ■ I am in all things curious, presently got to the water side, and there took oars to Westminster Palace, and ran after them through all the dirt, and the streets full of people; till at last, in the Mewes, I saw the Spanish coach go with fifty drawn swords at least to guard it, and our soldiers shouting for joy. And so I followed the coach, and then met it at York House, where the ambassador lies; and there it went in with great state. So then I went to the French house, where I observe still, that there is no men in the world of ■ more insolent spirit where they do well, nor before they begin a matter, and more abject if they do miscarry, than these people are; for they all look like dead men, and not ■ word among them, but shake their heads. The truth is, the Spaniards were not only observed to fight more desperately, but also they did outwitt them; first in lining their own harnesses with chains of iron that they could not be cut, then in setting their coach in the most advantageous place, and to appoint men to guard every one of their horses, and others for to guard the coach, and others the coachmen. And, above all, in setting upon the French horses and killing them, for by that means the French were not able to stir. There were several men slaine of the French,



bullet. Which is very observable, the French were at least four to one in number, and had near one hundred cases of pistols among them, and the Spaniards had not one gun among them, which is for their honour for ever, and the others' disgrace. So having been very much daubed with dirt, I got a coach and home; where I vexed my wife in telling her of this story, and pleading for the Spaniards against the French."\*

In James the Second's time, the French embassy had the house of their rival, and drew the town to see Popish devices in wax-work.

"The fourth of April," says Evelyn (1672), "I went to see the fopperies of the Papists at Somerset House and York House, where now the French ambassador had caused to be represented our Blessed Saviour at the Paschal Supper with his disciples, in figures and puppets made as big as the life, of wax-work, curiously clad and sitting round a large table, the room nobly hung, and shining with innumerable lamps and candles; this was exposed to all the world; all the city to see it: such liberty had the Roman Catholicks at this time obtained."†

They have obtained more liberty since, and can dispense with these "fopperies." At least they would do well to think so.


Hungerford Market takes its name from an old Wiltshire family, who had a mansion here in the time of Charles II., which they parted with, like others, to the encroachments of trade. It used to be an inconvenient and disagreeable place, little frequented: but has lately been converted into a handsome market, and put an end to the monopoly of Billingsgate.

No. 7. in Craven Street, is celebrated as having been, at one time, the residence of Franklin. What a change along the shore of the Thames in a few years (for two centuries are less than a few in the lapse of time), from

\* Diary, vol. i. p. 221.

† "Memoirs of John Evelyn, Esq." Second edit. vol. ii. p. 261.

the residence of a set of haughty nobles, who never dreamt that a tradesman could be anything but a tradesman, to that of a yeoman's son, and a printer, who was one of the founders of a great state!



OLD NORTHUMBERLAND HOUSE.

Northumberland House is the only one remaining of all the great mansions which lorded it on the river's side. It was built by Henry Howard, Earl of Northampton, son of the famous Henry Howard, Earl of Surrey, the poet; but a very unworthy son, except in point of capacity. He was one of those men, who, wanting a sense of moral beauty, are in every other respect wise in vain, and succeed only to become despised and unhappy. He was the grossest of flatterers; paid court to the most opposite rivals, in the worst manner; and seems to have stuck at nothing to obtain his ends. His perception of what was great, extrinsically, led him to build this princely abode;

him into an accomplice of Carr, Earl of Somerset. It is thought by the historians, that he died just in time to save him from the disgraceful consequences of the murder of Sir Thomas Overbury.\*

Northumberland House was built upon the site of the old hospital of St. Mary Roncesvaux, — Osborne says, with Spanish gold. "Part of the present mansion," says the *Londinium Redivivum*, "is from the designs of Bernard Jansen, and the frontispiece or gateway from those of Gerard Christmas. This gateway cannot possibly be described correctly, as the ornaments are scattered in the utmost profusion, from the base to the attic, which supports a copy of Michael Angelo's celebrated lion. Double ranges of grotesque pilasters inclose eight niches on the sides, and there are a bow window and an open arch above the gate. The basement of the whole front contains fourteen niches, with ancient weapons crossed within them; and the upper stories have twenty-four

---

\* In 1596, Northampton writes thus to Lord Burghley (Essex's great enemy), upon presenting to him a *devotional* composition. "The weight of your lordship's piercing judgment held me in so reverend an awe, as before I were encouraged by two or three of my friends, who had a taste, I durst not present this treatise to your view: but since their partiality hath made me thus bold, my own affection to sanctify this labour to yourself hath made me impudent."

Yet in the year succeeding, our authority observes, he has the following passage in a letter to Essex: — "Some friend of mine means this day, before night, to merit my devotion and uttermost gratitude by seeking to do good to you; the success whereof my prayers in the meantime shall recommend to that best gale of wind that may favour it. Your lordship, by your last purchase, hath almost enraged the dromedary that would have won the Queen of Sheba's favour by bringing pearls. If you could once be as fortunate in dragging old Leviathan (Burghley) and his cub, *tortuosum colubrum* (Sir Robert Cecil), as the prophet termeth them, out of this den of mischievous device, the better part of the world would prefer your virtue to that of Hercules." See "Memoirs of the Peers of James I." p. 240. Such "wise men" are the worst of fools. And here he was acting, as such ■■■■ apt to do, like one of the commonest fools, in saying such contradictory things under his own hand.

windows, in two ranges, with pierce battlements. Each wing terminates in a cupola, and the angles have rustic quoins. The quadrangle within the gate is in a better style of building, but rather distinguished by simplicity than grandeur; and the garden next the Thames, with many trees, serves to screen the mansion from those disagreeable objects which generally bound the shores of the river in this vast trading city."

"Northumberland House was discovered to be on fire March 18. 1780, at five o'clock in the morning, which raged from that hour till eight, when the whole front next the Strand was completely destroyed. Dr. Percy's apartments were consumed; but great part of his library escaped the general ruin."\*

We have been the more particular in laying this extract before our readers, because, though the house still exists, the public see little of it. All they behold, indeed, is the screen or advanced guard, which is no very fine sight, and only serves to narrow the way. Of the quadrangle inside the public know nothing; and thousands pass every day without suspecting that there is such a thing as a tree on the premises.

The Percys had this house in consequence of a marriage with the daughter of the Earl of Suffolk, who was Northampton's nephew. During the Earl's possession it was called Suffolk House, and furnished an escape to a person of the name of Emerson from one of the mad pranks of Lord Herbert of Cherbury, who was for fighting everybody. His lordship had had sundry fits of ague, which brought him at last to be "so lean and yellow, that scarce any man," he says, "did know him."

"It happened," he continues, "during this sickness, that I walked abroad one day towards Whitehall, where, meeting with

one Emerson, who spoke very disgraceful words of Sir Robert Harley, being then my dear friend, my weakness could not hinder me to be sensible of my friend's dishonour; shaking him, therefore, by a long beard he wore, I stept a little aside, and drew my sword in the street; Captain Thomas Scrivan, a friend of mine, not being far off on one side, and divers friends of his on the other side. All that me wondered how I could go, being so weak and consumed as I was, but much more that I would offer to fight; howsoever, Emerson, instead of drawing his sword, ran away into Suffolk House, and afterwards informed the Lords of the Council of what I had done; who, not long after sending for me, did not so much reprehend my taking part with my friend, that I would adventure to fight, being in such a bad condition of health."\*

The disgraceful words spoken by Emerson were very likely nothing at all, except to his lordship's ultra-chivalrous fancy; but this is a curious scene to imagine at the entrance of the present quiet Northumberland House, — Emerson slipping into the gate with horror in his looks, and the lean and yellow ghost of the knight-errant behind him, sword in hand.

Mr. Malcolm has spoken of the apartments of Dr. Percy. This was Dr. Percy, Bishop of Dromore, who gave an impulse to the spirit of the modern muse by his *Reliques of Ancient English Poetry*. He was a kinsman of the Northumberland family. We believe it was in Northumberland House that his friend Goldsmith, stammering out a fine speech of thanks to a personage in a splendid dress whom he took for the Duke, was informed, when he had done, that it was his Grace's "gentleman."

A little way up Catherine Street is Exeter Street, where Johnson first lodged when he came to town. His lodgings were at the house of Mr. Morris, a stay-maker. He dined at the Pine-apple in New Street, "for eightpence, with

---

\* "Life of Edward Lord Herbert of Cherbury," in the "Autobiography," p. 110.



very good company." Several of them, he told Boswell, had travelled. "They expected to meet every day; but did not know one another's names." The rest of his information is a curious and interesting specimen of his disposition. "It used," said he, "to cost the rest a shilling, for they drank wine: but I had a cut of meat for sixpence, and bread for a penny, and gave the waiter a penny; so that I was quite as well served, nay, better than the rest, for they gave the waiter nothing." Johnson drank at this time no fermented liquors. Boswell supposes that he had gained a knowledge of the art of living in London from an Irish painter, whom he knew at Birmingham, and of whom he gave this account.

"Thirty pounds a-year," according to this economical philosopher, "was enough to enable a man to live there without being contemptible. He allowed ten pounds for clothes and linen. He said a man might live in a garret at eighteen pence a week; few people would inquire where he lodged: and if they did, it was easy to say, 'Sir, I am to be found at such a place.' By spending three pence at a coffee-house, he might be for some hours every day in very good company; he might dine for sixpence, breakfast on bread and milk for a penny, and do without supper. *On clean-shirt day* he went abroad and paid visits."\*

The Strand end of Catherine Street is mentioned in Gay's "Trivia" for a notoriety which it now unfortunately shares with too many places to render it remarkable. His picture of one of the women he speaks of possesses a literal truth, characteristic of the whole of this curious poem.

"'Tis she who nightly strolls with sauntering pace;  
No stubborn stays her yielding shape embrace;  
Beneath the lamp her tawdry ribands glare,  
The new scower'd manteau, and the slattern air;

---

\* Boswell, vol. i. p. 81.

High draggled petticoats her travels show,  
And hollow cheeks with artful blushes glow.

“In riding-hood, near Tavern door she plies,  
Or muffled pinner's hide her livid eyes.  
With empty hand-box she delights to range,  
And feigns a distant errand from the 'Change.”

Gay contents himself with a picture, and a warning.  
In our times, we have learnt to pity the human beings,  
and to think what can be done to remedy the first causes  
of the evil.

The houses between Catherine Street and Burleigh Street stand upon ground formerly occupied by Wimbledon House, a mansion built by Sir Edward Cecil, whom Charles I. created Viscount Wimbledon. It was burnt down; and Stow says, that the day before, his lordship's country house at Wimbledon was blown up.

The late Lyceum was built about the year 1765, ■ ■ academy and exhibition-room, in anticipation of the royal one then contemplated. It did not succeed; and part of it was converted into a theatre for musical performances. It then became a place of exhibition for large, panoramic pictures, among which we remember with pleasure the battle pieces of Robert Ker Porter (Seringapatam, Acre, &c.). A species of entertainment then took place in it, which has justly been called “useful and liberal,” presenting, on a regular stage, pictures or scenes of famous places, while ■ person read accounts of them from a desk. We remember the *Ægyptiana*, or description of *Ægypt*, and, if we mistake not, ■ attempt, not quite so well founded, to illustrate the scenes of Milton's *Allegro* and *Penseroso*. Neither of the attempts met with success; but the former, perhaps, might be tried again with advantage, now that information and the thirst for it have so wonderfully increased. The panorama, however, may have realised all that can be done in this way. Visitors to

those admirable contrivances may be almost said to become travellers; and a reader at hand might disturb them, like an impertinence. We recollect being so early one morning at ■ panorama, that we had the place to ourselves. The room was without a sound, and the scene Florence; and when we came out, the noise and crowd of the streets had an effect on us, as if we had been suddenly transported out of an Italian solitude. The Lyceum has since been handsomely rebuilt as a new English Opera House, under the management of Mr. Arnold, who has done much to cultivate a love of music in this country. Over the former theatre, we believe, was a room built by him for the members of the famous Beef-Steak Club, equally celebrated for loving their steaks and roasting one another.\*

The little crowded nest of shop-counters and wild beasts, called Exeter Change, which has lately been pulled down, took its name from ■ mansion belonging to the Bishop of Exeter, whether on the south or north side of the street does not appear. It is not necessary that the spot should have been the same. Any connexion with ■ large mansion, or its neighbourhood, is sufficient to give name to ■ new house. Pennant thinks, we know not on what authority, that the great Lord Burleigh had ■ mansion on the spot; and he adds, that he died here. Exeter Change was supposed to have been built in the

---

\* The author of a "History of the Clubs of London" (vol. ii. p. 3.), says that this is not the Beef-Steak Club of which Estcourt, the comedian, ■■ steward, and Mrs. Woffington president. He derives its origin from an accidental dinner taken by Lord Peterborough in the scenic room of Rich the Harlequin, over Covent Garden Theatre. The original gridiron, on which Rich broiled the Peer's beef steak, is still preserved, ■ the palladium of the Club; and the members have it engraved on their buttons. It has generally, we believe, admitted the leading men of the day, of whatever description, provided they ■■ joke and bear joking. The author just mentioned says, that Lord Sandwich's and Wilkes's days are generally quoted ■ the golden period of the society.

reign of William and Mary, ■ ■ speculation. The lower story, at the beginning of the last century, was appropriated to the shops of milliners ; and upholsterers had the upper. In the year 1721, the town were invited to this place to look at a *bed*.

“Mr. Normond Cony,” saith the historian, “exhibited a singular bed for two shillings and sixpence each person, the product of his own ingenuity ; the curtains of which were woven in the most ingenious manner, with feathers of the greatest variety and beauty he could procure ; the ground represented white damask, mixed with silver and ornaments of various descriptions, supporting vases of flowers and fruits. Each curtain had ■ purple border a foot in breadth, branched with flowers shaded with scarlet, the valence and bases the same. The bed was eighteen feet in height ; and from the description must have been ■ superior effort of genius, equally original with the works of the South Sea Islanders, whose cloaks, mantles, and caps, grace the collection formed by Captain Cook, now preserved in the British Museum.”\*

This was a gentle exhibition enough. Sixty years ago, instead of the bed, was presented the right honourable body of Lord Baltimore, a personage who ran away with young ladies against their will. The body lay “in state,” previously to its interment at Epsom. Lord Baltimore was succeeded by the wild beasts, who kept possession in their narrow unhealthy cages till the death of the poor elephant in 1826, which conspiring with the new spirit of improvement to call final attention to this excrescence in the Strand, it was adjudged to be rooted out. The death of this unfortunate animal, who seems to have had just reason enough to grow mad, had its proper effect, in exciting the public to guard against similar evils ; nor is it likely that these intelligent and noble creatures, nor

---

\* *Londinium Redivivum*, vol. iv. p. 302.

indeed any others, will undergo such a monstrous state of existence again.

Passing one day by Exeter Change, we beheld a sight strange enough to witness in a great thoroughfare,—a fine horse startled, and pawing the ground, at the roar of lions and tigers. It was at the time, we suppose, when the beasts were being fed.





## CHAP. V.

## LINCOLN'S INN, AND THE NEIGHBOURHOOD.

Lincoln's Inn. — Ben Jonson's Bricklaying. — Enactments against Beards. — Oliver Cromwell, More, Hale, and other eminent Students of Lincoln's Inn. — Lincoln's Inn Fields, or Square. — Houses there built by Inigo Jones. — Pepys's Admiration of the Comforts of Mr. Povey. — Surgeons' College. — Sir Richard and Lady Fanshawe, and Lord Sandwich. — Execution of the patriotic Lord Russell, with an Account of the Circumstances that led to and accompanied it, and some Remarks on his Character. — Affecting Passages from the Letters of his Widow. — Ludicrous Story connected with Newcastle House. —



**L**INCOLN'S INN, upon the side of Chancery Lane, presents a long, old front of brick, more simple than clean. It is saturated with the London smoke. Within is a handsome row of buildings, and a garden, in which Bickerstaff describes himself as walking, by favour of the benchers, who had grown old with him.\* It will be recollected that Bickerstaff lived in Shire Lane, which leads into this inn from Temple-bar. The garden-wall on the side next Chancery Lane is said by Aubrey to have been the scene of Ben Jonson's performance as a bricklayer. We have spoken of it in our remarks on that lane; but shall now add the particulars. "His mother, after his father's death," says Aubrey, "married a bricklayer; and 'tis generally said that he wrought for some time with his father-in-law, and particularly the garden-wall of Lincoln's Inn, next to Chancery Lane." Aubrey's report adds, that "a knight, or bencher, walking through and hearing him repeat some Greek names out of Homer,

\* Tatler, No. 100.

discoursing with him, and finding him to have ■ wit extraordinary, gave him some exhibition to maintain him at Trinity College in Cambridge.\* Fuller says, that he had been there before at St. John's, and that he was obliged by the family poverty to return to the bricklaying.† “And let them not blush,” says this good-hearted writer, “that have, but those who have not a lawful calling. He helped in the building of the new structure of Lincoln's Inn, where, having a trowell in his hand, he had a book in his pocket.” A late editor of Ben Jonson rejects these literary accounts of the poet's bricklaying as “figments.”‡ And he brings his author's own representations to prove that he left the business, not for the University, but the continent. As this writer has nothing, however, to oppose to what Aubrey and Fuller believed respecting the rest, the reports, so far, are worth as much as they were before. Nobody was more likely than Ben Jonson to carry a Greek or Latin book with him on such occasions; nor, as far as that matter goes, to let others become aware of it.

Pennant's sketch of Lincoln's Inn continues to be the best, notwithstanding all that has been said of it since his time. He begins with observing, that “the gate is of brick, but of no small ornament to the street.” This is the gate in Chancery Lane.

“It was built,” he continues, “by Sir Thomas Lovel, once a member of this inn, and afterwards treasurer of the household to Henry VII. The other parts were rebuilt at different times, but much about the same period. None of the original building is left, for it was formed out of the house of the Black Friars, which fronted Holborn end of the palace of Ralph Nevil, Chancellor of England, and Bishop of Chichester, built by him in the reign of Henry III., ■ ■ piece of ground granted to

■ “Lives and Letters,” *ut supra*.

† “Worthies of England,” *ut supra*.

‡ Gifford's “Works of Ben Jonson,” vol. i. p. ix.

him by the king. It continued to be inhabited by some of the successors in the see. This was the original site of the Dominicans or Black Friars, before they removed to the spot now known by that name. On part of the ground, now covered with buildings, Henry Lacy, Earl of Lincoln, built an Inn, ■ it was in those days called, for himself, ■ in which he died in 1312. The ground did belong to the Black Friars; and ■ granted by Edward I. to that great Earl. The whole has retained his name. One of the Bishops of Chichester, in after times, did grant leases of the buildings to certain students of the law, reserving to themselves ■ rent, and lodgings for themselves whenever they came to town. This seems to have taken place about the time of Henry VII."

"The chapel," continues our author, "■ designed by Inigo Jones; it is built upon massy pillars, and affords, under its shelter, an excellent walk. This work evinces that Inigo never was designed for a gothic architect. The Lord Chancellor holds his sittings in the great hall. This, like that of the Temple, had its revels, and great Christmasses. Instead of the Lord of Misrule, it had its King of the Cocknies. They had also a Jack Straw; but in the time of Queen Elizabeth he, and all his adherents, were utterly banished. I must not omit, that in the same reign sumptuary laws were made to regulate the dress of the members of the house; who were forbidden to wear long hair, or great ruffs, cloaks, boots, or spurs. In the reign of Henry VIII. beards were prohibited at the great table, under pain of paying double commons. His daughter, Elizabeth, in the first year of her reign, confined them to ■ fortnight's growth, under penalty of 3s. 4d.: but the fashion prevailed so strongly, that the prohibition was repealed, and no manner of size limited to that venerable ex-crescence."\*

"Tis merry in the hall,  
When beards wag all,

says the proverb; but the lawyers in those days had already so many refreshments to their solemnity, in masks

\* Pennant, *ut supra*, p.176.

and revels, that it was thought necessary to provide for decency of mastication in ordinary. Attempts to regulate trifles of this sort, however, have always been found more difficult than any others, the impertinence of the interference being in proportion. Think of the officers watching the illegal growth of the beard; the vexation of the "dandies," who wanted their beards out of doors; and the resentment of the unservile part of the elders! He that parted with his beard, rather than his three and fourpence, would be looked upon as an alien.

In the hall of Lincoln's Inn is Hogarth's celebrated failure of "Paul preaching before Felix." It seems hard upon a great man to exhibit a specimen of what he could not do. However, the subject does not appear to have been of the society's choosing. A bequest had been made them which produced a commission to Hogarth, probably in expectation that he would illustrate some of the consequences of good laws in his usual manner.

Old Fortescue was of Lincoln's Inn; Spelman, the great antiquary; Sir Thomas More; Cromwell; Sir Mathew Hale; Lord Chancellor Egerton, otherwise known by his title of Lord Ellesmere; Shaftesbury, the statesman; and Lord Mansfield. Dr. Donne also studied there for a short time, but left the Inn to enjoy an inheritance, and became a clergyman. However, he returned to it in after life as preacher of the lecture; which office he held about two years, to the great satisfaction of his hearers. Tillotson was another preacher. It is difficult to present to one's imagination the venerable judges in their younger days; to think of Hale a gay fellow (which he was till an accident made him otherwise); or fancy that Sir Thomas More had any other face but the profound and ponderous one in his pictures. His face, indeed, must have been full of meaning enough at all times; for at twenty-one he was a stirring youth in Parliament; and at twenty he

took to wearing ■ hair-shirt, ■ ■ aid to his meditations. It is interesting to fancy him passing ■ in the Inn square, with ■ glance of his deep eye ; we (of posterity) being in the secret of his hair-shirt, which the less informed passengers are not.

The account of Hale's change of character, on his entrance into Lincoln's Inn, merits to be repeated.

"At Oxford," says his biographer, "he fell into many levities and extravagances, and was preparing to go along with his tutor, who went chaplain to Lord Vere, into the Low Countries, with a resolution of entering himself into the Prince of Orange's army, when he was diverted from his design by being engaged in ■ lawsuit with Sir William Whitmore, who laid claim to part of his estate. Afterwards, by the persuasions of Sergeant Glanville, who happened to be his counsel in this case, and had an opportunity of observing his capacity, he resolved upon the study of the law, and was admitted of Lincoln's Inn, November 8. 1629. Sensible of the time he had lost in frivolous pursuits, he now studied at the rate of sixteen hours a-day, and threw aside all appearance of vanity in his apparel. He is said, indeed, to have neglected his dress so much, that, being a strong and well-built man, he was once taken by a press-gang, ■ a person very fit for sea-service, which pleasant mistake made him regard more decency in his clothes for the future, though never to any degree of extravagant finery. What confirmed him still more in ■ serious and regular way of life was ■ accident, which is related to have befallen one of his companions. Hale, with other young students of the Inn, being invited out of town, one of the company called for so much wine, that notwithstanding\* all Hale could do to prevent it, he went ■ in his excess till he fell down in ■ fit, seemingly dead, and was with some difficulty recovered. This particularly affected Hale, in whom the principles of religion had been early implanted ; and, therefore, retiring into another room, and falling down upon his knees, he prayed earnestly to God, both for his friend, that he might be restored to life again, and for himself, that he might



be forgiven for being present and countenancing so much excess ; and he vowed to God, that he would never again keep company in that manner, nor drink ■ health while he lived. His friend recovered ; and from this time Mr. Hale forsook all his gay acquaintance, and divided his whole time between the duties of religion, and the studies of his profession."

Cromwell is supposed to have been about two years in Lincoln's Inn, and while he was there attended to anything but the law, the future devout Protector being, in fact, nothing more or less than ■ gambler and debauchee. However, he is supposed to have run all his round of dissipation in that time. Mansfield's residence in Lincoln's Inn, when Mr. Murray, gave rise to a singular reference in Pope. It is in the translation of Horace's ode, '*Intermissa Venus diu,*' where the poet says to the goddess—

"I am not now, alas ! the man  
As in the gentle reign of my Queen Anne.  
To *number five* direct your doves,  
There spread round Murray all your blooming loves ;  
Noble and young, who strikes the heart  
With every sprightly, every decent part ;  
Equal the injured to defend,  
To charm the mistress, or to fix the friend."

This *number five* to which Venus is to go with her doves, points out Murray's apartments in Lincoln's Inn. Popé, as we have mentioned elsewhere, thought that nature intended his noble acquaintance for an Ovid ; ■ notion partly suggested, perhaps, by Ovid's having been a lawyer. It was during his residence in Lincoln's Inn, that the future Lord Chief Justice is said to have drunk the Pretender's health on his knees ; which he very likely did. The charge was brought up twenty years afterwards, to ruin his prospects under the Hanover succession ; but it came to nothing. One dynasty has no dislike to ■ strong prejudice in favour of a preceding dynasty, when

the latter has ceased to be formidable. The propensity to adhere to royalty is looked upon as a good symptom; and the event generally answers the expectation. The favourite courtiers under the house of Brunswick have come of Jacobite families.

A century ago, according to a passage in Gay, Lincoln's Inn and the neighbourhood were dangerous places to walk through at night.

"Where Lincoln's Inn, wide space, is railed around,  
 Cross not with venturous step; there oft is found  
 The lurking thief, who while the daylight shone,  
 Made the wall echo with his begging tone:  
 That crutch, which late compassion moved, shall wound  
 Thy bleeding head, and fell thee to the ground.  
 Though thou art tempted by the linkman's call,  
 Yet trust him not along the lonely wall;  
 In the midway he'll quench the flaming brand,  
 And share the booty with the pilfering band.  
 Still keep the public streets, where oily rays,  
 Shot from the crystal lamp, o'erspread the ways."

The wall here mentioned is probably that which was not long since displaced by the new one, and the elegant structure that now adorns the east side of Lincoln's Inn Fields.

Lincoln's Inn Fields, now a handsome square, set more agreeably than most others, with grass plat and underwood, were first disposed into their present regular appearance by Inigo Jones, under the auspices of a committee of gentry and nobility, one of whom was Bacon. Inigo built some of the houses, and gave to the ground-plot of the square the exact dimensions of the base of one of the pyramids of Egypt. He could not have hit upon a better mode of conveying to the imagination a sense of those enormous structures. If the passenger stops and pictures to himself one of the huge slanting sides of the pyramid, as wide as the whole length of the square, leaning away up

into the atmosphere, with ■ apex we know not how high, it will indeed seem to him ■ kind of stone mountain.

The houses in Lincoln's Inn Fields built by Inigo Jones are in Arch Row (the western side), and may still be distinguished. Pennant speaks of one of them, as being "Lindesey House, once the seat of the Earls of Lindesey, and of their descendants, the Dukes of Ancaster." They are probably still a great deal more handsome inside, and more convenient, than any of the flimsy modern houses preferred to them; but London has grown so large, that everybody who can afford it lives at the fashionable outskirts for the fresh air. It is probable that Inigo's houses created an ambition of good building in this quarter. Pepys speaks of a Mr. Povey's house in Lincoln's Inn Fields, as ■ miracle of elegance and comfort. His description of it is characteristic of the snug and wondering Pepys.

"Thence (that is to say, from chapel and the ladies) with Mr. Povey home to dinner; where extraordinary cheer. And after dinner up and down to see his house. And in a word, methinks, for his perspective in the little closet; his room floored above with woods of several colours, like, but above the best cabinet-work I ever saw; his grotto and vault, with his bottles of wine, and a well therein to keep them cool; his furniture of all sorts; his bath at the top of the house, good pictures, and his manners of eating and drinking; do surpass all that ever I did see of one man in all my life."\*

The Country and City Mouse, in Pope's imitation of Horace, go

To a tall house near Lincoln's Inn,  
which had

Palladian walls, Venetian doors,  
Grotesco roofs, and stucco floors.

The house of a late architect (Sir John Soane) is ob-

\* Diary, *ut supra*, vol. ii. p. 185.

servable in Holborn Row (the north side of the square), and has a singular but pleasing effect, though not quite desirable perhaps in this northern climate, where light and sun are in request. It presents a case of stone, added to the original front, and comprising a balcony and arcade. Shrubs and plate-glass complete the taste of its appearance. On the opposite side of the way (called Portugal Row, most likely from our connexion with Portugal in Charles the Second's time), the inhabitant of the above house, had the pleasure, we believe, of contemplating his own work in the handsome front and portico of Surgeons' College. This mode of giving a new front to a house, and fetching it out into a portico, is an ingenious way of getting up an ornament to the metropolis at little expense. Surgeons' College, instead of being two or three old houses with a new face, looks like a separate building. In Portugal Row sometime lived Sir Richard Fanshawe, in whose quaint translation of Camoens there is occasionally more genuine poetry, than in the less unequal version of Mickle. This accomplished person was recalled from an embassy in Spain, on the ground that he had signed a treaty without authority; which was fact; but the suspicious necessity of finding some honourable way of removing Lord Sandwich from his command in the navy, induced Lady Fanshawe and others to conclude that he was sacrificed to that convenience. He died on the intended day of his return, of a violent fever, aggravated, not improbably, perhaps caused, by this awkward close of his mission: for such things have been, with men of sensitive imaginations. His wife, a very frank and cordial woman, has left interesting memoirs of him, in which she countenances a clamour of that day, that Lord Sandwich was a coward. She adds, "He neither understood the custom of the (Spanish) court, nor the language, nor indeed anything but a vicious life; and thus (addressing her children)

“was he shuffled into your father’s employment, to reap the benefit of his five years’ negociation.” \* We quote this passage here, because Lord Sandwich was himself an inhabitant of Lincoln’s Inn Fields. His want of courage (a charge shamefully bandied to and fro between officers at that time) is surely not to be taken for granted upon the word of his enemies, considering the testimonies borne in his favour by the Duke of York and others, and his numerous successes against the enemy. It is possible, however, that the pleasures of Charles’s court might have done him no good. Sandwich had been one of Cromwell’s council. He appears afterwards to have been a gallant of Lady Castlemain’s; was a great courtier; and probably had as little principle as most public men of that age. Pepys, who was his relation, describes him as being a lute-player.

On Lady Fanshawe’s return to England, she took ■ house for twenty-one years in Holborn Row, (the north side of the Fields,) where the contemplation of the houses opposite must have been very sad. Her account of the circumstances under which she returned is of a melancholy interest.

“I had not,” she says, “God is my witness, above twenty-five doubloons by me at my husband’s death, to bring home ■ family of three score servants, but was forced to sell one thousand pounds’ worth of our own plate, and to spend the Queen’s present of two thousand doubloons in my journey to England, not owing nor leaving one shilling debt in Spain, I thank God; nor did my husband leave any debt at home, which every ambassador cannot say. Neither did these circumstances following prevail to mend my condition, much less found I that compassion I expected upon the view of myself, that had lost at once my husband, and fortune in him, with my son, but twelve months old, in my arms, four daughters, the eldest but

---

\* ■ Memoires of Lady Fanshawe, &c., written by herself,” 1729, p. 267.



thirteen years of age, with the body of my dear husband daily in my sight for near six months together, and a distressed family, all to be by me in honour and honesty provided for; and, to add to my afflictions, neither persons sent to conduct me, nor pass, nor ship, nor money to carry me one thousand miles, but some few letters of compliment from the chief ministers, bidding 'God help me!' — they do to beggars, and they might have added, 'they had nothing for me,' with great truth. But God did hear, and see, and help me, and brought my soul out of trouble; and, by his blessed providence, I and you live, move, and have our being, and I humbly pray God that that blessed providence may ever relieve our wants. Amen."\*

Lady Fanshawe was no coward, whatever her foes may have been. During a former voyage with her husband to Spain, when she had been married about six years, the vessel was attacked by a Turkish galley, on which occasion she has left the following touching account of her behaviour: —

"When we had just passed the straits, we saw coming towards us, with full sails, a Turkish galley well manned, and we believed we should be all carried away slaves, for this man had so laden his ship with goods from Spain, that his guns were useless, though the ship carried sixty guns; he called for brandy, and after he had well drunken, and all his men, which were near two hundred, he called for arms, and cleared the deck as well as he could, resolving to fight rather than lose his ship, which was worth thirty thousand pounds; this was sad for us passengers, but my husband bid us be sure to keep in the cabin, and not appear — the women — which would make the Turks think we were a man-of-war, but if they saw women they would take us for merchants and board us. He went upon the deck and took a gun and bandoliers, and sword, and, with the rest of the ship's company, stood upon deck, expecting the arrival of the Turkish man-of-war. This beast, the captain, had locked me up in the cabin; I knocked and

---

\* "Memoires of Lady Fanshawe, &c., written by herself," 1729, p. 298.

called long to no purpose, until at length the cabin-boy came and opened the door; I, all in tears, desired him to be so good as to give me his blue thrum cap he wore, and his tarred coat, which he did, and I gave him half-a-crown, and putting them on, and flinging away my night clothes, I crept up softly, and stood upon the deck by my husband's side, ■ free from sickness and fear, as, I confess, from discretion; but it was the effect of that passion which I could never master.

"By this time the two vessels were engaged in parley, and ■ well satisfied with speech and sight of each other's forces, that the Turks' man-of-war tacked about, and we continued our course. But when your father saw it convenient to retreat, looking upon me, he blessed himself, and snatched me up in his arms, saying, 'Good God, that love can make this change!' and though he seemingly chid me, he would laugh at it ■ often as he remembered that voyage."

We now come to an event, uniting the most touching circumstances of private life with the loftiest utility of public, and the benefits of which we are this day enjoying, perhaps in every one of our comforts. In this Square, now possessed by inhabitants who can think and write ■ they please on all subjects, and the centre of which is adorned with roses and lilacs, was executed the celebrated patriot, Lord Russell. We should ill perform any part of the object of this work, if we did not dwell at some length upon a scene so interesting, and upon the circumstances that led to it.

Lord Russell (sometimes improperly called Lord William Russell, for he had succeeded to the courtesy-title by the decease of his elder brothers) was son of William, Earl of Bedford, by Lady Ann Carr, daughter of Carr, Earl of Somerset; and he was beheaded in the year 1683, the last year but two of the reign of King Charles II., for an alleged conspiracy to seize the King's guards and put him to death. The conspiracy was called the Rye-house Plot, but incorrectly as far as Lord Russell was concerned.

for it is not proved that he ever heard of the house which occasioned the name; and he was condemned upon allegations which would have destroyed him, had no such place existed. The Rye-house ■■■ a farm near Hoddesdon, in Hertfordshire, belonging to one of the alleged conspirators, and it had a bye-road near it through which Charles was accustomed to pass in returning from the races at Newmarket. It was said that the King was to have been assassinated in this road, but that ■ fire at Newmarket, which put the town into confusion, hastened his return to London before the conspirators had time to assemble.

Charles II., and his brother, the Duke of York, afterwards James II., in the prosecution of those designs against the liberty and religion of the state, which are now acknowledged by all historians, had lately succeeded in producing a strong re-action against the party opposed to them. This party, the Whigs, in their dread of arbitrary power and popery, had attempted with great pertinacity to exclude the Duke of York, an avowed papist, from the succession. They had indicted him as a popish recusant: they had listened, with too great credulity, to the story of a Popish Plot, for which several persons were executed; and while these strong measures were going forward, to which the general dread of popery encouraged them, they were inquiring into the King's illegal connexions with France, and putting the last sting to his vexation, by refusing him money. Charles's gambling and debaucheries kept him in ■ perpetual state of poverty. He was always endeavouring to raise money upon every shift he could devise, and misappropriating all he obtained, which completed the ingloriousness of his reign by rendering him a pensioner of France. He had a strong party of corruptionists in the House of Commons; but the public feeling against the Duke gave the elections ■

balance the other way ; and the poor King was compelled, from time to time, to purchase what money he wanted, by the surrender of ■ popular right.

Driven thus from loss to loss, and not knowing where the diminution of his resources would end, Charles at length expressed himself willing to limit the powers of a Popish successor, though he would not consent to exclude him. The Whigs, strong in their vantage-ground, and backed by the voice of the country, rejected what they would formerly have agreed to, and insisted on the exclusion. And here the re-action commenced in Charles's favour. The Whigs had allied themselves to the dissenters, whose toleration they advocated in proportion as they opposed that of the catholics. It was a contradiction natural enough at that time, when the remembrance of Protestant martyrdom was still lively, and the growth of philosophy had not neutralised the papal spirit, or, at least, was not yet understood to have done so ; but by means of this alliance between the Whigs and Presbyterians Charles succeeded in awakening the fears of the orthodox. A secret treaty with the French King enabled him to reckon for a time on being able to dispense with the contributions of Parliament ; and when the latter again pressed the exclusion bill, he dissolved them, with high complaints of their inveteracy against government, and artful insinuations of the favour they showed the dissenters. This declaration was read in all the churches and chapels, and produced the re-action he looked for. The Whig leaders, withdrawing into retirement, seemed to give up the contest for the present ; but this was no signal to power to abstain from pursuing them. Charles, to secure himself a Parliament that should give him money without inquiry, and to indulge his brother in his love of revenge (not omitting a portion on his own account), set himself heartily about influencing the

elections for a new House of Commons. The dissenters were persecuted all over the country; the Whig newspapers put down; one man, for his noisy zeal against Popery, put to death by means of the most infamous witnesses, who had sworn on the other side; and Shaftesbury's life was aimed at, but saved by the contrivances of the city authorities. The liberties of the city were then assailed, with but too great success, by means of judges placed on the bench for that purpose. Other corrupt law officers were brought into action; a servile lord-mayor was induced to force two sheriffs upon the city, in open defiance of law and a majority; in short, every obstacle was removed which accompanied the existence of properly constituted authorities, and of that late anti-popery spirit of the nation, which was now comparatively silent, for fear of being confounded with disaffection to the church.

For an account of what took place upon this corruption of church and bench, and neutralisation of the popular spirit, we shall now have recourse to the pages of the latest writer on the subject; who, though a descendant of Lord Russell, has stated it with a truth and moderation worthy of the best spirit of his ancestor. The narrative of the execution we shall take from an eye-witness, and intersperse such remarks as a diligent inquiry into the conduct and character of Lord Russell has suggested to our own love of truth.

"The election of the sheriffs," says the author, "seemed to complete the victory of the throne over the people. It was evident, from the past conduct of the court, that they would now select whom they pleased for condemnation."

"Lord Russell received the news with the regret which, in a person of his temper, it was most likely to produce. Lord Shaftesbury, on the other hand, who was provoked at the apathy of his party, received with joy the news of the appointment of the sheriffs, thinking that his London friends,



seeing their necks in danger, would join with him in raising an insurrection. He hoped at first to make use of the names of the Duke of Monmouth and Lord Russell, to catch the idle and unwary by the respect paid to their characters; but when he found them too cautious to compromise themselves, he endeavoured to ruin their credit with the citizens. He said that the Duke of Monmouth was a tool of the court; that Lord Essex had also made his bargain, and was to go to Ireland; and that, between them, Lord Russell was deceived. It is a strong testimony to the real worth of Lord Russell, that, when he made himself obnoxious, either to the court or to the more violent of his own party, the only charge they ever brought against him was, that of being deceived, either by a vain air of popularity or too great a confidence in his friends.

“ Lord Shaftesbury, finding himself deserted, then attempted to raise an insurrection, by means of his own partisans in the city. The Duke of Monmouth, at various times, discouraged these attempts. On one of these occasions, he prevailed on Lord Russell, who had come to town on private affairs, to go with him to a meeting, at the house of Sheppard, a wine-merchant.

“ Lord Shaftesbury, being concealed in the city at this time, did not dare to appear himself at this meeting, but sent two of his creatures, Rumsey and Ferguson. Lord Grey and Sir Thomas Armstrong were also there; but nothing was determined at this meeting.

“ Soon after this, Lord Shaftesbury, finding he could not bring his friends to rise with the speed he wished, and being in fear of being discovered if he remained in London any longer, went over to Holland. He died in January, 1683.

“ After Shaftesbury was gone, there were held meetings of his former creatures in the chambers of one West, an active, talking man, who had got the reputation of being an atheist. Col. Rumsey, who had served under Cromwell, and afterwards in Portugal; Ferguson, who had a general propensity for plots; Goodenough, who had been under-sheriff; and one Holloway, of Bristol, were the chief persons at these meetings. Lord Howard was, at one time, among them. Their discourse seems

to have extended itself to the worst species of treason and murder; but whether they had any concerted plan for ■■■■■ sinating the King is still a mystery. Amongst those who were sounded in this business was one Keeling, ■ vintner, sinking in business, to whom Goodenough often spoke of their designs. This man went to Legge, then made Lord Dartmouth, and discovered all he knew. Lord Dartmouth took him to Secretary Jenkins, who told him he could not proceed without more witnesses. It would also seem that ■■■■■ promises were made to him, for he said in a tavern, in the hearing of many persons, that 'he had considerable proffers made him of money, and a place worth 100*l.* or 80*l.* per annum, to do something for them;' and he afterwards obtained ■ place in the Victualling office, by means of Lord Halifax. The method he took of procuring another witness was, by taking his brother into the company of Goodenough, and afterwards persuading him to go, and tell what he had heard at Whitehall.

"The substance of the information given by Josiah Keeling, in his first examination, was, that a plot had been formed for enlisting forty men, to intercept the King and Duke on their return from Newmarket, at a farm-house called Rye, belonging to one Rumbold, a maltster; that this plan being defeated by a fire at Newmarket, which caused the King's return sooner than was expected, the design of an insurrection was laid; and, as the means of carrying this project into effect, they said that Goodenough had spoken of 4000 men and 20,000*l.* to be raised by the Duke of Monmouth and other great men. The following day, the two brothers made oath, that Goodenough had told them, that Lord Russell had promised to engage in the design, and to use all his interest to accomplish the killing of the King and the Duke. When the Council found that the Duke of Monmouth and Lord Russell were named, they wrote to the King to come to London, for they would not venture to go further without his presence and leave. In the meantime, warrants were issued for the apprehension of several of the conspirators. Hearing of this, and having had private information from the brother of Keeling, they had ■ meeting, on the 18th of June, at Captain Walcot's lodging. At this meeting were present

Walcot, Wade, Rumsey, Norton, the two Goodenoughs, Nelthrop, West, and Ferguson. Finding they had no means either of opposing the King ■ flying into Holland, they agreed to separate, and shift each ■ for himself.

"A proclamation was now issued for seizing on some who could not be found; and amongst these, Rumsey and West were named. The next day West delivered himself, and Rumsey came in ■ day after him. Their confessions, especially concerning the assassinations at the Rye-house, were very ample. Burnet says, they had concerted ■ story to be brought out on such an emergency.

"In this critical situation, Lord Russell, though perfectly sensible of his danger, acted with the greatest composure. He had long before told Mr. Johnson, that 'he was very sensible he should fall a sacrifice; arbitrary government could not be set up in England without wading through his blood.' The day before the King arrived, a messenger of the Council was sent to wait at his gate, to stop him if he had offered to go out; yet his back-gate was not watched, ■ that he might have gone away, if he had chosen it. He had heard that he was named by Rumsey; but forgetting the meeting at Sheppard's, he feared no danger from a man he had always disliked, and never trusted. Yet he thought proper to send his wife amongst his friends for advice. They were at first of different minds; but ■ he said he apprehended nothing from Rumsey, they agreed that his flight would look too like ■ confession of guilt. This advice coinciding with his own opinion, he determined to stay where he was. As soon as the King arrived, ■ messenger was sent to bring him before the Council. When he appeared there, the King told him, that nobody suspected him of any design against his person; but that he had good evidence of his being in designs against his government. He was examined upon the information of Rumsey, concerning the meeting at Sheppard's, to which Rumsey pretended to have carried ■ message, requiring a speedy resolution, and to have received for answer that Mr. Trenchard had failed them at Taunton. Lord Russell totally denied all knowledge of this message. When the examination was finished, Lord Russell was sent a close prisoner

to the Tower. Upon his going in, he told his servant Taunton that he was sworn against, and they would have his life. Taunton said, he hoped it would not be in the power of his enemies to take it. Lord Russell answered, 'Yes; the devil is loose!'

"From this moment he looked upon himself as a dying man, and turned his thoughts wholly upon another world. He read much in the Scriptures, particularly in the Psalms; but whilst he behaved with the serenity of a man prepared for death, his friends exhibited an honourable anxiety to preserve his life. Lord Essex would not leave his house, lest his absconding might incline a jury to give more credit to the evidence against Lord Russell. The Duke of Monmouth sent to let him know he would come in and run fortunes with him, if he thought it could do him any service. He answered, it would be of no advantage to him to have his friends die with him.

"A committee of the Privy Council came to examine him. Their inquiries related to the meeting at Sheppard's, the rising at Taunton, the seizing of the guards, and a design for a rising in Scotland. In answer to the questions put to him, he acknowledged he had been at Sheppard's house divers times, and that he went there with the Duke of Monmouth; but he denied all knowledge of any consultation tending to an insurrection, or to surprise the guards. He remembered no discourse concerning any rising at Taunton; and knew of no design for a rising in Scotland. He answered his examiners in a civil manner, but declined making any defence till his trial, when he had no doubt of being able to prove his innocence. The charge of treating with the Scots, a thing the council were positively assured of, alarmed his friends; and Lady Russell desired Dr. Burnet to examine who it could be that had charged him; but upon inquiry, it appeared to be only an artifice to draw confession from him; and notwithstanding the power which the court possessed to obtain the condemnation of their enemies, by the perversion of law, the servility of judges, and the submission of juries, Lord Russell might still have contested his life with some prospect of success, had not a new circumstance occurred to cloud his declining prospects. This

the apprehension and confession of Lord Howard. At first, he had talked of the whole matter with scorn and contempt; and solemnly professed that he knew nothing which could hurt Lord Russell. The King himself said, he found Lord Howard was not amongst them, and he supposed it was for the same reason which some of themselves had given for not admitting Oates into their secrets, namely, that he was such a rogue they could not trust him. But when the news was brought to Lord Howard that West had delivered himself, Lord Russell, who was with him, observed him change colour, and asked him if he apprehended any thing from him? He replied that he had been as free with him as any man.\* Hampden saw him afterwards under great fears, and desired him to go out of the way, if he thought there was matter against him, and he had not strength of mind to meet the occasion. A warrant was now issued against him on the evidence of West, and he was taken, after a long search, concealed in a chimney of his own house. He immediately confessed all he knew and more.

\* \* \* \*

“Hampden and Lord Russell were imprisoned upon Lord Howard's information; and, four days afterwards, Lord Russell was brought to trial: but, in order to possess the public mind with a view of the blackness of the plot, Walcot, Hone, and Rouse were first brought to trial, and condemned upon the evidence of Keeling, Lee, and West, of a design to assassinate the King.”\*

It is not necessary to enter at large into the trial. We shall give the main points of it, which sentence was founded; but when it is considered that the bench had lately had an accession of accommodating judges; that Jeffries was one of the counsel for the prosecution; that the jury, illegally returned, were not allowed to be challenged; that the witnesses were perjured, contradicted themselves,

---

\* “Life of William Lord Russell, with an Account of the Times in which he lived.” By Lord John Russell, 3rd edit. 1820, vol. ii. p. 18. &c.



and swore to save their lives ; that one of them (Lord Howard) was ■ man of such infamous character, that the King said, “ he would not hang the worst dog he had, upon his evidence ; ” that nevertheless the testimonies of the most honourable men against him were not held to injure his evidence, and that ■ crowd of them in Lord Russell’s favour were of as little avail in giving the prisoner the benefit of ■ totally different reputation, it will be allowed, that our pages need not be occupied with details, which in fact had nothing to do with his condemnation.

The ground on which Lord Russell was sentenced to death was, that he had violated the law, in conspiring the death of the King. He argued, that granting the charge to be true (which he denied), it was not that of conspiring the death of the King, but “ a conspiracy to levy war ; ” that this was not treason within the statute (which it was not) ; and that if it had been, a statute of Charles II. made the accusation null and void, because the time had expired to which the operation of it was limited. The lawyers, who in fact had been compelled by their imperfect enactment to lay the charge on the ground of conspiring the King’s death, had so worded the statute of Charles, that, like the oracles of old, it was capable of ■ double construction. But not to observe that the prisoner ought to have had the benefit of the doubt (and it has been generally thought that the statute was clearly the other way), they could never get rid of the necessity of assuming that the King’s death was intended ; whereas, nothing can be more plain, not only from their own enactments, but from all history, that ■ insurrection, though against a king himself, may have no such object ; so that here was a man to be sacrificed to the *spirit* of the law (which by its very nature should have saved him,) while

the court, in this and ■ thousand other instances, was violating the letter of it.

“Of the Rye-House Plot,” says Mr. Fox, “it may be said, much more truly than of the Popish, that there was in it some truth, mixed with much falsehood. — It seems probable, that there was among some of the accused ■ notion of assassinating the King; but whether this notion ■ ever ripened into what may be called a design, and, much more, whether it were ever evinced by such ■ overt act as the law requires for conviction, is very doubtful. In regard to the conspirators of higher ranks, from whom all suspicion of participation in the intended assassination has been long since done away, there is unquestionable reason to believe that they had often met and consulted, ■ well for the purpose of ascertaining the means they actually possessed, as for that of devising others, for delivering their country from the dreadful servitude into which it had fallen; and thus far their conduct appears clearly to have been laudable. If they went further, and did anything which could be really construed into an actual conspiracy to levy war against the King, they acted, considering the disposition of the nation at that time, very indiscreetly. But whether their proceedings had ever gone this length, is far from certain. Monmouth’s communications with the King, when we reflect on all the circumstances of those communications, deserve not the smallest attention; nor, indeed, if they did, does the letter which he afterwards withdrew prove anything upon this point. And it is ■ outrage to common sense to call Lord Grey’s narrative, written as he himself states in his letter to James II., while the question of his pardon was pending, an authentic account. That which is most certain in this affair is, that they had committed no overt act, indicating the imagining the King’s death, even according to the most strained construction of the statute of Edward III.; much less ■ any such act legally proved against them.” And the conspiracy to levy war was not treason, except by ■ recent statute of Charles II., the prosecutions upon which were expressly limited to a certain time, which in these cases had elapsed; so that it is impossible not to assent to the opinion of those who have ever stigmatised the condemnation

and execution of Russell, ■ a most flagrant violation of law and justice." ■

The truth respecting Lord Russell seems to be, that he was a man of the highest character and the best intentions, who suffered himself, not very discreetly, to listen to projects which he disapproved, in the hope of seeing better ones substituted. There can be no doubt that he wished to make changes in an illegal government, short of interfering with the King's possession of the throne.\* He had ■ right, by law, to endeavour it. He had openly shown himself anxious to do so; and the doubt can be as little, that the Duke of York, from that moment, marked him out for his revenge. Russell implied as much in the paper he gave the sheriff; showing, indeed, such a strong sense of it, as (considering the truly Christian style of the paper in general) is very affecting. It has been justly said of him, that he ■ a man rather eminent for his virtues than his talents. We cannot help thinking that the paucity of words, to which he repeatedly alludes himself, and which was very evident during his trial, did him serious injury, both then and

---

■ "History of the Reign of James the Second." Introductory Chapter.  
 \* — It is worth while, as a puzzle for the reader, to give here the contested point in the statute, which Lord Russell's enemies thought so clear against him, and his friends so much in his favour. 13 Car. II. "Provided always, that no person be prosecuted for any of the offences in this act mentioned, other than such as ■ made and declared to be high treason, unless it be by order of the King's Majesty, his heirs or successors, under his or their sign manual, ■ by order of the Council Table of his Majesty, his heirs or successors, directed unto the attorney-general for the time being: or some other counsel learned to his Majesty, his heirs or successors, for the time being: ■ shall any person, or persons, by virtue of this present act, incur any of the penalties herein before-mentioned, unless he or they be prosecuted within six months next after the offence committed, and indicted thereupon within three months after such prosecution; anything herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding."

before. - We mean, that if he had had a greater confidence, he might have advocated his cause to very solid advantage, perhaps to his entire acquittal. It is touching to observe, in the account of his behaviour after sentence, how the excitement of the occasion loosened his tongue, and inspired him with some turns of thought, more lively, perhaps, than he had been accustomed to. His character has been respectfully treated by all parties since the Revolution, and his death lamented. A startling charge, however, was brought against him and Sidney, in consequence of the discovery of a set of papers belonging to Barillon, the French Ambassador of that time, in which Sidney's name appears set down for five hundred pounds of secret service money from the French government, and Russell is described as having interviews with Barillon's agent, Rouvigny, tending to prevent war disagreeable both to Louis and the English patriots. The vague allusions of some modern writers, together with an unsupported assertion of Ralph Montague, the intriguing English Ambassador in France, that money was to be distributed in Parliament "by means of William Russell, and other discontented people," have tended to lump together in the public mind the two charges occasioned by these documents. But they are quite distinct.\* Lord Russell had nothing to do with the money-list, in which the name of Sidney appears. The amount of the matter is this. Charles II. was always pretending to go to war with France, chiefly to get money for his debaucheries, and partly to raise an army which he might turn against the constitution. The nation, in their hatred of Louis's anti-protestant bigotry, and their old and less warrantable propensity to fight with those whom they publicly considered as their natural enemies (a delusion, we trust, now going by), were always in a state to be deceived by Charles on this point; and the patriots were ■

regularly perplexed how to agree to the wishes of the King and people, knowing ■ they did, the former's insincerity, loth to give him more money to squander, and yet anxious to show their dislike of ■ arbitrary neighbour, and afraid of his being in collision with their prince. Their greatest fear, however, ■ upon this last point: it was very strong at the juncture in question; and therefore, when Louis gave them to understand, through his agent, that he himself was desirous of avoiding ■ war, Russell certainly does appear to have allowed the agent to talk with him on the subject, and to have expressed ■ willingness to influence the votes of Parliament accordingly. There was a further understanding that Louis was to complete the mutual favour, by assisting to obtain a dissolution of Parliament, in case the peace should continue; for the patriots expected very different things from a dissolution at that time (1678), than what it produced afterwards. Russell's noble biographer justly observes, that for the truth of these statements we are to trust Rouvigny's report, coming through the hands of Barillon: but granting them to be true, he thinks there was nothing criminal in the intercourse. He observes, that, in the first place, Russell was Rouvigny's kinsman by marriage, being first cousin to his wife, which accounts for the commencement of the intercourse; and, secondly,

"The imminent danger," he says, "which threatened us from the conduct of France abetting the designs of Charles, cannot, at this day, be properly estimated. At the very time when Parliament was giving money for ■ war, Lord Danby ■ writing, by his master's order, to beg for money ■ the price of peace. We shall presently see, that five days after the House of Commons had passed the act for ■ supply," Lord Danby wrote to Paris, that Charles expected six millions yearly from France. Had Louis been sincere in the project of making Charles absolute, there can be no doubt that it might have been easily accomplished. Was not this sufficient to justify



the popular party in attempting to turn the battery the other way? The question was not, whether to admit foreign interference, but whether to direct foreign interference, already admitted, to a good object. The conduct of Lord Russell, therefore, was not criminal; but it would be difficult to acquit him of the charge of imprudence. The object of Louis must have been, by giving hopes to each party in turn, to obtain the command of both. Charles, on the other hand, was ready to debase himself to the lowest point, to maintain his alliance with France; any suspicion, therefore, of a connexion between Louis and the popular party would have rendered him more and more dependent; till the liberties of England might at last have been set up to auction at Versailles."\*

This is impartial. But surely an imprudence so extremely dangerous, and an intercourse on any terms with ■ envoy's agent, the nature of which it must have been necessary to conceal, partook of a disingenuousness and self-will that cannot be held innocent. That Lord Russell had the best intentions is granted; but his principles were specially opposed by the doctrine of "doing evil, that good might come;" and if it be argued that good men are sometimes defeated in their intentions by not imitating the less scrupulous conduct of evil ones, it is to be replied, that there is ■ end of the re-actions consequent on such imitations, nor any bounds, on the other hand, to be put to the good consequences of ■ perfect example, even should its very perfection retard them. Good causes are not lost for want of passion and energy, but for that defect of faith and openness, which is the worst destroyer of both, and the loss of which is the worst hazard produced by ■ defect of example. We should be surprised that the patriots, while they were about it, did not denounce Charles's anti-constitutional behaviour more than they did, and openly demand their rights as a matter

---

\* Life, ■ above, vol. i. p. 121.

of course; but it is easy to account for it upon the supposition that they were hampered with court connexions, and not sure of one another.

The worst thing to be said of Lord Russell (for as to the letters he wrote for pardon, they must be considered ■ obtained from him by his friends and ■ tender wife) is, that when Lord Stafford, the victim of ■ plot charged on the papists, was sentenced to death, Russell opposed the King's privilege of dispensing with ■ barbarous part of the execution; ■ unworthy the rest of their character can men be rendered by party feeling, and so little do they foresee what they may themselves require in ■ day of adversity. When Charles II. was applied to on the same point in behalf of Lord Russell, he is reported to have said, "Lord Russell shall find I am possessed of that prerogative, which in the case of Lord Stafford he thought fit to deny me." The sarcasm (if made—for there is ■ real authority for it) was cruel; but it is not to be denied, that Lord Stafford, a ■ old and feeble, whose protestations of innocence called forth tears from the spectators when he was on the scaffold, might have thought Russell's conduct equally so. Let us congratulate ourselves, that the fiery trials which men of all parties have gone through, have enabled us to benefit by their experience, to be grateful for what was noble in them, and to learn (with modesty) how to avoid what was infirm.

Lord Russell, besides the general regard of posterity, has left two glorious testimonies to his honour, — his behaviour in his last days, and the inextinguishable grief of one of the best of women. The latter, the celebrated Lady Rachael Russell, the daughter of Charles's best servant, Southampton, threw herself at the King's feet, "and pleaded," says Hume, "with many tears, the merit and loyalty of her father, as ■ atonement for those errors into which honest, however mistaken, principles had se-

duced her husband. These supplications were the last instance of female weakness (if they deserve the name) which she betrayed. Finding all applications vain, she collected courage, and not only fortified herself against the fatal blow, but endeavoured by her example to strengthen the resolution of her unfortunate lord." \*

Echard says, that Charles refused her ■ reprieve of six weeks. If so, he probably feared some desperate attempt in Russell's favour; which, in fact, was proposed, ■ we shall see; and it is possible, that remembering what had happened to Charles I., and conscious of his own deserts, he might really have thought that Lord Russell would willingly have seen him put to death; for Rapin tell us that he said, in answer to Lady Rachael, "How can I grant that man six weeks, who, if it had been in his power, would not have granted me six hours?"† And Lord Dartmouth, in his notes upon Burnet, tells us, that when his (Dartmouth's) father represented to the King the obligations which a pardon would lay upon a great family, and the regard that was due to Southampton's daughter and her children, the King answered, "All that is true; but it is as true, that if I do not take his life, he will soon have mine;" "which," says Dartmouth, "would admit of no reply."‡ Some, however, have said, that the King would have granted Russell his life, if he had not been afraid of his brother, the Duke of York; and as an instance of what was thought of the characters of these two princes, whether the story is true or not, it was added, that Charles did not like to hear any discourses about the pardon, because he could not grant it; whereas James would hear anything, though he resolved to grant nothing.

---

\* Hume's History of England, vol. x. chap. 69.

† Rapin's History of England, 1731, vol. xiv. p. 333.

‡ Burnet's History of his Own Times.

Every other effort was made to save the life of Russell.

"Money," says Burnet, "was offered to the Lady Portsmouth, and to all that had credit, and that without measure. He was pressed to send petitions and submissions to the King, and to the Duke; but he left it to his friends to consider how far these might go, and how they were to be worded. All that he was brought to was, to offer to live beyond sea, in any place that the King should name; and never to meddle any more in English affairs. But all was in vain. Both King and Duke were fixed in their resolutions; but with this difference, as Lord Rochester afterwards told me, that the Duke suffered some, among whom he was one, to argue the point with him, but the King could not bear the discourse. Some said, that the Duke moved that he might be executed in Southampton Square before his own house, but that the King rejected that as indecent. So Lincoln's Inn Fields was appointed for the place of his execution."\*

As a last resource Lord Cavendish offered to attack the coach on either side with a troop of horse, and take his friend out of it; but Russell would not consent to bring any one into jeopardy on his behalf.

It has been said that Lincoln's Inn Fields was chosen, in order that the people might witness the triumph of the court, in seeing him led through the city; but others have reasonably observed upon this, that as he was to be taken from Newgate, the desire of making him a spectacle to the citizens would have been better gratified by his being carried to the old place of execution, the Tower. It is most probable, that Lincoln's Inn Fields was selected, being the nearest feasible spot to the great town property of the Bedford family; Bloomsbury lying opposite, and Covent garden on one side.

The following is the letter addressed to the King by Russell's father, followed by that of Russell himself, which

\* Burnet's History of his Own Times, 12mo., 1725, vol. ii. p. 260.

Burnet has mentioned as being drawn from him by his friends.

“To the King’s most Excellent Majesty.

“The humble petition of William, Earl of Bedford :

“Humbly sheweth ;

“That could your petitioner have been admitted into your presence, he would have laid himself at your royal feet, in behalf of his unfortunate son, himself, and his distressed and disconsolate family, to implore your royal mercy, which he never had the presumption to think could be obtained by any indirect means. But shall think himself, wife, and children, much happier to be left but with bread and water, than to lose his dear son for so foul a crime as treason against the best of princes ; for whose life he ever did, and ever shall pray, more than for his own.

“May God incline your Majesty’s heart to the prayers of an afflicted old father, and not bring grey hairs with sorrow to my grave.

“BEDFORD.”

“To the King’s most Excellent Majesty.

“The humble petition of William Russell :

“Most humbly sheweth ;

“That your petitioner does once more cast himself at your Majesty’s feet, and implores, with all humility, your mercy and pardon, still avowing that he never had the least thought against your Majesty’s life, nor any design to change the government ; but humbly and sorrowfully confesses his having been present at those meetings, which he is convinced were unlawful, and justly provoking to your Majesty ; but being betrayed by ignorance and inadvertence, he did not decline them as he ought to have done, for which he is truly and heartily sorry ; and, therefore, humbly offers himself to your Majesty, to be determined to live in any part of the world which you shall appoint, and never to meddle any more in the affairs of England, but as your Majesty shall be pleased to command me.

“May it therefore please your Majesty to extend your royal



favour and mercy to your petitioner, by which he will be for ever engaged to pray for your Majesty, and to devote his [redacted] to your service.

“WILLIAM RUSSELL.” [redacted]

The third is to the Duke of York. It [redacted] certainly to [redacted] regretted, that these letters were drawn from [redacted] patriot, willing, there is no doubt, to have endured all extremities without compromising the dignity of conscious right ; but the reader will bear in mind what has been said of them ; and we shall see presently what the writer said of the present one. \*

“May it please your Highness ;

“The opposition I have appeared in to your Highness’s interest, has been such, as I have scarce the confidence to be a petitioner to you, though in order to the saving of my life. Sir, God knows what I did did not proceed from any personal ill-will, or animosity to your Royal Highness, but merely because I was of opinion, that it [redacted] the best way for observing the religion established by law, in which, if I was mistaken, yet I acted sincerely, without any [redacted] end in it. And as for any base design against your person, I hope your Royal Highness will be so just to [redacted] [redacted] not to think me capable of [redacted] vile [redacted] thought. But I am now resolved, and do faithfully engage myself, that if it shall please the King to pardon me, and if your Royal Highness will interpose in it, I will in [redacted] sort meddle any more, but will be readily determined to live in any part of the world which his Majesty shall prescribe, and will never fail in my daily prayers, both for his Majesty’s preservation and honour, and your Royal Highness’s happiness, and will wholly withdraw myself from the affairs of England, unless called by his Majesty’s orders to serve him, which I shall never be wanting to do, to the uttermost of my power. And if your Royal Highness will be so gracious to me, [redacted] to move on my account, as it will be [redacted] engagement upon me, beyond what I can in [redacted] expect, so it will make the deepest impressions on me possible ; for [redacted] fear of death can work so much with me, as so great [redacted] obligation will for ever do upon

me. May it please your Royal Highness, your Royal Highness's most humble and most obedient servant,

“W. RUSSELL.

“Newgate, July 16th, 1683.”

Burnet says of this last letter, which he tells us was written at the “earnest sollicitations” of Lady Rachael, that ■ Russell was folding it up, he said to him, “This will be printed, and will be selling about the streets as my submission, when I am led out to be hanged.”

All efforts failed, and the patriot and husband composed himself to die. The touching particulars of his last days we shall extract from the account of his friend Bishop Burnet. It is one that, as it contains no disputed points, may be safely relied on; and indeed, if we had not wished to show how interested we are in the case of this advancer of public right, and how anxious to spare no proper trouble for our readers, we might safely have copied the whole case from the lively pages of that historian, whose writings, whatever may have been his faults of partizanship and complexion, have risen in value, in proportion as documents come to light. A great modern statesman, equally qualified to judge of it, both as a politician and a man, alludes with interesting emotion to Burnet's account of his last hours. Speaking of the dying behaviour of Russell and Sidney, he says, “In courage they are equal, but the fortitude of Russell, who was connected with the world by private and domestic ties, which Sidney was not, was put to the severer trial; and the story of the last days of this excellent man's life fills the mind with such ■ mixture of tenderness and admiration, that I know not any scene in history that more powerfully excites our sympathy, or goes more directly to the heart.” \*

“The last week of his life,” says Burnet, “he was shut up all

\* Mr. Fox, in his history above-mentioned.

the morning as he himself desired. And about noon I came to him, and staid with him till night. All the while he expressed a very Christian temper, without sharpness or resentment, vanity or affectation. His whole behaviour looked like a triumph over death. Upon ~~some~~ occasions, ~~at~~ at table, or when his friends came to see him, he was decently cheerful. I was by him when the sheriffs came to show him the warrant for his execution. He read it with indifference; and when they were gone he told me it ~~was~~ not decent to be merry with such a matter, otherwise he ~~was~~ near telling Rich (who, though he was now on the other side, yet had been a member of the House of Commons, and had voted for the exclusion), that they should never sit together in that house any more to vote for the bill of exclusion. The day before his death he fell ~~bleeding~~ bleeding at the nose: upon that he said to me pleasantly, I shall not now let blood to divert this: that will be done to-morrow. At night it rained hard, and he said, such a rain to-morrow will spoil a great show, which was a dull thing in a rainy day. He said, the sins of his youth lay heavy upon his mind; but he hoped God had forgiven them, for he was sure he had forsaken them, and for many years he had walked before God with a sincere heart. If in his public actings he had committed errors, they were only the errors of his understanding; for he had no private ends, nor ill designs of his own in them; he was still of opinion that the King was limited by law, and that when he broke through those limits, his subjects might defend themselves and restrain him. He thought a violent death was a very desirable way of ending one's life; it was only the being exposed to be a little gazed at, and to suffer the pain of one minute, which, he was confident, was not equal to the pain of drawing a tooth. He said he felt none of those transports that ~~good~~ good people felt; but he had a full calm in his mind, no palpitation at heart, nor trembling at the thoughts of death. He was much concerned at the cloud that seemed to be now over his country; but he hoped his death would do more service than his life could have done.

“This was the substance of the discourse between him and me. Tillotson was oft with him that last week. We thought

the party had gone too quick in their consultations, and too far; and that resistance in the condition we were then in was not lawful. He said he had leisure to enter into discourses of politics; but he thought a government limited by law was only a name, if the subjects might not maintain those limitations by force; otherwise all was at the discretion of the Prince: that was contrary to all the notions he had lived in of our government.\* But, he said, there was nothing among them but the embryos of things that were never like to have any effect, and they were now quite dissolved. He thought it was necessary for him to leave a paper behind him, at his death: and, because he had not been accustomed to draw such papers, he desired me to give him a scheme of the heads fit to be spoken to, and of the order in which they should be laid; which I did. And he was three days employed for some time in the morning to write out his speech. He ordered four copies to be made of it, all which he signed; and gave the original with three of the copies to his lady, and kept the other to give to the sheriffs on the scaffold. He writ it with great ease, and the passages that were tender he writ in papers apart, and showed them to his lady and to myself, before he writ them out fair. He was very easy when this was ended. He also writ a letter to the King, in which he asked pardon for every thing he had said or done contrary to his duty, protesting he was innocent to all designs against his person or government, and that his heart was ever devoted to that which he thought was his Majesty's true interest. He added that, though he thought he had met with hard measures, yet he forgave all concerned in it, from the highest to the lowest; and ended, hoping that his Majesty's displeasure at him would cease with his own life, and that no part of it should hurt his wife and children. The day before his death he received the sacrament from Tillotson with much devotion: and I preached two short sermons to him, which he heard with great affection; and we were shut up till towards the evening. Then he suffered his children that were very young, and few of his friends, to

---

\* Burnet and Tillotson thought so too, when James II. afterwards forced the church to declare one way or other.

take leave of him; in which he maintained his constancy of temper, though he was a very fond father. He also parted from his lady with a composed silence; and as soon as she was gone, he said to me, 'The bitterness of death is passed;' for he loved and esteemed her beyond expression, and she well deserved it in all respects. She had the command of herself so much that at parting she gave him no disturbance. He went into his chamber about midnight, and I stayed all night in the outward room. He went not to bed till about two in the morning, and was fast asleep at four, when, according to his order, we called him. He was quickly dressed, but would lose no time in shaving, for, he said, he was not concerned in his good looks that day."

"Lord Russell," continues Burnet, "seemed to have some satisfaction to find that there was no truth in the whole contrivance of the Rye Plot; and that he hoped that infamy, which now blasted their party, would go off. He went into his chamber six or seven times in the morning, and prayed by himself, and then came out to Tillotson and me; he drank a little tea and some sherry. He wound up his watch, and said, now he had done with time, and was going to eternity. He asked what he should give the executioner: I told him ten guineas: he said, with a smile, it was a pretty thing to give a fee to have his head cut off. When the sheriffs called him about ten o'clock, Lord Cavendish was waiting below to take leave of him. They embraced very tenderly. Lord Russell, after he had left him, upon a sudden thought came back to him, and pressed him earnestly to apply himself more to religion, and told him what great comfort and support he felt from it now in his extremity. Lord Cavendish had very generously offered to manage his escape, and to stay in prison for him while he should go away in his clothes; but he would not hearken to the motion. The Duke of Monmouth had also sent me word to let him know, that if he thought it could do him any service, he would come in and run fortunes with him. He answered, it would be of no advantage to him to have his friends die with him. Tillotson and I went in the coach with



him to the place of execution. Some of the crowd that filled the streets wept, while others insulted; he was touched by the tenderness that the one gave him, but did not seem at all provoked by the other. He ■■■ singing psalms a great part of the way, and said, he hoped to sing better very soon.\* As he observed the great crowds of people all the way, he said to us, 'I hope I shall quickly see a much better assembly.' When he came to the scaffold, he walked about it four or five times. Then he turned to the sheriffs, and delivered his paper. He protested that he had always been far from any designs against the King's life or government. He prayed God would preserve both, and the Protestant religion. He wished all Protestants might love one another, and not make way for Popery by their animosities."

Of the paper given by Russell to the sheriffs, Burnet has given the following honest abridgment. This testament to patriotism made a great sensation. To posterity, who have so benefited by its spirit, it is surely still of great interest.

"The substance of the paper he gave them," says Burnet, "was, first a profession of his religion, and of his sincerity in it; that he was of the Church of England, but wished all would unite together against the common enemy; that church-■■■ would be less severe, and dissenters less scrupulous. He owned he had a great zeal against Popery, which he looked on as an idolatrous and bloody religion; but that, though he was at all times ready to venture his life for his religion or his country, yet that would never have carried him to a black or wicked design. No man ever had the impudence to move to

---

\* In his Journal, Burnet says that he often sung "within himself," but that the words were not audible. When his companion asked him what he was singing, he said the beginning of the 119th Psalm. It is stated in the Life by his descendant (who has added some original passages from papers at Woburn), that "just ■■ they were entering Lincoln's Inn Fields, he said, 'This has been to ■■ a place of sinning, and God now makes it the place of my punishment.'" He had lived freely in his youth, though he is not the Russell spoken of in the Memoirs of Grammont, as many are led to believe by the engravings of him inserted in that work. • The person there mentioned ■■■ ■■ cousin.

him any thing with relation to the King's life : he prayed heartily for him, that in his person and government he might be happy, both in this world and the next. He protested that in the prosecution of the Popish Plot he had gone on in the sincerity of his heart, and that he never knew of any practice with the witnesses. He owned he had been earnest in the matter of the exclusion, as the best way, in his opinion, to secure both the King's life and the Protestant religion, and to that he imputed his present sufferings ; but he forgave all concerned in them, and charged his friends to think of no revenges. He thought his sentence was hard, upon which he gave an account of all that had passed at Shepherd's. From the heats that were in choosing the sheriffs, he concluded that matter would end as it now did, and he was not much surprised to find it fall upon himself ; he wished it might end in him : killing by forms of law was the worst sort of murder. He concluded with very devout ejaculations.

"After he had delivered this paper, he prayed by himself ; then Tillotson prayed with him. After that he prayed again by himself, and then undressed himself and laid his head on the block, without the least change of countenance ; and it was cut off at two strokes."

The following additional particulars are from Burnet's "Journal :"—

"When my lady went, he said he wished she would give over beating every bush, and running so about for his preservation. But when he considered that it would be some mitigation of her sorrow afterwards, that she left nothing undone that could have given any probable hopes, he acquiesced ; and, indeed, I never saw his heart so near failing him, when he spake of her. Sometimes I saw a tear in his eye, and he would turn about and presently change the discourse.

"At ten o'clock my lady left him. He kissed her four or five times ; and she kept her sorrows within herself, that gave him no disturbance by their parting. After she was gone, he said, 'Now the bitterness of death is passed,' and ran out a long discourse concerning her—how great a blessing she

had been to him; and said what a misery it would have been to him, if she had not had that magnanimity of spirit, joined to her tenderness, as never to have desired him to do a base thing for the saving of his life; whereas, otherwise, what a week should I have passed, if she had been crying me to turn informer, and be a Lord Howard; though he then repeated what he often before said, that he knew of nothing whereby the peace of the nation was in danger; and that all that ever was, was either loose discourse, or at most embryos that never came to any thing, ■ that there was nothing on foot to his knowledge.

■ As we came to turn into Little Queen Street, he said, 'I have often turned to the other hand with great comfort, but now I turn to this with greater,' and looked towards his own house; and then, as the Dean of Canterbury, who sat over against him, told me, he ■ ■ tear or two fall from him.

"When he had lain down, I looked once at him and saw no change in his looks; and though he was still lifting up his hands, there was no trembling, though, in the moment in which I looked, the executioner happened to be laying the ■ to his neck to direct him to take aim. I thought it touched him, but I am sure he seemed not to mind it."

The widow of Lord Russell, daughter of the Lord Southampton above-mentioned, the most honest ■ ever known to have been in the service of Charles the Second, was grand-daughter of Shakspeare's Southampton, and appears to have united in her person the qualities of both. She was at once a pattern of good sense, and of romantic affection. Nor are the two things incompatible, when either of them exist in the highest degree, ■ she proved during the remainder of her life; for though she continued ■ widow all the rest of it, and it was ■ very long one, and though she never ceased regretting her lord's death, and ■ had great troubles besides, yet the high sense she had of the duties of a human being enabled her to enjoy consolations that ordinary pleasure might have envied; first, in the education of her children, and secondly, in the tran-

quillity which health and temperance *forced* upon her. Her letters, with which the public are well acquainted, are not more remarkable for the fidelity they evince to her husband's memory, than for the fine sense they display in all matters upon which the prejudices of education had left her a free judgment, and especially for their delightful candour. It has been thought, that the blindness into which she fell in her old age was owing to weeping; but Mr. Howell, the judicious editor of the "State Trials," informs us, upon the authority of "a very learned, skilful, and experienced physiologist," "that a cataract, which seems," he says, "to have been the malady of Lady Rachael's eyes, is by no means likely to be produced by weeping." \*

We will here insert a few of the most touching passages from the "Letters of Lady Russell" (seventh edition, 1819). On the 30th of September, she writes thus to her friend, Dr. Fitzwilliam:—

"I endeavour to make the best use I can of both (a letter and prayer which the Doctor sent her); but I am a evil and unworthy a creature, that though I have desires, yet I have a disposition, or worthiness, towards receiving comfort." And again:—"I know I have deserved my punishment, and will be silent under it; but yet secretly my heart mourns, and cannot be comforted, because I have not the dear companion and sharer of all my joys and sorrows. I want him to talk with, to walk with, to eat and sleep with; all these things are irksome to me now; all company and meals I could avoid, if it might be. Yet all this is, that I enjoy not the world in my own way, and this same hinders my comfort. When I see my children before me, I remember the pleasure he took in them; this makes my heart shrink."

---

\* For complete reports of all the trials connected with the Rye-house Plot, and for several pamphlets written *pro* and *con.* upon Lord Russell's case, see the "State Trials," vol. ix., beginning at p. 357.

On the 21st July, 1685, the anniversary of her husband's death, two years after it, she writes thus :—

“My languishing weary spirit rises up slowly to all good ; yet I hope by God's abundant grace, in time, your labours will work the same effect in my spirits : they will, indeed, in less time on others better disposed and prepared than I am, who in the day of affliction seem to have no remembrance with due thankfulness of prosperity.”

In a letter written the 4th October, 1686, she says, speaking of a recovery of one of her children from sickness,—

“I hope this has been a sorrow I shall profit by ; I shall, if God will strengthen my faith, resolve to return him a constant praise, and make this the season to chase all secret murmurs from grieving my soul for what is past, letting it rejoice in what it should rejoice, his favour to me, in the blessings I have left, which many of my betters want, and yet have lost their chiefest friend also. But oh, Doctor ! the manner of my deprivation is yet astonishing.”

The following is dated five years after her loss. She is speaking of a letter she wrote once a week to Dr. Fitzwilliam. Her grief had now begun to taste the sweets of patience and temperance ; but we see still how real it is :—

“I can't but own there is ■ sort of secret delight in the privacy of one of those mournful days ; I think, besides a better reason, one is, that I do not tie myself up as I do on other days ; for, God knows, my eyes are ever ready to pour out marks of ■ sorrowful heart, which I shall carry to the grave, that quiet bed of rest.”

In 1692, Lady Russell writes less patiently, but shortly afterwards appears to have regained her composure ; and in Letter 134, there is a remark ■ the blessings of health, and on the comfort of being able to do one's duty, if we aim at it. In 1711, she lost her only son, the Duke of



Bedford, in his 31st year ; and six months afterwards was deprived of one of her daughters, who died in child-bed. It was on this occasion that ■■ affecting anecdote is told. She had another daughter who happened to be in child-bed also ; and as it was necessary to conceal from her the death of her sister, this admirable woman assumed a cheerful air, and in answer to her daughter's anxious inquiries, said, with an extraordinary colouring of the fact, for which a martyr to truth could have loved her, " I have seen your sister out of bed to-day."

We intended not to omit the following charming passage from her letters, and therefore add it here. It is in the letter last quoted :—

" My friendships have made all the joys and troubles of my life ; and yet who would live and not love ? Those who have tried the insipidness of it would, I believe, never choose it. Mr. Waller says, 'tis (with singing) all we know they do above ! And 'tis enough ; for if there is so charming ■■ delight in the love, and suitableness in humours, to creatures, what must it be to the clarified spirits to love in the presence of God !"

The passage from Waller is,

" What know we of the blest above,  
But that they sing and that they love ?"

Certainly, if ever there was ■■ angel upon earth, this woman was one. Compare the above extracts with a letter from her to her husband, written in the year 1681, and published in the work of Lord John Russell, vol. ii. p. 2. It is ■■ true, loving, happy wife's letter, and renders the contrast inexpressibly affecting.

The present ducal family of Bedford have the honour to be lineally descended from these two excellent persons, and to derive their very dukedom from public virtue— a rare patent. And they have shown that they estimate the honour. What must not Lady Russell have felt

when James II., within six years after the destruction of her husband, was forced to give up his throne? And what, above all, must she not have felt, when she heard of the answer given by her aged father-in-law to the same prince, who had the meanness, or want of imagination, to apply to him in his distress? "My Lord," said James to the Earl of Bedford, "you are an honest man, have great credit, and can do ■■■ signal service." — "Ah, sir," replied the Earl, "I am old and feeble, but I once had ■ son." The King is said to have been so struck with this reply, that he was silent for some minutes. With this anecdote we may well terminate our account of the patriot Russell.\*

One remark, however, we must make. It has been asserted, that the great reason why the Whigs of those days wished to keep the Catholics out of power was the dread of losing their estates as well as political influence, and of being obliged to give up the Abbey lands. There may have been a good deal of truth in this, and yet the rest of their feelings have been very sincere. Men may be educated in undue notions of the value of wealth and property, and yet prove their possession of nobler thoughts, when brought to heroical issues of life and death.

The house in this square (Lincoln's Inn,) at the corner of Great Queen Street, with a passage under its side, was once called Newcastle House, and was occupied by the well-known fantastical duke of that name, minister of George II. Pennant says it was built about the year 1686, "by the Marquis of Powis, and called Powis House, and afterwards sold to the late noble owner. The architect was Captain William Winde. It is said," he adds, "that government had it once in contemplation to have bought and settled it officially on the great seal. At

---

\* We quote the Earl of Bedford's reply from Granger's Biographical History of England, not being able to refer to Orrery, who we believe is the authority for it. Burnet's Journal is to be found at the end of Lord Russell's Life, by his descendants.

that time it was inhabited by the lord keeper, Sir Nathan Wright." It is at present occupied by the Society for the diffusion of the Bible.



NEWCASTLE HOUSE.

The Marquis of Powis, here mentioned, had scarcely built his house in the square where Lord Russell was beheaded, when he saw his lordship's destroyer forced to leave his throne. The Marquis followed his fortunes, and was created by him Duke of Powis.

A laughable, and, we believe, true story, connected with the Duke of Newcastle's residence in this house, is told in a curious miscellany intitled the "Lounger's Common-Place Book."

"This nobleman," says the writer, "with many good points and described by a popular contemporary poet as almost eaten up by his zeal for the house of Hanover, was remarkable for being profuse of his promises on all occasions, and valued himself particularly on being able to anticipate the words or



the wants of the various persons who attended his levees before they uttered a word. This sometimes led him into ridiculous embarrassments; but it was his tendency to lavish promises, which gave occasion for the anecdote I am going to relate.

“At the election of a certain borough of Cornwall, where the opposite interests were almost equally poised, a single vote was of the highest importance; this object, the Duke, by *well-applied arguments*, and personal application, at length attained, and the gentleman *he* recommended gained his election.

“In the warmth of gratitude, his Grace poured forth acknowledgments and promises without ceasing, of the fortunate possessor of the casting vote; called him his best and dearest friend; protested that he should consider himself as for ever indebted; that he would serve him by night or by day.

“The Cornish voter, an honest fellow, as things go, and who would have thought himself sufficiently paid, but for such a torrent of acknowledgments, thanked the duke for his kindness, and told him, ‘The supervisor of excise was old and infirm, and if he would have the goodness to recommend his son-in-law to the commissioners in case of the old man’s death, he should think himself and his family bound to render government every assistance in his power, on any future occasion.’

“‘My dear friend, why do you ask for such a trifling employment?’ exclaimed his Grace, ‘your relation shall have it at a word’s speaking, the moment it is vacant.’ — ‘But how shall I get admitted to you, my Lord? for, in London, I understand, it is a very difficult business to get a sight of you great folks, though you are so kind and complaisant to us in the country.’ — ‘The instant the man dies,’ replied the premier, used to and prepared for the freedom of a contested election, — ‘the moment he dies, set out post-haste for London; drive directly to my house, by night or by day, sleeping or waking, dead or alive, thunder at the door; I will leave word with my porter to show you up stairs directly, and the employment shall be disposed of according to your wishes.’

“The parties separated; the Duke drove to a friend’s house in the neighbourhood, where he was visiting, without a wish or a design of seeing his new acquaintance, till that day seven

years; but the memory of a Cornish elector, not being loaded with such a variety of subjects, was more retentive. The supervisor died a few months after, and the ministerial partisan relying on the word of a peer, was conveyed to London post-haste, and ascended with alacrity the steps of a large house, now divided into three, in Lincoln's Inn Fields, at the corner of Great Queen Street.

"The reader should be informed that precisely at the moment when the expectations of a considerable party of a borough in Cornwall were roused by the death of a supervisor, no less a person than the King of Spain was expected hourly to depart; an event in which the minister of Great Britain was particularly concerned.

"The Duke of Newcastle, on the very night that the proprietor of the decisive vote was at his door, had sat up anxiously expecting despatches from Madrid: wearied by official business and agitated spirits, he retired to rest, having previously given particular instructions to his porter not to go to bed, as he expected every minute a messenger with advices of the greatest importance, and desired he might be shown up stairs the moment of his arrival.

"His Grace was sound asleep; for, with a thousand singularities, of which the rascals about him did not forget to take advantage, his worst enemies could not deny him the merit of good design, that best solace in a solitary hour. The porter, settled for the night in his chair, had already commenced a sonorous nap, when the vigorous arm of the Cornish voter roused him from his slumbers.

"To his first question, 'Is the duke at home?' the porter replied, 'Yes, and in bed, but has left particular orders that come when you will, you are to go up to him directly.'—'God for ever bless him, a worthy and honest gentleman,' cried our applier for the vacant post, smiling and nodding with approbation at a prime minister's so accurately keeping his promise; 'how punctual his Grace is! I knew he would not deceive me. Let me hear no more of lords and dukes not keeping their words. I believe, verily, they are as honest and mean as well as other folks, but I can't always say the same of those who are about them.' Repeating these words as he ascended the



stairs, the burgess of ——— was ushered into the Duke's bed-chamber.

"'Is he dead?' exclaimed his Grace, rubbing his eyes, and scarcely awaked from dreaming of the King of Spain, 'Is he dead?' 'Yes, my Lord,' replied the eager expectant, delighted to find that the election promise, with all its circumstances, was so fresh in the minister's memory. 'When did he die?' — 'The day before yesterday, exactly at half past one o'clock, after being confined three weeks to his bed, and taking a *power of doctor's stuff*; and I hope your Grace will be as good as your word, and let my son-in-law succeed him.'

"The duke, by this time perfectly awake, was staggered at the impossibility of receiving intelligence from Madrid in so short a space of time, and perplexed at the absurdity of a king's messenger applying for his son-in-law to succeed the King of Spain: 'Is the man drunk or mad; where are your despatches?' exclaimed his Grace, hastily drawing back his curtain; when, instead of a royal courier, his eager eye recognised at the bedside the well-known countenance of his friend in Cornwall, making low bows, with hat in hand, and 'hoping my Lord would not forget the gracious promise he was so good as to make in favour of his son-in-law at the last election at ———.'

"Vexed at so untimely a disturbance, and disappointed of news from Spain, he frowned for a few seconds, but chagrin soon gave way to mirth at so singular and ridiculous a combination of opposite circumstances. Yielding to the irritation, he sank on the bed in a violent fit of laughter, which, like the electrical fluid, was communicated in a moment to his attendants.\*

---

\* Lounger's Common-Place Book, 1805, 8vo. vol. i. p. 301.

END OF THE FIRST VOLUME.

(6) w

LONDON:  
SPOTTISWOODE AND SHAW,  
New-street-Square.

